

A NOTE ON MAXIMAL ORDERS OVER KRULL DOMAINS

Lieven LE BRUYN*

University of Antwerp, UIA, Belgium

Communicated by H. Bass

Received 8 November 1981

0. Introduction

The classical Mori-Nagata theorem (stating that the integral closure of a Noetherian domain is a Krull domain) is recently generalized to rings satisfying a polynomial identity in the following result by M. Chamaric:

Theorem 0.1 [1]. *If A is a Noetherian prime p.i.-ring with center R and ring of quotients Σ , then there exists an intermediate ring $A \subset A' \subset \Sigma$ which is a maximal order with center R^\sim (the complete integral closure of R) which is a Krull domain.*

Unlike in the commutative case, this 'integral closure' is by no means unique. This difficulty prompts the following question:

Question A. If A is a maximal order over a Krull domain R , with ring of quotients Σ (which is a central simple algebra over K , the field of fractions of R), is it possible to describe all other maximal R -orders in Σ by means of 'invariants' of A ?

In this paper we provide a positive answer to this question using cohomology of the sheaf of normalizing elements of A (introduced in [3]). Furthermore, we will apply this result in Section 3 in order to solve:

Question B. If R is a locally factorial Krull domain with field of fractions K , give necessary and sufficient conditions on R such that all maximal R -orders in $M_n(K)$ are conjugated.

1. Preliminaries

Throughout, we will consider the following situation. R is a Krull domain with field of fractions K and A is a maximal R -order in some central simple algebra Σ over K .

*The author is supported by an NFWO/FNRS grant.

With \mathcal{O}_R (resp. \mathcal{O}_A). We will denote the structure sheaf of R (resp. A) over $\text{Spec}(R)$. Our first objective is the introduction of the sheaf of normalizing elements of A , N_A . It is defined by assigning to an open set U of the Zariski topology on $\text{Spec}(R)$ the sections

$$\Gamma(U, N_A) = N(\Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_A)) = \{x \in \Sigma^* : x\Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_A) = \Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_A)x\}.$$

Proposition 1.1. N_A is a sheaf of groups and the stalk in a prime p of $\text{Spec}(R)$ equals $N(R_p)$.

Proof. Let us first check that N_A with inclusions as restriction morphisms is a presheaf. A typical open set of $\text{Spec}(R)$ is of the form $X(I) = \{p \in \text{Spec}(R) : I \not\subset p\}$ for some ideal I of R and it is well known that $\Gamma(X(I), \mathcal{O}_A) = Q_I(A) = \{x \in \Sigma : L \in \mathcal{L}(I) : Lx \subset A\}$ where $\mathcal{L}(I) = \{L \triangleleft R : I \subset \text{rad}(L)\}$. So, if $X(J) \subset X(I)$, then $\mathcal{L}(I) \subset \mathcal{L}(J)$ and we have to prove that $N(Q_I(A)) \subset N(Q_J(A))$. It follows from some results of Chamarie [1] that each $Q_I(A)$ is again a maximal order over its center which is a Krull domain and that the localization map $Q_I(\cdot)$ defines a group-epimorphism from $\text{Div}(A)$ onto $\text{Div}(Q_I(A))$, where $\text{Div}(\cdot)$ is the group of divisorial ideals, cf. e.g. [1].

