



A note on linearization of actions of finitely semisimple Hopf algebras on local algebras

M. Boratyński^a, Andrzej Tyc^{b,*}

^a *Dipartimento di Matematica, Università degli Studi di Bari, via E.Orabona 4, 70125 Bari, Italy*

^b *Faculty of Mathematics and Informatics, N. Copernicus University,
ul. Chopina 12-18, 87-100 Toruń, Poland*

Communicated by C.A. Weibel; received 28 March 1996

Abstract

Let H be a Hopf algebra over a field k and let $H \otimes A \rightarrow A$, $h \otimes a \rightarrow h.a$, be an action of H on a commutative local Noetherian k -algebra (A, m) . We say that this action is linearizable if there exists a minimal system x_1, \dots, x_n of generators of the maximal ideal m such that $h.x_i \in kx_1 + \dots + kx_n$ for all $h \in H$ and $i = 1, \dots, n$. In the paper we prove that the actions from a certain class are linearizable (see Theorem 4), and we indicate some consequences of this fact. © 1998 Elsevier Science B.V. All rights reserved.

1991 Math. Subj. Class.: 16W30

Let k be a field and let H be a Hopf algebra over k with comultiplication $\Delta: H \rightarrow H \otimes H$ ($\otimes = \otimes_k$), antipode $S: H \rightarrow H$, and counity $\varepsilon: H \rightarrow k$. Recall that a (left) action of H on a k -algebra A is a left H -module structure $\gamma: H \otimes A \rightarrow A$ on A as a vector space over k (as usual, we write $\gamma(h \otimes a) = h.a$) such that $h.1_A = \varepsilon(h)1_A$ and $h.(xy) = \sum (h_{(1)}.x)(h_{(2)}.y)$ for all $h \in H$, $x, y \in A$, and $\sum h_{(1)} \otimes h_{(2)} = \Delta(h)$. In other words, A together with γ is an H -module algebra, see [7, 10]. Recall also that such an action is said to be locally finite if A , as an H -module, is a union of its finite dimensional submodules. If H is a finite-dimensional vector space, then clearly every action of H on a k -algebra A is locally finite.

In this paper we consider only actions of H on commutative k -algebras.

* Corresponding author. E-mail: atyc@mat.uni.torun.pl.

Given an action of H on an algebra A , we say that an ideal I in A is H -invariant if $h \cdot x \in I$ for all $h \in H$ and $x \in I$, i.e., if I is a submodule of A , as an H -module. One readily checks that if an ideal I in A is H -invariant, then all its powers I^j are also H -invariant, and so the quotient H -modules I^i/I^j , $j \geq i$, are defined.

Definition 1. An action of H on a local noetherian algebra (A, m) is called linearizable if there exists a minimal system x_1, \dots, x_n of generators of the maximal ideal m such that $h \cdot x_i \in kx_1 + \dots + kx_n$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$ and $h \in H$.

Remark 2. If an action of H on a local noetherian algebra (A, m) is linearizable, then it is easy to see that the maximal ideal m is H -invariant, and that for each basis z_1, \dots, z_n of m/m^2 over the quotient field A/m , there are y_1, \dots, y_n in m such that $y_i + m^2 = z_i$ and $h \cdot y_i \in ky_1 + \dots + ky_n$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$ and $h \in H$.

Definition 3. The Hopf algebra H is called (left) finitely semisimple if each left finite-dimensional H -module is semisimple.

Examples of finitely semisimple Hopf algebras are:

1. $H = kG$, where G is a finite group with $(|G|, \text{char } k) = 1$.
2. $H = k[X]/(f)$, where k is of characteristic $p > 0$, f is of the form $t_n X^{p^n} + t_{n-1} X^{p^{n-1}} + \dots + t_0 X$ with $t_0 \neq 0$, and $\Delta(x) = x \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x$ for $x = X + (f)$.
3. $H = U(L)$ – the enveloping algebra of a finite-dimensional, semisimple Lie algebra L over k (k is supposed to have characteristic 0).

