



ELSEVIER

Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra 109 (1996) 127–141

JOURNAL OF
PURE AND
APPLIED ALGEBRA

A note on wild fibers of elliptic surfaces

Reiko Ito^{a,*}, Toshiyuki Katsura^{b,1}

^a NEC Corporation, 1-10 Nisshincho, Fuchu City, Tokyo 183, Japan

^b Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Tokyo, Komaba, Meguro-Ku, Tokyo 183, Japan

Communicated by F. Oort; received 23 February 1994; revised 28 July 1994

0. Introduction

Let k be an algebraically closed field of characteristic p , and $f: S \rightarrow C$ an elliptic surface over k with C a non-singular complete curve. Assume that $f^{-1}(P) = dE$ ($P \in C$) is a multiple fiber with multiplicity d . The multiple fiber is called a tame fiber (resp. a wild fiber) if the order of the normal bundle $\mathcal{O}_S(E)|_E$ is equal to d (resp. less than d). In characteristic 0, there does not exist a wild fiber by the cohomological flatness. In positive characteristic, however, the existence of wild fibers makes the situation complicated. The notion of wild fiber was introduced in Bombieri and Mumford [1], and Raynaud [5] examined the structure of wild fiber in detail. In this note, we consider elliptic surfaces obtained as quotients of the product of a curve and a supersingular elliptic curve by rational vector fields in positive characteristic. We calculate numerical invariants of wild fibers of such elliptic surfaces (cf. Theorem 3.5). Moreover, we give a characterization of such elliptic surfaces over the projective line \mathbb{P}^1 (cf. Theorem 4.2). To calculate numerical invariants, Raynaud's results on wild fibers play an important role (cf. [5]). For the case of the product of a curve and an ordinary elliptic curve, we already treated this in [3].

1. Preliminaries

In this section, we recall some basic facts on elliptic surfaces and Raynaud's theory on wild fibers. For details, see Bombieri and Mumford [1] and Raynaud [5].

* Corresponding author.

¹ Partially supported by the Grants-in-Aid for Co-operative Research as well as Scientific Research, the Ministry of Education, Science and Culture, Japan

Throughout this paper, we fix an algebraically closed field k of characteristic $p > 0$. For a non-singular complete algebraic variety X of dimension n and a coherent sheaf \mathcal{F} on X , we use the following notation:

- \mathcal{O}_X : the structure sheaf of X ,
- K_X : a canonical divisor on X ,
- $b_i(X)$: the i th Betti number of X ,
- $c_n(X)$: the n th Chern number of X ,
- $q(X)$: the dimension of Albanese variety $\text{Alb}(X)$ of X ,
- $\text{Pic}^0(X)$: the Picard scheme of X ,
- $H^i(X, \mathcal{F})$: the i th cohomology group with coefficients in \mathcal{F} ,
- $\chi(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i \dim_k H^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$,
- $\text{Supp } \mathcal{F}$: the support of \mathcal{F} ,
- $\Gamma(U, \mathcal{F})$: the group of sections of \mathcal{F} over an open set U of X .

For divisors E_1 and E_2 on X , $E_1 \sim E_2$ means that E_1 is linearly equivalent to E_2 . Sometimes, a Cartier divisor and the associated invertible sheaf will be identified. For a rational number x , $[x]$ denotes the integral part of x .

Now, let $f: S \rightarrow C$ be an elliptic surface defined over k with C a non-singular complete curve. We assume that $f: S \rightarrow C$ is relatively minimal, i.e., no fibers of f contain exceptional curves of the first kind. Let \mathcal{T} be the torsion part of $R^1 f_* \mathcal{O}_S$. Since C is a non-singular curve, we have $R^1 f_* \mathcal{O}_S \simeq \mathcal{L} \oplus \mathcal{T}$ with an invertible sheaf \mathcal{L} . We denote by $d_i E_i$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, \lambda$) the multiple singular fibers of $f: S \rightarrow C$ with multiplicities d_i . We have the canonical divisor formula,

$$K_S \sim f^*(K_C - \mathcal{L}) + \sum_{i=1}^{\lambda} a_i E_i, \tag{1.1}$$

where a_i 's are integers such that $0 \leq a_i \leq d_i - 1$, and where

$$-\deg \mathcal{L} = \chi(S, \mathcal{O}_S) + t \quad \text{with } t = \text{length } \mathcal{T}. \tag{1.2}$$

We take a multiple fiber dF among $d_i E_i$'s ($i = 1, 2, \dots, \lambda$), and set $Q = f(dF)$. We denote by a the a_i corresponding to the multiple fiber dF . We denote by $\omega_{S/C}$ the relative dualizing sheaf on S . We can naturally consider nF as a subscheme of S . The dualizing sheaf ω_n of nF is given by

$$\omega_n = \omega_{S/C} \otimes \mathcal{O}_S(nF)|_{nF}. \tag{1.3}$$

We denote by v the order of $\mathcal{O}_S(E)|_E$. Then, there exists a positive integer γ such that

$$d = vp^\gamma \tag{1.4}$$

(cf. [5, Lemma 3.7.7]). Using the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S(-nF) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{nF} \rightarrow 0,$$

we see $\chi(\mathcal{O}_{nF}) = 0$. Therefore, by Raynaud [5, Corollary 3.7.6] and the Serre duality, we have the following:

Lemma 1.1 (Raynaud). *Assume $n \geq 2$. If ω_n is not trivial, then*

$$\dim_k H^0(nF, \mathcal{O}_{nF}) = \dim_k H^0((n-1)F, \mathcal{O}_{(n-1)F}).$$

If ω_n is trivial, then

$$\dim_k H^0(nF, \mathcal{O}_{nF}) = \dim_k H^0((n-1)F, \mathcal{O}_{(n-1)F}) + 1.$$

Lemma 1.2 (Raynaud [5, Lemma 3.7.7]). *Assume $n \geq 2$. Then, we have either $\text{ord}(\mathcal{O}_S(F)|_{nF}) = \text{ord}(\mathcal{O}_S(F)|_{(n-1)F})$ or $\text{ord}(\mathcal{O}_S(F)|_{nF}) = p \text{ord}(\mathcal{O}_S(F)|_{(n-1)F})$. In the latter case, ω_n is trivial.*