Thus, if $x \in N(Q_I(A))$, then there exists a divisorial A -ideal A such that $Q_I(A) = Q_I(A)x$. Therefore, it will be sufficient to prove that $Q_J(A) = Q_J(A)x$. So, let $y \in Q_J(A)$, then there exists an ideal $K \in \mathcal{L}(J)$ such that $Ky \subset A \subset Q_I(A) = Q_I(A)x$, whence $Kyx^{-1} \subset Q_I(A) \subset Q_J(A)$ and thus $yx^{-1} \in Q_J(A)$ because every symmetric localization of A is idempotent, so $y \in Q_J(A)x$. Conversely, if $y \in Q_J(A)$ then $Ky \subset A$ for some $K \in \mathcal{L}(J)$, whence $Kyx \subset Ax \subset Q_I(A)$. Thus, for every $k \in K$, we can find an ideal $L \in \mathcal{L}(I) \subset \mathcal{L}(J)$ such that $Lkyx \subset A$ whence $k y x \in Q_J(A)$ and thus $Kyx \subset Q_J(A)$, yielding that $yx \in Q_J(A)$. Thus, $Q_J(A) = Q_J(A)x$ finishing the proof that N_A is a presheaf, which is clearly separated. Therefore we are left to prove the gluing property. So, let $\{U_i : i \in I\}$ be an open covering of U and let $x \in \Gamma(U_i, N_A)$ for every $i \in I$. Then,

$$x\Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_A) = x(\bigcap \Gamma(U_i, \mathcal{O}_A)) = \bigcap \Gamma(U_i, \mathcal{O}_A)x = \Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_A)x$$

whence $x \in \Gamma(U, N_A)$.

Finally, let us calculate the stalks of N_A at the point $p \in \text{Spec}(R)$. Clearly, $(N_A)_p \subset N(R_p)$. Conversely, if $x \in N(R_p)$, then there exists a divisorial A -ideal A such that $A_p = A_p x$. Thus, $(\mathcal{O}_A)_p = A_p x$ and likewise $(\mathcal{O}_{A^{-1}})_p = A_p x^{-1}$, where \mathcal{O}_A (resp. $\mathcal{O}_{A^{-1}}$) is the structure sheaf of A (resp. A^{-1}). Now, we can choose a neighborhood V of p such that $x \in \Gamma(V, \mathcal{O}_A)$ and $x^{-1} \in \Gamma(V, \mathcal{O}_{A^{-1}})$. Then,

$$x^{-1}\Gamma(V, \mathcal{O}_A)x \subset x^{-1}\Gamma(V, \mathcal{O}_A) \subset \Gamma(V, \mathcal{O}_A)$$

whence $\Gamma(V, \mathcal{O}_A)x \subset x\Gamma(V, \mathcal{O}_A)$ and likewise one can prove the other inclusion yielding that $x \in \Gamma(V, N_A)$, finishing the proof.

The sheaf N_A is not necessarily a constant sheaf, as the following example shows:

Example 1.2.. Let $A = \mathbb{C}[X, -]$ where $-$ denotes the complex conjugation, then A is a maximal order with center $\mathbb{R}[X^2]$. In [6] it is proved that $\{X^2 + c; c > 0\}$ is precisely the set of the prime ideals of $\mathbb{R}[X^2]$ whose valuation extends to a valuation in $\mathbb{C}(X, -)$. If N_A were constant, $N(R) = \mathbb{C}(X, -)$ yielding that every localization of A at a prime ideal is a valuationring, a contradiction.

2. The main theorem

In this section we aim to solve question A, i.e. we will show how one can construct all maximal R -orders in a central simple algebra Σ over K from a given maximal order A . From [1] we retain that all maximal R -orders are equivalent. Of course, being conjugated defines an equivalence relation on the set of all maximal R -orders, so our study splits up in two cases:

I: The study of those maximal orders which are conjugated to A . They are of course classified by the set $\Sigma^*/N(A)$.

II: A description of the equivalence classes of nonconjugate maximal orders.

The next theorem provides such a description by means of cohomology pointed sets, cf. e.g. [2, 5].