Notice that if the Hopf algebra H is finitely semisimple and $H \otimes A \rightarrow A$ is a locally finite action of H on an algebra A , then every submodule of A , as an H -module, is semisimple.

The main purpose of this note is to prove the following.

Theorem 4. Let $\gamma : H \otimes A \rightarrow A$ be an action of the Hopf algebra H on a local noetherian algebra (A, m) such that its maximal ideal m is H -invariant and $k \simeq A/m$. Then the action is linearizable if and only if the H surjection $p : m \rightarrow m/m^2$ admits an H retraction $t : m/m^2 \rightarrow m$ such that $pt = Id$. Such a t exists in each of the following cases:

- (1) There exists a semisimple H -submodule m' of A such that $Am' = m$.
- (2) A is a complete local ring and the H -modules m/m^i , $i > 1$, are semisimple.

In particular, if H is finitely semisimple, then the action γ is linearizable if the action is locally finite or if the local ring A is complete.

Proof. First we show that the action γ is linearizable if there exists a homomorphism of H -modules $t : m/m^2 \rightarrow m$ such that $pt = Id$. For that purpose assume that such a t exists and choose $z_1, \dots, z_n \in m$ which form a basis of m/m^2 over A/m . Then for any $h \in H$ and $i = 1, \dots, n$ $h \cdot z_i = \sum_{j=1}^{j=n} \alpha_{ij} z_j$ for some $\alpha_{ij} \in k$, since $k \simeq A/m$. Set $x_i = t(z_i)$. Then we easily obtain that $h \cdot x_i = h \cdot t(z_i) = t(h \cdot z_i) = t(\sum_{j=1}^{j=n} \alpha_{ij} z_j) = \sum_{j=1}^{j=n} \alpha_{ij} t(z_j) = \sum_{j=1}^{j=n} \alpha_{ij} x_j$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. By Nakayama Lemma the x_1, \dots, x_n form a minimal system of generators

of the ideal m , because their images form a basis of m/m^2 . This means that the action γ is linearizable. Conversely, if the action γ is linearizable, then the above consideration indicates how to define a homomorphism of H -modules $t : m/m^2 \rightarrow m$ such that $pt = Id$.

Now, to prove statement (1), suppose that m' is a semisimple H -submodule of A with $Am' = m$. Then the homomorphism of H -modules $p' : m' \rightarrow m/m^2$, $p'(x) = x + m^2$, is surjective (as $Am' = m$ and $k \simeq A/m$), and the short exact sequence of H -modules

$$0 \rightarrow m' \cap m^2 \rightarrow m' \xrightarrow{p'} m/m^2 \rightarrow 0$$

splits, since any submodule of a semisimple module is its direct summand. Hence, it follows that there is a homomorphism of H -modules $t' : m/m^2 \rightarrow m'$ with $p't' = Id$. Let $t : m/m^2 \rightarrow m$ be the composition of t' and the inclusion $m' \subset m$. Then clearly $pt = Id$, and thus, by the first part of the proof, statement (1) is proved. Now suppose that the local ring A is complete and that the H -modules m/m^j , $j > 0$, are semisimple. Similarly as above we need only to construct a homomorphism of H -modules $t : m/m^2 \rightarrow m$ with $pt = Id$. Let $p_j : m/m^{j+1} \rightarrow m/m^j$, $j \geq 2$, be the homomorphisms of H -modules defined by $p_j(x + m^{j+1}) = x + m^j$. Since A is complete, then, by [2, Proposition 10.13], the natural surjections $m \rightarrow m/m^{j+1}$, $j \geq 1$, induce an isomorphism of H -modules $m \simeq \varprojlim \{m/m^j, p_{j+1}\}_{j \geq 1}$. Therefore, to construct a required homomorphism $t : m/m^2 \rightarrow m$, it is sufficient to find homomorphisms of H -modules $t_j : m/m^2 \rightarrow m/m^j$, $j \geq 1$, such that $p_{j+1}t_{j+1} = t_j$ for all $j \geq 1$ and $t_1 = Id$. We proceed by induction on j . Set $t_1 = Id$ and assume that t_1, \dots, t_j have been defined for some $j \geq 1$. By semisimplicity of m/m^{j+2} , there is a homomorphism of H -modules $l : m/m^{j+1} \rightarrow m/m^{j+2}$ such that $p_{j+1}l = Id$. Hence if we put $t_{j+1} = lt_j$, then t_{j+1} is a homomorphism of H -modules with $p_{j+1}t_{j+1} = p_{j+1}lt_j = t_j$. This completes the proof of statement (2), and consequently Theorem 4 follows. \square

Now we give a few consequences of Theorem 4.