We denote by n_i ($i = 0, 1, \dots, \gamma$) the smallest integer n such that $\mathcal{O}_S(F)|_{nF}$ is of order νp^i . By definition, we have $n_0 = 1$, and by Lemma 1.2, ω_{n_i} ($i = 0, 1, \dots, \gamma$) is trivial. Using the notation above, we have the following:

Lemma 1.3 (Raynaud [5, Lemma 3.7.9, p. 31]). (i) *There exists an integer $k_i > 0$ such that $n_{i+1} = n_i + k_i \nu p^i$.*

(ii) *There exists a positive integer h such that $n_\gamma = mh - a$.*

Lemma 1.4 (Raynaud [5, Theorem 3.8.1, p. 32]). *The length of \mathcal{T} at a point Q of C is given by*

$$\text{length } \mathcal{T}_Q = \lceil \chi/d \rceil,$$

where $\chi = d\{(1 - 1/d) + k_0(1 - 1/p^\nu) + \dots + k_{\gamma-1}(1 - 1/p)\}$.

Corollary 1.5. *Assume $d = p$ and $\nu = 1$. Then,*

$$\text{length } \mathcal{T}_Q = \lceil n_1(p - 1)/p \rceil.$$

Proof. By assumption, we have $\gamma = 1$. By Lemma 1.3(i), we have $n_1 = 1 + k_0$. Therefore, by Lemma 1.4 we have

$$\chi = p\{(1 - 1/p) + (n_1 - 1)(1 - 1/p)\} = n_1(p - 1). \quad \square$$

2. Rational vector fields

Let D be a non-zero rational vector field on a non-singular algebraic variety X . D is called a p -closed vector field if there exists a rational function f on X such that $D^p = fD$. In particular, D is called additive if $D^p = 0$. For an affine open covering $\{\text{Spec } A_i\}_{i \in I}$ of X , we set $A_i^p = \{\alpha \in A_i \mid D(\alpha) = 0\}$ for each $i \in I$. Then, $\text{Spec } A_i^p$ ($i \in I$) glue together to define a quotient surface X^D . It is well known that X^D is normal. If

D is p -closed, then the canonical projection $\pi_D: X \rightarrow X^D$ is a finite purely inseparable morphism of degree p . Conversely, if $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$ is a finite purely inseparable morphism of degree p with a normal variety Y , then there exists a p -closed rational vector field D such that $\pi = \pi_D$ and $Y = X^D$. Moreover, X^D is non-singular if and only if D has no isolated singularities. We denote by (D) the divisor associated with D . For details on these facts, see, for example, Rudakov and Shafarevich [6].

Lemma 2.1. *Let E be a supersingular elliptic curve and δ a non-zero regular vector field on E . Then, for any point Q of E , there exists a rational function f on E such that $\delta(f) = 1$ and such that f is regular at Q .*

Proof. Since E is supersingular, the vector field δ is additive. By Ganong and Russell [2, Lemma 3.3.1], there exists a rational function h such that $\delta(h) = 1$. If h is regular at Q , then we can take $f = h$. Assume that h has a pole at Q . Then, we take a point P of E such that h is regular at P . There exists a translation T of E such that $T(Q) = P$. We set $f = T^*(h)$. Then, f is regular at Q . Since δ is invariant under translation, we have

$$\delta(f) = \delta(T^*h) = (T_*\delta)h = \delta(h) = 1. \quad \square$$

Now, let C be a non-singular complete curve of genus g . Let P be a point of C , and x a local parameter at P . We take a rational vector field $\Delta = h(\partial/\partial x)$ of C , where h is a non-zero rational function on C . We set

$$\Delta^i = \sum_{j=1}^i h_{ij} \frac{\partial^j}{\partial x^j}. \tag{2.1}$$

Then, by definition, we have

$$h_{11} = h. \tag{2.2}$$

Moreover, denoting $\partial/\partial x$ by $'$, we have for $i \geq 2$

$$\begin{aligned} h_{i1} &= h_{11}h'_{i-1,1}, \\ h_{ij} &= h_{11}\{h_{i-1,j-1} + h'_{i-1,j}\} \quad (1 < j < i), \\ h_{ii} &= h_{11}h_{i-1,i-1} = h^i. \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

In characteristic $p > 0$, we have

$$h_{pj} = 0 \quad (2 \leq j \leq p-1) \quad \text{and} \quad h_{pp} \frac{\partial^p}{\partial x^p} = 0. \tag{2.4}$$

Therefore, as is well known, Δ is additive if and only if $h_{p1} = 0$.

Let P be a zero point of h , and x a local parameter at P . Then, h is expressed as

$$h = ux^m, \tag{2.5}$$

where m is a positive integer and where u is a unit at P . By direct calculation, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 2.2. *If Δ is additive, then $m \not\equiv 1 \pmod p$. Moreover, $\text{ord}_p h_{ij} \geq i(m - 1) + j$.*

3. Numerical invariants of wild fibers

Let C (resp. E) be a non-singular complete curve (resp. a supersingular elliptic curve) over k . We set $X = E \times C$. Let Δ (resp. δ) be a non-zero additive vector field on C (resp. a non-zero regular vector field on E). Since E is supersingular, δ is additive. Let $\tilde{Q}_i (i = 1, 2, \dots, \lambda)$ be the zero points of Δ . We denote by m_i the order of zero of Δ at \tilde{Q}_i . We naturally extend δ and Δ to vector fields on X , which we denote by the same letters. We set $D = \delta + \Delta$. We note that, by Ganong and Russell [2, Lemma 3.7.1], any divisorial p -closed rational vector field on X can be normalized in this form in the case of $C = \mathbb{P}^1$. (In this normal form, either δ or Δ may be zero.) We set

$$S = X^D \quad \text{and} \quad B = C^{\Delta}. \tag{3.1}$$

Then, we have a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S & \xleftarrow{\pi} & X = E \times C \\ f \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{pr} \\ B & \xleftarrow{F} & C, \end{array} \tag{3.2}$$