Theorem 2.1. *There is a one-to-one correspondence between:*

- (a) *equivalence classes of nonconjugate maximal orders,*
- (b) *elements of the pointed set $\varinjlim H_{\text{Zar}}^1(U, N_A)$, where the direct limit is taken over all open sets U of $\text{Spec}(R)$ containing $X^1(R)$, the set of all height one prime ideals of R .*

Proof. Let A' be any maximal R -order in Σ . By \mathcal{O} (resp. \mathcal{O}') we denote the structure sheaf of A (resp. A') over $\text{Spec}(R)$. \mathcal{T} (the conductor) is defined by assigning to an open set U of $\text{Spec}(R)$ the sections

$$\Gamma(U, \mathcal{T}) = \{x \in \Sigma : \Gamma(U, A')x \subset \Gamma(U, A)\}.$$

First, we check that \mathcal{T} is a sheaf. We claim that inclusions are well defined restriction morphisms. For, let $X(J) \subset X(I)$ be open sets of the Zariski topology of $\text{Spec}(R)$ and let $y \in \Gamma(X(I), \mathcal{T})$, $x \in \Gamma(X(J), \mathcal{O}')$, then $Lx \subset A'$ for some $L \in \mathcal{L}(J)$ whence $Lxy \subset \Gamma(X(I), \mathcal{O}) \subset \Gamma(X(J), \mathcal{O})$ entailing that $xy \in \Gamma(X(J), \mathcal{O})$ so $y \in \Gamma(X(J), \mathcal{T})$ finishing the proof of our claim. So, \mathcal{T} is a presheaf.

Furthermore, if U_i is an open covering of U and if $y \in \bigcap \Gamma(U_i, \mathcal{T})$, then $\Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}')y = \bigcap \Gamma(U_i, \mathcal{O}')y \subset \bigcap \Gamma(U_i, \mathcal{O}) = \Gamma(U, \mathcal{O})$ proving that $y \in \Gamma(U, \mathcal{T})$ and therefore \mathcal{T} is a sheaf.

For every open set U of $\text{Spec}(R)$, $\Gamma(U, \mathcal{O})$ and $\Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}')$ are both maximal

$\Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_R)$ -orders, hence they are equivalent. By a local application of Lemma VII.1.3 of [4] it follows that \mathbf{T} is a c- \mathcal{O} - \mathcal{O}' -ideal contained both in \mathcal{O} and in \mathcal{O}' . By this we mean that for every open set U , $\Gamma(U, \mathbf{T})$ is a left fractional $\Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}')$ -ideal and a right fractional $\Gamma(U, \mathcal{O})$ -ideal such that $(\Gamma(U, \mathbf{T})^{-1})^{-1} = \Gamma(U, \mathbf{T})$, where

$$\Gamma(U, \mathbf{T})^{-1} = \{x \in \Sigma : \Gamma(U, \mathbf{T})x \subset \Gamma(U, \mathcal{O})\} = \{x \in \Sigma : x\Gamma(U, \mathbf{T}) \subset \Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}')\}.$$

It is readily verified that \mathbf{T}^{-1} which is defined by taking for its sections $\Gamma(U, \mathbf{T}^{-1}) = \Gamma(U, \mathbf{T})^{-1}$ is also a sheaf and a c- \mathcal{O} - \mathcal{O}' -ideal.

Now, let p be any height one prime ideal of R . It is well known that A_p and A'_p are both principal left and right ideal rings. Therefore, there exists an invertible element s_p of Σ such that $(\mathbf{T})_p = s_p A_p$. Furthermore, $(\mathbf{T}^{-1})_p (\mathbf{T})_p = A_p$ entailing that $A_p s_p^{-1} A'_p s_p A_p = A_p$ whence $s_p^{-1} A'_p s_p \subset A_p$. By maximality of $s_p^{-1} A s_p$ this entails that $s_p^{-1} A'_p s_p = A_p$. We claim that there is a neighborhood $V(p)$ of p such that $s_p^{-1} (\mathcal{O}'|V(p)) s_p = \mathcal{O}|V(p)$.