In view of Examples 1–3, a direct consequence of Theorem 4 is the following.

Corollary 5. *Let (A, m) be a local algebra with $k \simeq A/m$.*

(1) *For any finite group G of automorphisms of A with $(|G|, \text{char } k) = 1$ there exists a minimal system of generators x_1, \dots, x_n of the maximal ideal m such that $g(x_i) \in kx_1 + \dots + kx_n$ for all $g \in G$ and $i = 1, \dots, n$.*

(2) *If $\text{char } k = p > 0$ and $d : A \rightarrow A$ is a derivation of A such that $d(m) \subset m$ and d satisfies an equation $f(d) = 0$ with $f(X) = t_s X^p + t_{s-1} X^{p-1} + \dots + t_0 X$, $t_i \in k$, $t_0 \neq 0$, then there exists a minimal system of generators x_1, \dots, x_n of m such that $d(x_i) \in kx_1 + \dots + kx_n$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Moreover, if the field k is algebraically closed, a minimal system of generators x_1, \dots, x_n can be chosen in such a way that $d(x_i) = \lambda_i x_i$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, where all λ 's are roots of the equation $f(X) = 0$ in k .*

(3) *Suppose that L is a finite-dimensional, semisimple Lie algebra over k , $\text{char } k = 0$, and $\lambda : L \rightarrow \text{Der } A$ is a morphism of Lie algebras such that $\lambda(a)(m) \subset m$ for $a \in L$. Then there exists a minimal system of generators x_1, \dots, x_n of m such that $\lambda(a)(x_i) \in kx_1 + \dots + kx_n$ for all $a \in L$ and $i = 1, \dots, n$.*

Remark. For $A = k[[Y_1, \dots, Y_n]]$ the second part of statement (2) follows from [1, Lemma (6.4)]. For $A = \mathbb{C}[[Y_1, \dots, Y_n]]$ and $L = sl(2, \mathbb{C})$ statement (3) was proved in [11, Proposition 2.1].

Corollary 6 (Well known). *Suppose that the field k is algebraically closed and V is an affine variety with a regular action of a linear algebraic group G (V and G defined over k). Moreover, suppose that $x \in V$ is such that the isotropy subgroup $G_x = \{g \in G, g \cdot x = x\}$ is linearly reductive. Then the induced action of G_x on the local algebra $O_{V,x}$ is linearizable.*

Proof. Let $k[V]$ denote the algebra of the regular functions. Then the action of G on V induces a (locally finite) action of the Hopf algebra $H = kG_x$ on $k[V]$ and $O_{V,x} = k[V]_{m_x}$ (given by $(g \cdot f)(v) = f(g^{-1}v)$ for $g \in G_x$, $f \in k[V]$ or $f \in O_{V,x}$, and $v \in V$). We obtain that m_x – the maximal (H -invariant) ideal in $k[V]$ corresponding to x – is a semisimple H -submodule of $O_{V,x}$, because G_x is linearly reductive. Furthermore, m_x generates the maximal ideal of $O_{V,x}$. The conclusion now follows, by part (1) of Theorem 4. \square

Remark 7. Without any assumption on G_x there always exists a system of generators f_1, \dots, f_r of $m_x O_{V,x}$ not necessarily minimal such that $g \cdot f_i \in k f_1 + \dots + k f_r$ for $i = 1, \dots, r$ and all $g \in G$. This is so since V can be G -equivariantly embedded as a closed subvariety of an affine n -space with a linear action.