where pr is the second projection, where f is the morphism induced by pr , and where π and F are natural morphisms. The morphism F is nothing but the Frobenius morphism. In this section, we examine the elliptic surface $f: S = X^D \rightarrow B = C^{\Delta}$. We set $Q_i = F(\tilde{Q}_i)$, ($i = 1, 2, \dots, \lambda$). By Rudakov and Shafarevich [6, Proposition 1], the multiple fibers of f exist only over Q_i ($i = 1, \dots, \lambda$). By our construction, $f^{-1}(Q_i)$ is a multiple fiber of an elliptic curve E_i . By Rudakov and Shafarevich [6, Proposition 1], $\pi^{-1}(E_i)$ is reduced and $\pi^{-1}(E_i) = \text{pr}^{-1}(\tilde{Q}_i)$. Since E is supersingular, E_i is also supersingular. We denote by d_i the multiplicity of $f^{-1}(Q_i)$. By $\pi^{-1}(f^{-1}(Q_i)) = \text{pr}^{-1}(F^{-1}(Q_i))$ we have $d_i(\text{pr}^{-1}(\tilde{Q}_i)) = p(\text{pr}^{-1}(\tilde{Q}_i))$. Therefore, we have $d_i = p$ and $f^{-1}(Q_i) = pE_i$. Since the Picard variety $\text{Pic}^0(E_i)$ has no points of order p , we have $\text{ord}_{\mathcal{O}_S(E_i)|_{E_i}} = 1$; hence, $f^{-1}(Q_i)$ is a wild fiber.

Lemma 3.1. $\chi(S, \mathcal{O}_S) = 0$.

Proof. Since π is radical, we have $c_2(S) = c_2(X)$. Since $c_2(X) = 0$, we have $c_2(S) = 0$. By Noether’s formula, we have $\chi(S, \mathcal{O}_S) = (K_S^2 + c_2(S))/12 = 0$. \square

Take any point \tilde{Q} among \tilde{Q}_i ’s. We set $Q = F(\tilde{Q})$. Let x be a local parameter at \tilde{Q} . We denote by m the order of zero of Δ at \tilde{Q} . Then, Δ is expressed as

$$\Delta = ux^m \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \tag{3.3}$$

where u is a unit at \tilde{Q} . As we see above, $f^{-1}(Q) = pF$ is a wild fiber. By Lemma 2.2, we have $m \geq 2$. We set $\pi^{-1}(F) = \tilde{E}$. Then, we have $\tilde{E} = \text{pr}^{-1}(\tilde{Q})$. Since we have the natural isomorphism

$$H^0(\ell\tilde{E}, \mathcal{O}_{\ell\tilde{E}}) \simeq k[x]/(x^m), \tag{3.4}$$

we see that $\{1, x, \dots, x^{m-1}\}$ is a basis of $H^0(\ell\tilde{E}, \mathcal{O}_{\ell\tilde{E}})$. Let z be a point on F in S , and \tilde{z} a point on \tilde{E} in X such that $\pi(\tilde{z}) = z$. Let $\text{Spec}(R)$ be an affine open neighbourhood of \tilde{z} , and \tilde{m} the maximal ideal of R which corresponds to \tilde{z} . We set $A = R_{\tilde{m}} \simeq (\mathcal{O}_X)_{\tilde{z}}$. We set $V = \text{Spec } R^D$ and $\mathfrak{m} = R^D \cap \tilde{m}$. Then V is an affine open neighborhood of z , and \mathfrak{m} is the maximal ideal which corresponds to z . We easily see $A^D = (R^D)_{\mathfrak{m}} = (\mathcal{O}_S)_z$. Let y be a local equation of F at z . Since $\pi^{-1}(F) = \tilde{E}$, we have $y = vx$ in A with a unit v on \tilde{E} . Then, we have

$$(\mathcal{O}_{\ell\tilde{E}})_{\tilde{z}} \simeq A/(x^m) \quad \text{and} \quad (\mathcal{O}_{\ell F})_z \simeq A^D/(y^m),$$

and we have the natural inclusion

$$A^D/(y^m) \subset A/(x^m). \tag{3.5}$$

By (3.3), we have

$$D(x^i) = iux^{m+i-1}.$$

Since $m \geq 2$ by the assumption on D , D induces a rational vector field on the subscheme $\ell\tilde{E}$, especially on $\text{Spec } A/(x^m)$, and we have

$$A^D/(y^m) \subset (A/(x^m))^D. \tag{3.6}$$

Therefore, we have

$$H^0(\ell F, \mathcal{O}_{\ell F}) \subset (H^0(\ell\tilde{E}, \mathcal{O}_{\ell\tilde{E}}))^D. \tag{3.7}$$

We express the point \tilde{z} of $X = E \times C$ as $\tilde{z} = (\tilde{z}_1, \tilde{Q})$ where $\tilde{z}_1 \in E$ and $\tilde{Q} \in C$. By Lemma 2.1, there exists a rational function f on E such that

$$\delta(f) = 1 \tag{3.8}$$

and such that f is regular at \tilde{z}_1 . We naturally regard f as a rational function on X . We set for $1 \leq \alpha \leq p - 1$

$$F_{i\alpha} = \left\{ (-1)^\alpha \frac{1}{\alpha!} \sum_{\beta=1}^{\alpha} \left(\prod_{\gamma=0}^{\beta-1} (i - \gamma) \right) h_{\alpha\beta} x^{i-\beta} \right\} f^\alpha, \tag{3.9}$$

and

$$g_i = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{p-1} F_{i\alpha} + x^i. \tag{3.10}$$

Then, we have the following two lemmas.