Since both \mathbf{T} and \mathbf{T}^{-1} are sheaves, s_p and s_p^{-1} live on a neighborhood $V(p)$ of p . Therefore, $s_p \Gamma(V(p), \mathcal{O}) \subset \Gamma(V(p), \mathbf{T})$ and $\Gamma(V(p), \mathcal{O}) s_p^{-1} \subset \Gamma(V(p), \mathbf{T}^{-1})$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(V(p), \mathcal{O}) s_p^{-1} &\subset \Gamma(V(p), \mathbf{T}^{-1}) = \Gamma(V(p), \mathbf{T})^{-1} \\ &\subset (s_p \Gamma(V(p), \mathcal{O}))^{-1} = \Gamma(V(p), \mathcal{O}) s_p^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

and therefore $\Gamma(V(p), \mathbf{T}^{-1}) = \Gamma(V(p), \mathcal{O}) s_p^{-1}$ and likewise, $\Gamma(V(p), \mathbf{T}) = s_p \Gamma(V(p), \mathcal{O})$. This then entails that $s_p^{-1} (\mathcal{O}'|V(p)) s_p = \mathcal{O}|V(p)$.

Thus, $\bigcup V(p)$ is an open set containing $X^1(R)$. Now, $X^1(R)$ equipped with the induced Zariski topology is a Noetherian space and therefore we can find a finite number among these $V(p)$, say $V(p_1), \dots, V(p_n)$ such that $U = \bigcup V(p_i)$ contains $X^1(R)$.

For any $i, j \in 1, \dots, n$ we have that

$$s_{p_i} (\mathcal{O}|V(p_i) \cap V(p_j)) s_{p_i}^{-1} = s_{p_j} (\mathcal{O}|V(p_i) \cap V(p_j)) s_{p_j}^{-1}$$

and this entails that $s_{p_i}^{-1} s_{p_j} \in \Gamma(V(p_i) \cap V(p_j), N_A)$. Therefore $\{V(p_i), s_{p_i}\}$ describes a section of $\Gamma(U, \Sigma^*/N_A)$. Now consider the exact sequence of sheaves of pointed sets

$$1 \rightarrow N_A \rightarrow \Sigma^* \rightarrow \Sigma^*/N_A \rightarrow 1.$$

Taking sections over U yields the exact sequence of pointed sets

$$1 \rightarrow N(A) \rightarrow \Sigma^* \rightarrow \Gamma(U, \Sigma^*/N_A) \rightarrow H_{\text{Zar}}^1(U, N_A) \rightarrow 1.$$

Therefore, the section $\{V(p_i), s_{p_i}\}$ determines an element in $H_{\text{Zar}}^1(U, N_A)$ (and thus also in $\lim H_{\text{Zar}}^1(U, N_A)$) which differs from the distinguished element in $H_{\text{Zar}}^1(U, N_A)$ if and only if A' is not conjugated to A .

Conversely, let $s \in \lim H_{\text{Zar}}^1(U, N_A)$ and choose an open set U of $\text{Spec}(R)$ containing $X^1(R)$ and an element $s(U) \in H_{\text{Zar}}^1(U, N_A)$ which represents s . Using the above exact sequence, $s(U)$ is determined by some section in $\Gamma(U, \Sigma^*/N_A)$. Such a section is given by a set of couples $\{(U_i, s_i)\}$ where U_i is an open covering of U ,

$s_i \in \Gamma(U_i, \Sigma^*)$ for every i and for all i and j and we have that $s_i^{-1}s_j \in \Gamma(U_i \cap U_j, N_\Lambda)$. On U we will define the twisted sheaf of maximal orders $\mathcal{O}'|U$ by putting $\mathcal{O}'|U_i = s_i(\mathcal{O}|U_i)s_i^{-1}$. Using the fact that $s_i^{-1}s_j \in \Gamma(U_i \cap U_j, N_\Lambda)$ it is easily verified that this is indeed a sheaf. We claim that $\Lambda' = \Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}'|U)$ is a maximal R -order.