In the characteristic zero case G_x is linearly reductive if G is linearly reductive and the orbit Gx is closed [6].

In order to formulate the next results, let us recall that given an action of the Hopf algebra H on an algebra A , $A^H = \{a \in A, \forall h \in H h \cdot a = \varepsilon(h)a\}$ is a subalgebra in A called the *algebra of invariants*. If V is a vector space over k , then an action of H on the symmetric (graded) algebra $S(V) = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} S^i(V)$ is said to be *linear* if $h \cdot V \subset V$ for each $h \in H$ or, equivalently, if $h \cdot S^i(V) \subset S^i(V)$ for $h \in H$, $i \geq 0$. In particular, an action of H on the algebra of polynomials $k[X_1, \dots, X_n] = S(kX_1 + \dots + kX_n)$ is linear if $h \cdot X_i \in kX_1 + \dots + kX_n$ for all $h \in H$ and $i = 1, \dots, n$. Obviously, any linear action of H on $S(V)$ is locally finite, whenever V is finite-dimensional, and $S(V)^H$ is a graded subalgebra of $S(V)$. Exactly in the same manner as for the rational actions of linear algebraic groups on algebras (see, e.g., [4, Ch. V]) one proves the following.

Proposition 8. *Assume that H is finitely semisimple and $H \otimes A \rightarrow A$ is a locally finite action of H on an algebra A .*

(1) *If A is noetherian, then the algebra of invariants A^H is also noetherian. Moreover, if $A = S(V)$, where V is of finite dimension, and the action is linear, then $S(V)^H$ is a finitely generated k -algebra.*

(2) *If H is cocommutative (i.e., $t\Delta = \Delta$, where $t: H \otimes H \rightarrow H \otimes H$ is a linear map given by $t(x \otimes y) = y \otimes x$) and A is finitely generated, then A^H is also finitely generated.*

Now we can prove.

Theorem 9. *Suppose that the Hopf algebra H is finitely semisimple, $A = k[[Y_1, \dots, Y_n]]$, and that H acts on A in such a way that the maximal ideal m of A is H -invariant.*

(1) *There exist X_1, \dots, X_n in m such that $A = k[[X_1, \dots, X_n]]$ and $h.X_j \in kX_1 + \dots + kX_n$ for $h \in H, j = 1, \dots, n$.*

(2) *The natural H -module structure on m/m^2 extends (uniquely) to a linear action of H on the symmetric algebra $S(m/m^2)$ such that A^H is isomorphic to the completion of $S(m/m^2)^H$ in the topology defined by the powers of its irrelevant maximal ideal.*

(3) *A^H is a complete local noetherian ring.*

Proof. Part (1) of the theorem is a consequence of part (2) of Theorem 4. As for part (2), notice that if X_1, \dots, X_n are as in part (1), then the restriction of the action of H on A gives us a linear action of H on $k[X] = k[X_1, \dots, X_n]$. Hence, by means of the isomorphism of k -algebras $F : k[X] \rightarrow S(m/m^2)$ determined by $F(X_i) = X_i + m^2, i = 1, \dots, n$, we may define a unique linear action of H on $S(m/m^2)$ such that $F(h.f) = h.F(f)$ for $h \in H$ and $f \in k[X]$. It follows that F induces an isomorphism of the completions of the graded algebras $k[X]^H$ and $S(m/m^2)^H$ in the topologies defined by the powers of the corresponding irrelevant maximal ideals. Therefore, to prove (2), it suffices to show that the algebra A^H is isomorphic to the completion of $k[X]^H$ in the $M = (X_1, \dots, X_n) \cap k[X]^H$ -adic topology. It is clear that A^H is isomorphic to the completion of $k[X]^H$ in the topology defined by the degree. Moreover, from Proposition 8(1) we know that $k[X]^H$ is a finitely generated (graded) k -algebra. In view of [5, II.2.1, 6(vi)], this implies that the topology in $k[X]^H$ defined by the degree is equivalent to the M -adic topology. So, part (2) is proved. Part (3) of the theorem follows from the proof of part (2), since it has been shown above that A^H is the completion of the noetherian algebra $k[X]^H$ in the M -adic topology. \square

An immediate consequence of part (2) of the above theorem applied to H from Example 1 is the following particular case of the main result of [9] (see also, [3, par. 5, Example 7]).