Lemma 3.2. Assume $1 + \ell - m \leq i \leq \ell - 1$ and $i \geq 1$. Then,

$$F_{ix} \in A \quad \text{and} \quad \text{ord}_{\bar{E}} F_{ix} \geq (\alpha - 1)(m - 1) + \ell.$$

Proof. The former part is trivial. As for the latter part, by assumption we have

$$\text{ord}_{\bar{E}} F_{ix} \geq \alpha(m - 1) + \beta + i - \beta \geq (\alpha - 1)(m - 1) + \ell. \quad \square$$

Lemma 3.3. Assume $1 + \ell - m \leq i \leq \ell - 1$ and $i \geq 1$. Then,

$$g_i = x^i \text{ in } A/(x^\ell) \quad \text{and} \quad g_i \in A^D.$$

Proof. The former part follows from Lemma 3.2. It is clear that $g_i \in A$. Using the notation in (2.1), by (3.8) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(g_i) &= \sum_{\alpha=1}^{p-1} \left\{ (-1)^\alpha \frac{1}{(\alpha-1)!} \sum_{\beta=1}^{\alpha} \left(\prod_{\gamma=0}^{\beta-1} (i-\gamma) \right) h_{\alpha\beta} x^{i-\beta} \right\} f^{\alpha-1} \\ &= \sum_{\alpha=0}^{p-2} \left\{ (-1)^{\alpha+1} \frac{1}{\alpha!} \sum_{\beta=1}^{\alpha+1} \left(\prod_{\gamma=0}^{\beta-1} (i-\gamma) \right) h_{\alpha+1\beta} x^{i-\beta} \right\} f^\alpha, \\ h \frac{\partial g_i}{\partial x} &= h \left\{ \sum_{\alpha=1}^{p-1} \left((-1)^\alpha \frac{1}{\alpha!} \sum_{\beta=1}^{\alpha} \left(\prod_{\gamma=0}^{\beta-1} (i-\gamma) \right) h_{\alpha\beta} x^{i-\beta-1} \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + (-1)^\alpha \frac{1}{\alpha!} \sum_{\beta=1}^{\alpha} \left(\prod_{\gamma=0}^{\beta-1} (i-\gamma) \right) h'_{\alpha\beta} x^{i-\beta} \right) f^\alpha \right\} + ihx^{i-1} \\ &= \sum_{\alpha=1}^{p-1} \left\{ (-1)^\alpha \frac{1}{\alpha!} \left(\sum_{\beta=2}^{\alpha+1} \left(\prod_{\gamma=0}^{\beta-1} (i-\gamma) \right) hh_{\alpha, \beta-1} x^{i-\beta} \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + \sum_{\beta=1}^{\alpha} \left(\prod_{\gamma=0}^{\beta-1} (i-\gamma) \right) hh'_{\alpha\beta} x^{i-\beta} \right) f^\alpha \right\} + ihx^{i-1} \\ &= \sum_{\alpha=1}^{p-1} \left\{ (-1)^\alpha \frac{1}{\alpha!} \left(ih'_{\alpha 1} hx^{i-1} + \sum_{\beta=2}^{\alpha} \left(\prod_{\gamma=0}^{\beta-1} (i-\gamma) \right) h(h_{\alpha, \beta-1} + h'_{\alpha\beta}) x^{i-\beta} \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + \left(\prod_{\gamma=0}^{\alpha} (i-\gamma) \right) hh_{\alpha\alpha} x^{i-\alpha-1} \right) f^\alpha \right\} + ihx^{i-1} \\ &= \sum_{\alpha=1}^{p-1} \left\{ (-1)^\alpha \frac{1}{\alpha!} \left(ih_{\alpha+1, 1} x^{i-1} + \sum_{\beta=2}^{\alpha} \left(\prod_{\gamma=0}^{\beta-1} (i-\gamma) \right) h_{\alpha+1, \beta} x^{i-\beta} \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + \left(\prod_{\gamma=0}^{\alpha} (i-\gamma) \right) h_{\alpha+1, \alpha+1} x^{i-\alpha-1} \right) f^\alpha \right\} + ihx^{i-1} \\ &= \sum_{\alpha=1}^{p-1} \left\{ (-1)^\alpha \frac{1}{\alpha!} \left(\sum_{\beta=1}^{\alpha+1} \left(\prod_{\gamma=0}^{\beta-1} (i-\gamma) \right) h_{\alpha+1, \beta} x^{i-\beta} \right) f^\alpha \right\} + ihx^{i-1}. \end{aligned}$$

By $h_{11} = h$ and $h_{p\beta} = 0$ ($1 \leq \beta \leq p - 1$), we have

$$\begin{aligned} D(g_i) &= (-1)ih_{11}x^{i-1} \\ &\quad + (-1)^{p-1} \frac{1}{(p-1)!} \sum_{\beta=1}^p \left(\prod_{\gamma=0}^{\beta-1} (i-\gamma) \right) h_{p\beta} x^{i-\beta} f^{p-1} + ihx^{i-1} \\ &= (-1)^{p-1} \frac{1}{(p-1)!} \left(\prod_{\gamma=0}^{p-1} (i-\gamma) \right) h_{pp} x^{i-p} f^{p-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\prod_{\gamma=0}^{p-1} (i-\gamma) \equiv 0 \pmod p$, we have

$$D(g_i) = 0;$$

hence, $g_i \in A^D$. \square

We denote by V_1 the subspace of $H^0(\ell\tilde{E}, \mathcal{O}_{\ell\tilde{E}})$ spanned by x^{pi} 's ($pi \leq \ell - 1, i \geq 0$), and by V_2 the subspace of $H^0(\ell\tilde{E}, \mathcal{O}_{\ell\tilde{E}})$ spanned by x^i 's ($1 + \ell - m \leq i \leq \ell - 1, i \geq 0, i \not\equiv 0 \pmod p$). Since x^{pi} 's ($i \geq 0$) are elements of A^D for each local ring A at any point of \tilde{E} , we see $V_1 \subset H^0(\ell F, \mathcal{O}_{\ell F})$. Since $D(x^i) = 0$ ($1 + \ell - m \leq i \leq \ell - 1$) in $A/(x')$ by $m \geq 2$, we have $x^i \in (A/(x'))^D$. By (3.6) and Lemma 3.3, we have $x^i \in A^D/(y')$. Therefore, we have $V_2 \subset H^0(\ell F, \mathcal{O}_{\ell F})$. Hence, we have

$$V_1 \oplus V_2 \subset H^0(\ell F, \mathcal{O}_{\ell F}) \subset (H^0(\ell\tilde{E}, \mathcal{O}_{\ell\tilde{E}}))^D. \tag{3.11}$$

We denote by V_3 the subspace of $H^0(\ell\tilde{E}, \mathcal{O}_{\ell\tilde{E}})$ spanned by x^i 's ($1 \leq i \leq \ell - m, i \not\equiv 0 \pmod p$). Then, we have

$$H^0(\ell F, \mathcal{O}_{\ell F}) \oplus V_3 = H^0(\ell\tilde{E}, \mathcal{O}_{\ell\tilde{E}}) \quad \text{and} \quad V_3 \cap H^0(\ell\tilde{E}, \mathcal{O}_{\ell\tilde{E}})^D = 0. \tag{3.12}$$

By (3.11) and (3.12), we have the following lemma.