Firstly we will show that there exists an open refinement $\{W_k\}$ of $\{U_i\}$ and sections $t_k \in \Gamma(W_k, \Sigma^*)$ such that $t_k^{-1}t_1 \in \Gamma(W_k \cap W_1, \mathcal{O}^*)$ and with the property that the twisted sheaf of maximal orders determined by (W_k, t_k) coincides with \mathcal{O}' on $\bigcup W_k$. Because $X^1(R)$ is a Noetherian space, there are a finite number among the U_i , say U_1, \dots, U_n such that $U' = \bigcup U_i \supset X^1(R)$. For any i, j among $1, \dots, n$, $Z(i, j) = \{p \in U_i \cap U_j : s_i^{-1}s_j \notin \Lambda_p\}$ is a finite set, because $\text{Div}(\Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}))$ is the free abelian group generated by $X^1(R) \cap U$ for any open set U . So, $Z(1) = Z(1, 2) \cup Z(1, 3) \cup \dots \cup Z(1, n)$ is a finite set. Now because the Zariski topology induced on $X^1(R)$ is the cofinite topology, there exists an open V in $\text{Spec}(R)$ such that $V \cap X^1(R) = X^1(R) / Z(1)$. Take $W_1 = U_1 \cap V$, $W_i = U_i$, for $i \neq 1$, $t_1 = s_1|W_1$ and $t_i = s_i$ for $i \neq 1$, then $t_1^{-1} \cdot t_j \in \Gamma(W_1 \cap W_j, \mathcal{O}^*)$. Continuing in this manner we will eventually find (W_k, t_k) satisfying the requirements, in particular, if $W = \bigcup W_k$, then $\mathcal{O}'|W$ coincides with the twisted sheaf of maximal orders determined by the t_k .

Next we define a sheaf $\mathcal{T}|W$ by $\mathcal{T}|W_k = t_k(\mathcal{T}|W_k)$. Clearly, $\mathcal{T}|W$ is a right \mathcal{O} -ideal and $(\mathcal{T}|W)^{-1})^{-1} = \mathcal{T}|W$, this yields that for every open $V \subset W$, $\Gamma(V, \mathcal{O})$ is a right fractional $c\text{-}\Gamma(V, \mathcal{O})$ -ideal. This implies that $\mathcal{O}_1(\Gamma(V, \mathcal{T})) = \Gamma(V, \mathcal{O}'|W)$ is a maximal order.

In particular, $\Gamma(W, \mathcal{O}'|W) = \Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}'|U)$ is a maximal order.

Finally, the reader may check that the constructions above do not depend on the choices made.

Corollary 2.2. *If R is a Dedekind domain, there is a one-to-one correspondence between:*

- (a) *equivalence classes of non-conjugate maximal orders,*
- (b) *elements of $H_{\text{Zar}}^1(X, N_\Lambda)$.*

3. Application: maximal orders in matrixrings

In this section we aim to characterize those locally factorial (i.e. R_p is a UFD for every $p \in \text{Spec}(R)$) Krull domains for which all maximal orders in $M_n(K)$ are conjugated. In this situation we are able to compute $H_{\text{Zar}}^1(U, N_\Lambda)$ for $\Lambda = M_n(R)$.

With \mathbf{PGL}_n we will denote $\mathbf{Aut}(P_R^n)$, the automorphism scheme of the n -dimensional projective space over R , i.e. \mathbf{PGL}_n is the sheafification of the presheaf which assigns $\mathbf{PGL}_n(\Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_R))$ to any open set of $\text{Spec}(R)$, cf. e.g. [5].

