

A NOTE ON ČECH AND KAN EXTENSIONS OF HOMOTOPY FUNCTORS

Allan CALDER

Birbeck College, London, U.K. and New Mexico State University, Las Cruces, NM 88003, USA

Jerrold SIEGEL

University of Missouri, St. Louis, MO 63121, USA

Communicated by P.J. Freyd
Received February 1980

In [1] we claimed the following result:

Theorem ([1], 2.8). *If $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{P})$ is a Čech extension pair of subcategories of TOP and $F: \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is a homotopy invariant functor into a complete category \mathcal{A} , then the Čech extension of F to \mathcal{F} agrees with its Kan extension and the Kan extension taken in the homotopy category composed with the quotient functor.*

A key step in the proof was:

Theorem ([1], 2.4). *If $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{P})$ is a Čech extension pair then the codeterminate extension of homotopy from \mathcal{P} to \mathcal{F} is homotopy over \mathcal{P} .*

Frei points out in [3] that our proof of this second theorem is defective when \mathcal{P} contains polyhedra which are not locally finite. This defect is of a technical rather than a conceptual nature. In fact, Theorem (1.7) of [1] implies that if the result is true, as indeed it is, there must be a proof using our calculus of codeterminate extensions.

Let $\hat{P} = \{(z_1, z_2) \in P \times P: z_1 \text{ and } z_2 \text{ be in a single simplex of } P\}$, where P is a polyhedron and \hat{P} has the weak topology. The difficulty in the proof of 2.4 arises when P is not locally finite. In this situation product maps $(f, g): X \rightarrow \hat{P}$ need not be continuous. This problem can be avoided by factoring through the strong topology.

We now give details. All numbered references and notation are from [1].

For a given polyhedron P , let $\kappa: P_s \rightarrow P$ be the map described in ([2], p. 354). κ is a homotopy inverse of $i: P \rightarrow P_s$, the identity (set) map.

Lemma. *Let $\bar{\kappa} = \kappa i$. Then $\psi = (\bar{\kappa} \times \bar{\kappa})(P \times P)_s \rightarrow P \times P$ is continuous and moreover $\psi(\hat{P}_s) \subseteq \hat{P}$.*

Proof. The continuity of ψ follows from the fact that it inherits from κ the property that every point of $(P \times P)_s$ has an open neighborhood whose image lies in a finite subcomplex of $P \times P$ where the two topologies agree.

That $\psi(\hat{P}_s) \subseteq \hat{P}$ follows immediately from the various definitions of the spaces and maps involved.

Proof of 2.4. We need only show $h^k \supseteq h_{\mathcal{P}}$. Using the notation of 2.1 and 2.6, let $f, g: X \rightarrow Y$ be maps in \mathcal{F} such that $fh_{\mathcal{P}}g$ and $\pi: Y \rightarrow P$ be a map in \mathcal{F} such that $P \in \text{ob } \mathcal{P}$. Then $\pi f \sim \pi g$. Finally, let $Q \in \text{ob } \mathcal{P}$ be as in 2.5.

The data required by 1.7 is:

$$\begin{aligned} Q_1 &= P, & \phi_1 &= \pi f, & \pi_{1,0} &= \text{id} \text{ and } \pi_{1,1} = \bar{\kappa}, \\ Q_2 &= \hat{P}, & \phi_2(x) &= \psi(\pi f(x), \theta(\pi'(x), 0)), & \pi_{2,0} &= p_1 \text{ and } \pi_{2,1} = p_2, \\ Q_3 &= Q, & \phi_3 &= \pi', & \pi_{3,0} &= \bar{\kappa} \theta_0 \pi' \text{ and } \pi_{3,1} = \bar{\kappa} \theta_1 \pi', \\ Q_4 &= \hat{P}, & \phi_4(x) &= \psi(\theta(\pi'(x), 1), \pi g(x)), & \pi_{4,0} &= p_1 \text{ and } \pi_{4,1} = p_2, \\ Q_5 &= P, & \phi_5 &= \pi g, & \pi_{5,0} &= \bar{\kappa}, & \pi_{5,1} &= \text{id}. \end{aligned}$$

The result now follows from 1.7.

References

- [1] A. Calder and J. Siegel, Kan extensions of homotopy functors, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 12 (1978) 253–269.
- [2] A. Dold, *Lectures in Algebraic Topology* (Springer, Berlin–Heidelberg, 1972).
- [3] A. Frei, Kan extensions along full functors: Kan and Čech extensions of homotopy invariant functors, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 17 (1980) 285–292.
- [4] A.T. Lundell and S. Weingram, *The Topology of CW-complexes* (Van Nostrand, Princeton, NJ, 1969).