Lemma 3.4. $H^0(\ell F, \mathcal{O}_{\ell F}) \simeq V_1 \oplus V_2 = (H^0(\ell\tilde{E}, \mathcal{O}_{\ell\tilde{E}}))^D$.

For a real number α , we denote by $[\alpha]$ the integral part of α .

Theorem 3.5. Under the notation above, the multiple fibers $f^{-1}(Q_i) = pE_i$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, \lambda$) are wild fibers, and we have:

- (1) $\dim_k H^0(\ell F, \mathcal{O}_{\ell F}) = \begin{cases} \ell & \text{if } 1 \leq \ell \leq m, \\ m + \left\lfloor \frac{\ell - m}{p} \right\rfloor & \text{if } m < \ell. \end{cases}$
- (2) The smallest positive integer n_1 such that $\text{ord } \mathcal{O}_S(F)|_{n_1 F} = p$ is equal to m .
- (3) $K_S \sim f^* \left(K_C + \sum_{i=1}^{\lambda} \left\lfloor \frac{m_i(p-1)}{p} \right\rfloor Q_i \right) + \sum_{i=1}^{\lambda} a_i E_i$,

where

$$a_i = \begin{cases} p - \left(m_i - \left[\frac{m_i}{p} \right] p \right) & \text{if } m_i \not\equiv 0 \pmod p, \\ 0 & \text{if } m_i \equiv 0 \pmod p. \end{cases}$$

Proof. As we have already shown, $f^{-1}(Q) = pF$ is a wild fiber.

(1) By definition, we have

$$\dim V_1 + \dim V_2 = \begin{cases} \ell & \text{if } 1 \leq \ell \leq m, \\ (\ell - 1) - (\ell - m) + 1 - \left[\frac{\ell - m}{p} \right] & \text{if } m < \ell. \end{cases}$$

Therefore, by Lemma 3.4, we complete the proof of (1).

(2) In the canonical divisor formula as in (1.1), we denote by a the a_i corresponding to the wild fiber pF . Then, we have

$$\omega_n = \mathcal{O}_S((a + n)F)|_{nF}$$

and

$$v = \text{ord } \mathcal{O}_S(F)|_F = 1, \quad p = vp^1.$$

Therefore, in expression (1.4), we have $\gamma = 1$. Using the notation in Section 1, by the definition of n_1 , we have

$$\text{ord } \mathcal{O}_S(F)|_{nF} = 1 \quad \text{for } 1 \leq n < n_1;$$

therefore, ω_n is trivial for $1 \leq n < n_1$. Therefore, by Lemma 1.1, we have

$$\dim H^0(nF, \mathcal{O}_{nF}) = \dim H^0((n - 1)F, \mathcal{O}_{(n-1)F}) + 1 \quad \text{for } 1 < n < n_1. \tag{3.13}$$

In case $n = n_1$, we have $\text{ord } \mathcal{O}_S(F)|_{n_1F} = p$ by the definition of n_1 . By Lemma 1.2, ω_{n_1} is trivial; therefore, we have by Lemma 1.1

$$\dim H^0(n_1F, \mathcal{O}_{n_1F}) = \dim H^0((n_1 - 1)F, \mathcal{O}_{(n_1-1)F}) + 1 \tag{3.14}$$

and $p \nmid a + n_1$. Therefore, $p \nmid a + (n_1 + 1)$ and ω_{n_1+1} is not trivial. By Lemma 1.1, we have

$$\dim H^0((n_1 + 1)F, \mathcal{O}_{(n_1+1)F}) = \dim H^0(n_1F, \mathcal{O}_{n_1F}). \tag{3.15}$$

On the other hand, by the result in (1), we know

$$\begin{aligned} \dim H^0(\ell F, \mathcal{O}_{\ell F}) &= \ell = \dim H^0((\ell - 1)F, \mathcal{O}_{(\ell-1)F}) + 1 \quad \text{for } 1 < \ell \leq m, \\ \dim H^0((m + 1)F, \mathcal{O}_{(m+1)F}) &= m + \left[\frac{(m + 1) - m}{p} \right] = m = \dim H^0(mF, \mathcal{O}_{mF}). \end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

Comparing (3.13), (3.14) and (3.15) with (3.16), we conclude $n_1 = m$.

(3) By Lemma 1.3, there exists a positive integer h such that $a = ph - n_1 = ph - m$. Since $0 \leq a \leq p - 1$, we have

$$a = \begin{cases} p - \left(m - \left\lfloor \frac{m}{p} \right\rfloor p \right) & \text{if } m \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}, \\ 0 & \text{if } m \equiv 0 \pmod{p}. \end{cases}$$

Now, we consider the canonical divisor formula of S as in (1.1). In $R^1 f_* \mathcal{O}_S \simeq \mathcal{L} \oplus \mathcal{F}$, we express \mathcal{F} as

$$\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{F}_\lambda, \quad \text{Supp } \mathcal{F}_i = Q_i \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, \lambda).$$

We set $t_i = \text{length } \mathcal{F}_i$. Then, we have $\text{length } \mathcal{F} = t = \sum_{i=1}^\lambda t_i$, and by Corollary 1.5 and (2), we have

$$t_i = \left\lfloor \frac{m_i(p-1)}{p} \right\rfloor.$$

Therefore, we get the formula in (3). \square

Corollary 3.6. $a_i \neq p - 1$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, \lambda$).