Proposition 3.1. *If R is a locally factorial Krull domain and if $\Lambda = M_n(R)$, then $H_{\text{Zar}}^1(U, N_\Lambda) = H_{\text{Zar}}^1(U, \mathbf{PGL}_n)$ for every open set U of $\text{Spec}(R)$.*

Proof. If we assign to an open set U of $\text{Spec}(R)$ the group $\text{GL}_n(\Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_R)) \cdot K^* \subset \text{GL}_n(K)$, then this defines a presheaf of groups. Its sheafification will be denoted by $\mathbf{GL}_n \cdot K^*$. This sheaf is clearly a subsheaf of N_A . We will show that their stalks are isomorphic. If $p \in \text{Spec}(R)$ and if $x \in N(M_n(R_p))$, then $M_n(R)x = M_n(A)$ for some divisorial R_p -ideal A . Because R_p is a UFD, $A = R_p \cdot k$ for some $k \in K^*$, yielding that $x \in \text{GL}_n(R_p) \cdot K^*$ proving that $\mathbf{GL}_n \cdot K^* = N_A$.

The following sequence of sheaves of groups is exact:

$$1 \rightarrow K^* \rightarrow \mathbf{GL}_n \cdot K^* \rightarrow \mathbf{PGL}_n \rightarrow 1$$

where K^* denotes the constant sheaf associated with K^* .

Taking sections over U yields the following long exact cohomology sequence:

$$\begin{aligned} 1 \rightarrow \Gamma(U, K^*) \rightarrow \Gamma(U, N_A) \rightarrow \Gamma(U, \mathbf{PGL}_n) \\ \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow H^1_{\text{Zar}}(U, N_A) \rightarrow H^1_{\text{Zar}}(U, \mathbf{PGL}_n) \rightarrow 1, \end{aligned}$$

finishing the proof.

A. Dedekind domains

Proposition 3.2. *If R is a Dedekind domain, then all maximal R -orders in $M_n(K)$ are conjugated if and only if $(-)^n : \text{Cl}(R) \rightarrow \text{Cl}(R)$ sending $[A]$ to $[A^n]$ is an epimorphism.*

Proof. In view of Corollary 2.2 and Proposition 3.1 we have to find an equivalent condition for $H^1_{\text{Zar}}(X, \mathbf{PGL}_n) = 1$. Writing out the long exact cohomology sequence of the following exact sequence of sheaves of groups

$$1 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_R^* \rightarrow \mathbf{GL}_n \rightarrow \mathbf{PGL}_n \rightarrow 1$$

entails

$$H^1_{\text{Zar}}(X, \mathcal{O}_R^*) \xrightarrow{\delta} H^1_{\text{Zar}}(X, \mathbf{GL}_n) \rightarrow H^1_{\text{Zar}}(X, \mathbf{PGL}_n) \rightarrow H^2_{\text{Zar}}(X, \mathcal{O}_R^*).$$

Because R is a Dedekind domain (Krull dimension = 1) $H^2_{\text{Zar}}(X, \mathcal{O}_R^*) = 1$. Furthermore, $H^1_{\text{Zar}}(X, \mathbf{GL}_n)$ is the set of isomorphism classes of projective rank n R -modules, which we denote by $\text{Proj}_n(R)$. By Steinitz' result any projective rank n module is isomorphic to $J_1 \oplus \dots \oplus J_n$ for some fractional R -ideals J_i and δ is epimorphic if and only if there exists a fractional R -ideal I such that $J_1 \oplus \dots \oplus J_n \cong I \oplus \dots \oplus I$ yielding that $J_1 \dots J_n \cong I^n$, finishing the proof.

Remark 3.3. F. Van Oystaeyen suggested a more ringtheoretical proof of this result in the following way. Because all maximal R -orders in $M_n(K)$ are Morita equivalent and $M_n(R)$ is Azumaya, they are all Azumaya algebras. Furthermore $\text{Br}(R) \subset \text{Br}(K)$ whence any maximal order is of the form $\text{End}_R(P)$ where $P \in \text{Proj}_n(R)$. Applying again Steinitz' theorem to the condition $\text{End}_R(P) \cong M_n(R)$ yields the same condition on $\text{Cl}(R)$.