Corollary 10. *If G is a finite group of automorphisms of the algebra $k[[X]] = k[[X_1, \dots, X_n]]$ such that $(|G|, \text{char } k) = 1$ and the image of G under the induced homomorphism of groups $G \rightarrow GL(m/m^2), m = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$, is a (finite) reflection group, then the algebra of invariants $k[[X]]^G$ is isomorphic to $k[[X]]$.*

Now let us assume that the field k is algebraically closed and V is an algebraic variety over k . Moreover, let G be a finite group acting (regularly) on V in such a way that each point of V is contained in an affine G -invariant subset of V (this assumption is verified in case V is quasiprojective). Then the space of orbits V/G has a natural structure of algebraic variety and the natural map $\pi : V \rightarrow V/G$ is a finite

morphism of varieties, see [8, Ch. II, par. 7, Theorem 1]. Let $x \in V$ and let m_x be the maximal ideal in $O_{V,x}$. Then the action of G on V induces an action of the isotropy group G_x on the completion $\widehat{O}_{V,x}$ of the ring $O_{V,x}$ and a linear action of G_x on the vector space m_x/m_x^2 . The latter action induces in turn an action of G on the algebra $S(m_x/m_x^2)$. In this situation the following holds.

Theorem 11. (1) $\widehat{O}_{V/G,\pi(x)}$ is isomorphic to the algebra $(\widehat{O}_{V,x})^{G_x}$.

(2) If x is a regular point of V and $(|G_x|, \text{char } k) = 1$, then $\widehat{O}_{V/G,\pi(x)}$ is isomorphic to the completion of $S(m_x/m_x^2)^{G_x}$ in the topology defined by the powers of its irrelevant (maximal) ideal.

Proof. Part (1) is known in the case where $G_x = (e)$ [8, Ch. II, par. 7, Theorem 1]. The proof easily carries over to the general case. As for part (2), in view of regularity of x , $\widehat{O}_{V,x} \simeq k[[X_1, \dots, X_n]]$ (for some n), whence, using (1), $\widehat{O}_{V/G,\pi(x)} \simeq (\widehat{O}_{V,x})^{G_x} \simeq [[X_1, \dots, X_n]]^{G_x}$. The conclusion now follows from part (2) of Theorem 9 applied to $H = kG_x$. \square

References

- [1] A.G. Aramova, L.L. Avramov, Singularities of quotients by vector fields in characteristic p , Math. Annalen 273 (1986) 629–645.
- [2] M.F. Atiyah, I.G. MacDonald, Introduction to Commutative Algebra, Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1969.
- [3] N. Bourbaki, Groupes et Algèbres de Lie, Ch. V, Hermann, Paris, 1968.
- [4] J. Fogarty, Invariant Theory, Benjamin, New York, 1969.
- [5] A. Grothendieck, J. Dieudonné, EGA, Inst. Hautes Etudes Sci. Publ. Math. 2 (8) (1961).
- [6] Y. Matsushima, Espaces homogènes de Stein des groupes de Lie complexes, Nagoya Math. J. 16 (1960) 205.
- [7] S. Montgomery, Hopf Algebras and Their Actions on Rings, Regional Conf. Series in Mathematics, vol. 82, 1992.
- [8] D. Mumford, Abelian Varieties, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1970.
- [9] J.-P. Serre, Groupes finis d'automorphismes d'anneaux locaux réguliers, Colloque d'Algèbre E.N.S.J.F., 1967.
- [10] M.E. Sweedler, Hopf Algebras, Benjamin, New York, 1969.
- [11] St.S.-T. Yau, Singularities defined by $sl(2, \mathbb{C})$ invariant polynomials and solvability of Lie algebras arising from isolated singularities, Am. J. Math. 108 (1986) 1215–1240.