Proof. This follows from Theorem 3.5(3) and Lemma 2.2. \square

Theorem 3.7. Under the same notation as in Theorem 3.5, assume, moreover, $C \simeq \mathbb{P}^1$. Then, $B \simeq \mathbb{P}^1$ and the Frobenius mapping F on $H^1(S, \mathcal{O}_S)$ is the zero mapping.

Proof. The fact $B \simeq \mathbb{P}^1$ is clear. In the diagram (3.2), take a general point P of B . Then, $f^{-1}(P) = G$ is an elliptic curve, and $\pi^{-1}(G) = p\tilde{E}$ with a general fiber \tilde{E} of pr. Therefore, $\pi|_{\tilde{E}}: \tilde{E} \rightarrow G$ is an isomorphism. We set $h = \pi|_{\tilde{E}}$. We have a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G & \xleftarrow{h} & \tilde{E} \\ g \downarrow & & \downarrow \tilde{g} \\ S & \xleftarrow{\pi} & E \times \mathbb{P}^1, \end{array} \tag{3.17}$$

where g and \tilde{g} are natural inclusions. By an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S(-G) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_G \rightarrow 0,$$

we have a long exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} \longrightarrow H^1(S, \mathcal{O}_S) &\xrightarrow{g^*} H^1(G, \mathcal{O}_G) \longrightarrow H^2(S, \mathcal{O}_S(-G)) \\ \longrightarrow H^2(S, \mathcal{O}_S) &\longrightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

By the Serre duality $H^2(S, \mathcal{O}_S(-G)) \simeq H^0(S, \mathcal{O}_S(K_S + G))$, we have

$$\dim H^2(S, \mathcal{O}_S(-G)) = \dim H^2(S, \mathcal{O}_S) + 1.$$

Since $H^1(G, \mathcal{O}_G) \simeq k$, we see that g^* is a zero mapping. By the diagram (3.17), we have the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 H^1(G, \mathcal{O}_G) & \xrightarrow{h^*} & H^1(\tilde{E}, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{E}}) \\
 \uparrow g^* & & \uparrow \hat{g}^* \\
 H^1(S, \mathcal{O}_S) & \xrightarrow{\pi^*} & H^1(E \times \mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}_{E \times \mathbb{P}^1}).
 \end{array} \tag{3.18}$$

Since g^* is a zero mapping and h^*, \hat{g}^* are isomorphisms, we conclude that π^* is a zero mapping.

Now, we take an element α of $H^1(S, \mathcal{O}_S)$. Take an affine open covering $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ of S , and express α as a Čech cocycle $\{\alpha_{ij}\}_{i, j \in I}$ with respect to this covering. Set $V_i = \pi^{-1}(U_i)$. Since π is a finite morphism, $\{V_i\}_{i \in I}$ is an affine open covering of $E \times \mathbb{P}^1$. Since $\pi^*\alpha = 0$, there exists a regular function α_i on V_i such that

$$\pi^*(\alpha_{ij}) = \alpha_j - \alpha_i.$$

Therefore, we have $\pi^*(\alpha_{ij}^p) = \alpha_j^p - \alpha_i^p$. Since $\alpha_i^p \in k(E \times \mathbb{P}^1)^p$ and $k(E \times \mathbb{P}^1)^p \subset k(S)$, there exists a rational function β_i on U_i such that $\pi^*\beta_i = \alpha_i^p$. Since α_i is regular on V_i , β_i is also regular on U_i . Hence, we have

$$\alpha_{ij}^p = \beta_j - \beta_i$$

with a regular function β_i on U_i ($i \in I$). This means that $F(\alpha) = 0$ in $H^1(S, \mathcal{O}_S)$. \square

4. A characterization of certain elliptic surfaces

Let $f: S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ be an elliptic surface which has the multiple fibers pE_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, \lambda$; $\lambda \geq 1$) with multiplicity p . We set $f(pE_i) = Q_i$ ($i = 1, \dots, \lambda$). We assume

$$\chi(S, \mathcal{O}_S) = 0. \tag{4.1}$$

Then, as is easily proved, f has no degenerate fibres except over $f(pE_i) = Q_i$ ($i = 1, \dots, \lambda$). We fix a general point P of \mathbb{P}^1 . A canonical divisor of S is given by

$$K_S \sim f^*((-2 + t)P) + \sum_{i=1}^{\lambda} a_i E_i, \tag{4.2}$$

where t is the length of the torsion part of $R^1 f_* \mathcal{O}_S$, and $0 \leq a_i \leq p - 1$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, \lambda$). We assume two more conditions:

$$a_i \neq p - 1 \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, \lambda). \tag{4.3}$$

$$\text{The Frobenius mapping } F \text{ on } H^1(S, \mathcal{O}_S) \text{ is the zero mapping.} \tag{4.4}$$

Lemma 4.1. *Under the assumptions (4.1), (4.3) and (4.4), all multiple fibers pE_i are wild, and any fiber of f is either a supersingular elliptic curve or a multiple fiber of a supersingular elliptic curve.*

Proof. By $\lambda \geq 1$, we have some multiple fibers. Since $a_i \neq p - 1$, pE_i is a wild fiber. By the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S(-E_i) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{E_i} \rightarrow 0,$$

we have a long exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} &\longrightarrow H^1(S, \mathcal{O}_S) \xrightarrow{r} H^1(E_i, \mathcal{O}_{E_i}) \longrightarrow H^2(S, \mathcal{O}_S(-E_i)) \\ &\longrightarrow H^2(S, \mathcal{O}_S) \longrightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

By the Serre duality and $a_i \neq p - 1$, we have

$$H^2(S, \mathcal{O}_S(-E_i)) \simeq H^0(S, \mathcal{O}_S(K_S + E_i)) \simeq H^0(S, \mathcal{O}_S(K_S)) \simeq H^2(S, \mathcal{O}_S).$$

Since $H^1(E_i, \mathcal{O}_{E_i}) \simeq k$, we see that r is surjective. By assumption, the action of the Frobenius mapping F on $H^1(S, \mathcal{O}_S)$ is trivial, and so is the action on $H^1(E_i, \mathcal{O}_{E_i})$. Therefore, E_i is a supersingular elliptic curve.