B. Regular local domains

We recover the classical result of M. Ramas for matrixrings:

Proposition 3.4. *If R is a regular local ring of $\text{gldim}(R) \leq 2$, then all maximal orders in $M_n(K)$ are conjugated.*

Proof. We have to check that $H_{\text{Zar}}^1(U, \text{PGL}_n) = 1$ where $U = X(m)$, m being the maximal ideal of R . Again consider the exact sequence

$$H_{\text{Zar}}^1(U, \mathcal{O}_R^*) \rightarrow H_{\text{Zar}}^1(U, \text{GL}_n) \rightarrow H_{\text{Zar}}^1(U, \text{PGL}_n) \rightarrow H_{\text{Zar}}^2(U, \mathcal{O}_R^*).$$

Now, $H_{\text{Zar}}^1(U, \text{GL}_n)$ is the set of isomorphism classes of reflexive R -modules which are free of rank n at every height one prime ideal of R , $\text{Ref}_n(R)$. Because $\text{gldim}(R) \leq 2$, reflexive modules are projective whence $\text{Ref}_n(R) = \text{Proj}_n(R)$ and $\text{Ref}_1(R) = \text{Pic}(R)$. Finally, R being local $\text{Pic}(R) = \text{Proj}_n(R) = 1$ and therefore all cohomology pointed sets above are trivial except perhaps $H_{\text{Zar}}^1(U, \text{PGL}_n)$ but exactness of the sequence finishes the proof.

C. Locally factorial Krull domains

Theorem 3.5. *If R is a locally factorial Krull domain then all maximal orders in $M_n(K)$ are conjugated if and only if the map from $\text{Cl}(R)$ to $\text{Ref}_n(R)$ sending $[I]$ to $[I \oplus \dots \oplus I]$ is surjective.*

Proof. Consider the exact sequence

$$\lim H^1(U, \mathcal{O}_R^*) \rightarrow \lim H^1(U, \text{GL}_n) \rightarrow \lim H^1(U, \text{PGL}_n) \rightarrow \lim H^2(U, \mathcal{O}_R^*)$$

where the direct limit is taken over all opens U containing $X^1(R)$.

Because R is locally factorial, Cartier divisors coincide with Weil divisors showing that the sequence

$$1 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_R^* \rightarrow K \rightarrow \text{Div} \rightarrow 1$$

is exact. Because the sheaf of Weil divisors, Div , is flabby, $H_{\text{Zar}}^2(U, \mathcal{O}_R^*) = 1$ for any open set U showing that the last term in the sequence vanishes.

So, by Theorem 2.1 and Proposition 3.1 all maximal orders in $M_n(K)$ are conjugated iff the map from $\lim H^1(U, \mathcal{O}_R^*) = \text{Cl}(R)$ to $\lim H^1(U, \text{GL}_n) = \text{Ref}_n(R)$ which is defined by sending a class of a divisorial ideal $[I]$ to $[I \oplus \dots \oplus I]$ is surjective.

Acknowledgement

It is a pleasure to thank F. Van Oystaeyen, J. Van Geel and M. Vanden Bergh for many stimulating conversations.

References

- [1] M. Chamarie, Anneaux de Krull non-commutatifs, Thèse, Université Claud-Bernard, Lyon (1981).
- [2] J. Giraud, Cohomologie non Abélienne, Grundlehren der Math. Wiss. 179 (Springer, Berlin, 1971).
- [3] L. Le Bruyn, Class groups and noncommutative algebraic geometry, Proceedings of the Emmy Noether conference, Antwerp (1982).
- [4] G. Maury and J. Raynaud, Ordres Maximaux au Sens de K. Asano, Lect. Notes in Mathematics 808 (Springer, Berlin, 1981).
- [5] J.S. Milne, Etale Cohomology (Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, 1980).
- [6] J.P. Van Deuren, J. Van Geel and F. Van Oystaeyen, Genus and a Riemann–Roch theorem for non-commutative function fields in one variable, Séminaire d'Algèbre P. Dubreil and M.P. Malliavin, Lect. Notes in Mathematics 867 (Springer, Berlin, 1981).