Since $\chi(S, \mathcal{O}_S) = 0$, we have $c_2(S) = 2 - 4q(S) + b_2(S) = 0$ by Noether’s formula. Therefore, we have $q(S) \geq 1$. Since the base curve is \mathbb{P}^1 , we have $q(S) \leq 1$ (cf. [4, Lemma 3.4]). Therefore, $q(S) = 1$ and the Albanese mapping $\psi : S \rightarrow \text{Alb}(S)$ is surjective (cf. [4, Lemma 3.4]). Therefore, for any fiber $f^{-1}(P)$ ($P \in \mathbb{P}^1$), the restriction of ψ on $f^{-1}(P)$ is surjective. This means that E_i is isogenous to $\text{Alb}(S)$ and that any regular fiber is also isogenous to $\text{Alb}(S)$. Hence, any regular fiber is also supersingular. \square

Theorem 4.2. *Let $f : S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ be an elliptic surface with multiple fibers pE_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, \lambda; \lambda \geq 1$) with multiplicity p . Assume that the elliptic surface satisfies the conditions (4.1), (4.3) and (4.4). Then, the elliptic surface is constructed as in (3.1) with $C = \mathbb{P}^1$. Namely, there exist a supersingular elliptic curve, a non-zero regular vector field δ on E and a non-zero rational vector field Δ on \mathbb{P}^1 such that $S = (E \times \mathbb{P}^1)^D$ with $D = \delta + \Delta$ and such that $f : S \rightarrow (\mathbb{P}^1)^A = \mathbb{P}^1$ is the natural projection.*

Proof. We take a point P_i among P_i ’s ($i = 1, 2, \dots, \lambda$). We denote it by P_0 , and we set $pE_0 = f^{-1}(P_0)$. Let x be a local coordinate of an affine line \mathbb{A}^1 in \mathbb{P}^1 . We may assume that $x = 0$ defines the point P_0 , and that the fiber E_∞ over the point P_∞ at infinity is a regular fiber. Multiplying x , we have an isomorphism

$$\times x : \mathcal{O}_S(-E_\infty) \simeq \mathcal{O}_S(-pE_0).$$

Therefore, we have an isomorphism

$$\times x : H^1(S, \mathcal{O}_S(-E_\infty)) \simeq H^1(S, \mathcal{O}_S(-pE_0)). \tag{4.5}$$

By the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_S(-E_\infty) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_S \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{E_\infty} \longrightarrow 0,$$

we have a long exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \longrightarrow H^1(S, \mathcal{O}_S(-E_\infty)) \longrightarrow H^1(S, \mathcal{O}_S) \longrightarrow H^1(E_\infty, \mathcal{O}_{E_\infty}) \\ \longrightarrow H^2(S, \mathcal{O}_S(-E_\infty)) \longrightarrow H^2(S, \mathcal{O}_S) \longrightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\dim H^2(S, \mathcal{O}_S(-E_\infty)) = \dim H^2(S, \mathcal{O}_S) + 1$ and $\dim H^1(E_\infty, \mathcal{O}_{E_\infty}) = 1$, we have an isomorphism

$$H^1(S, \mathcal{O}_S(-E_\infty)) \simeq H^1(S, \mathcal{O}_S). \tag{4.6}$$

By (4.5) and (4.6), we have an isomorphism

$$\varphi: H^1(S, \mathcal{O}_S) \simeq H^1(S, \mathcal{O}_S(-E_\infty)) \simeq H^1(S, \mathcal{O}_S(-pE_0)). \tag{4.7}$$

Considering the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_S(-E_0) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_S & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{E_0} \longrightarrow 0 \text{ (exact)} \\ & & \uparrow & & \parallel & & \uparrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_S(-pE_0) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_S & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{pE_0} \longrightarrow 0 \text{ (exact)}, \end{array}$$

we have a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} H^1(S, \mathcal{O}_S(-E_0)) & \longrightarrow & H^1(S, \mathcal{O}_S) & \xrightarrow{\rho} & H^1(S, \mathcal{O}_{E_0}) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \uparrow & & \parallel & & \uparrow & & \\ H^1(S, \mathcal{O}_S(-pE_0)) & \xrightarrow{\phi} & H^1(S, \mathcal{O}_S) & \xrightarrow{\rho_1} & H^1(S, \mathcal{O}_{pE_0}), & & \end{array} \tag{4.8}$$

where the first and the second rows are exact by the assumption $a_i \neq p - 1$. Using (4.7) and (4.8), we have a homomorphism

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \phi \circ \varphi: H^1(S, \mathcal{O}_S) & \longrightarrow & H^1(S, \mathcal{O}_S(-E_\infty)) \xrightarrow{\times x} H^1(S, \mathcal{O}_S(-pE_0)) \\ & \xrightarrow{\phi} & H^1(S, \mathcal{O}_S). \end{array} \tag{4.9}$$

We know $H^1(S, \mathcal{O}_{E_0}) \simeq k$. Take an element α of $H^1(S, \mathcal{O}_S)$ such that $\rho(\alpha) \neq 0$. We consider elements of $H^1(S, \mathcal{O}_S)$ given by

$$\alpha, \phi \circ \varphi(\alpha), (\phi \circ \varphi)^2(\alpha), \dots, (\phi \circ \varphi)^n(\alpha). \tag{4.10}$$

Since $H^1(S, \mathcal{O}_S)$ is finite-dimensional, the elements of (4.10) are linearly dependent if n is large enough. We take the smallest integer n such that the elements of (4.10) are linearly dependent. Then, there exists $(b_0, b_1, \dots, b_n) \in k^{n+1}$ such that

$$b_0\alpha + b_1\phi \circ \varphi(\alpha) + \dots + b_n(\phi \circ \varphi)^n(\alpha) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad (b_0, b_1, \dots, b_n) \neq 0. \tag{4.11}$$

Suppose $b_0 \neq 0$. Then, we have

$$\alpha = -\phi \left\{ \frac{b_1}{b_0} \varphi(\alpha) + \dots + \frac{b_n}{b_0} \varphi \circ (\phi \circ \varphi)^{n-1}(\alpha) \right\}.$$

Therefore, we have $\rho(\alpha) = 0$. A contradiction. Therefore, we have $b_0 = 0$. We take the smallest integer ℓ such that $b_\ell \neq 0$. We set

$$\beta = b_\ell \alpha + b_{\ell+1} \phi \circ \alpha + \cdots + b_n (\phi \circ \alpha)^{n-\ell}(\alpha).$$

Since $b_\ell \neq 0$, we have

$$\rho(\beta) = \rho(b_\ell \alpha) \neq 0, \tag{4.12}$$

and by (4.11) we have

$$(\phi \circ \alpha)^\ell(\beta) = 0. \tag{4.13}$$

We take an affine open covering $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ of S , and we represent β by a Čech cocycle $\beta = \{\beta_{ij}\}$ ($\beta_{ij} \in \Gamma(U_i \cap U_j, \mathcal{O}_S)$) with respect to $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$. By (4.13), there exist $\beta_i \in \Gamma(U_i, \mathcal{O}_S)$ ($i \in I$) such that

$$x^\ell \beta_{ij} = \beta_j - \beta_i \quad \text{on } U_i \cap U_j \quad (i, j \in I). \tag{4.14}$$

On the other hand, by the assumption (4.4), there exist $h_i \in \Gamma(U_i, \mathcal{O}_S)$ ($i \in I$) such that

$$\beta_{ij}^p = h_j - h_i \quad \text{on } U_i \cap U_j \quad (i, j \in I). \tag{4.15}$$

By (4.14) and (4.15), we have

$$h_i - \left(\frac{\beta_i}{x^\ell}\right)^p = h_j - \left(\frac{\beta_j}{x^\ell}\right)^p \quad \text{on } U_i \cap U_j \quad (i, j \in I). \tag{4.16}$$

We set

$$h = h_i - \left(\frac{\beta_i}{x^\ell}\right)^p \quad \text{on } U_i \quad (i \in I). \tag{4.17}$$

Then, by (4.16) h is a rational function on S . The pole of h exists only on E_0 . Since $f_* \mathcal{O}_S = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}$, we see that there exists a rational function $g(x)$ on \mathbb{P}^1 such that

$$f^*(g(x)) = h. \tag{4.18}$$

We set

$$\omega = dh_i \quad \text{on } U_i \quad (i \in I). \tag{4.19}$$

Since β is not zero in $H^1(S, \mathcal{O}_S)$, by (4.15) ω is a non-zero 1-form on S . Moreover, by (4.17) and (4.18) we have

$$\omega = f^*(dg(x)). \tag{4.20}$$

Now, we consider the purely inseparable covering X of S of degree p defined by

$$\begin{cases} z_i^p = h_i & \text{on } U_i & (i \in I), \\ z_j = z_i + \beta_{ij} & \text{on } U_i \cap U_j & (i, j \in I). \end{cases} \tag{4.21}$$

We denote by π the natural morphism $X \rightarrow S$. Since $\beta \neq 0$, this covering is not trivial. By (4.19) and (4.21), we have $\pi^*\omega = 0$. Therefore, by (4.20) we have $d(\pi^*f^*(g(x))) = 0$. Therefore, there exists a rational function \tilde{g} on X such that

$$\tilde{g}^p = \pi^*f^*(g(x)).$$

This means that the base curve \mathbb{P}^1 is not algebraically closed in the function field $k(X)$. Considering the normalization of this base curve \mathbb{P}^1 in $k(X)$, we have the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} S & \xleftarrow{\tilde{F}} & \tilde{S} & \xleftarrow{\mu} & X & \xleftarrow{\nu} & \tilde{X} \\ f \downarrow & & \downarrow f' & & & & \\ \mathbb{P}^1 & \xleftarrow{F} & \mathbb{P}^1 & & & & \end{array} \tag{4.22}$$

where \tilde{S} is the fiber product of S and \mathbb{P}^1 over \mathbb{P}^1 , where ν is the normalization of X , and $\pi = \tilde{F} \circ \mu$. Since π is a purely inseparable morphism of degree p , we see that F is also a purely inseparable morphism of degree p , that is, the Frobenius morphism. We set

$$\tilde{f} = f' \circ \mu \circ \nu.$$

Since any fiber of f is either an elliptic curve or a multiple fiber of an elliptic curve, we see that \tilde{X} is non-singular. By (4.12), the restriction of the covering π on E_0 is non-trivial. Therefore, $\nu^{-1} \circ \pi^{-1}(E_0)$ is a regular fiber of $\tilde{f}: \tilde{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$. On the other hand, $\tilde{f}: \tilde{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ is constructed by using the base change by the Frobenius mapping $F: \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ as in the diagram (4.22). Therefore, we conclude that $\tilde{f}: \tilde{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ has no multiple fibers. Therefore, this elliptic surface has no degenerate fibers. Hence, as is well known, \tilde{X} is isomorphic to $E \times \mathbb{P}^1$ with an elliptic curve E , and \tilde{f} is the second projection. By Lemma 4.1, E must be supersingular. Since $\pi \circ \nu$ is radical, by the standard theory of vector field in positive characteristic we complete our proof (cf. [2, Section 3]). \square

References

[1] E. Bombieri and D. Mumford, Enriques' classification of surfaces in char. p. II., in: W.L. Baily Jr. and T. Shioda, Eds., Complex Analysis and Algebraic Geometry (Iwanami Shoten, Tokyo, and Princeton Univ. Press. Princeton, NJ, 1977) 22–42.
 [2] R. Ganong and P. Russel, Derivations with only divisional singularities on rational and ruled surfaces, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 26 (1982) 165–182.
 [3] T. Katsura, Multicanonical systems of elliptic surfaces in small characteristics, Composito Math. 97 (1995) 119–134.
 [4] T. Katsura and K. Ueno, On elliptic surfaces in characteristic p , Math. Ann. 272 (1985) 291–330.
 [5] M. Raynaud, Surfaces elliptiques et quasi-elliptiques, manuscript.
 [6] A.N. Rudakov and I.R. Shafarevich, Inseparable morphisms of algebraic surfaces, Izv. Acad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. 40 (1976) 1269–1307 (Engl. Transl. Math. USSR Izv. 5 (1976) 1205–1237).