SERIAL RINGS AND DIRECT DECOMPOSITIONS

M. HARADA

Dept. of Mathematics, Osaka City University, Sugimoto-cho, Sumiyoshi-ku, Osaka, Japan

Communicated by F. Van Oystaeyen Received December 1982

We have studied some relationships between serial rings and the lifting (extending) property of simple modules in [5], [6] and [9]. We shall continue to study the similar problem in this note.

In the second section we shall deal with more general rings than serial rings defined by Nakayama [10]. He showed that if a ring R is a serial ring, then every finitely generated module is a direct sum of serial modules. In this paper we shall give a characterization for a semi-perfect ring to satisfy the above decomposition for modules of finite length (Theorem 4).

We refer to [5] and [9] for the definition of the lifting (extending) property of simple modules.

1. Definitions

Let R be a ring with identity. Every module in this paper is a unitary right R-module. For an R-mdule M, |M| means the length of the composition series. We shall denote the Jacobson radical and the socle of M by J(M) and S(M), respectively. Put $J^n(M) = J(J^{n-1}(M))$ and $S_n(M)/S_{n-1}(M) = S(M/S_{n-1}(M))$ inductively. Then $M \supseteq J(M) \supseteq J^2(M) \supseteq \cdots$ and $0 \subseteq S_1(M) \subseteq S_2(M) \cdots$ are called the *upper* Loewy series and the lower Loewy series, respectively. If each factor module $J^n(M)/J^{n+1}(M)$ $(S_{n+1}(M)/S_n(M))$ is simple or zero, this upper (lower) Loewy series is a unique composition series such that $|M/J^n(M)| = n (|S_n(M)| = n)$ and if $|M/N| = m < \infty$ ($|N| = m < \infty$) for some submodule $N, N = J^m(M)$ ($N = S_m(M)$), provided that $J^k(M) \neq J^{k+1}(M)$ ($S_k(M) \neq S_{k+1}(M)$) for all $k \leq m - 1$. If M has the unique chain as above, we call M an upper (lower) serial module. An upper (lower) serial module M with $J^t(M) = 0$ ($S_t(M) = M$) for some t is called a serial module and in this case $S_t(M) = J^{t-r}(M)$. It may happen, by the definition, for upper (lower) serial modules that $J^{n-1}(M) \supseteq J^n(M) \supseteq J^n(M) \supseteq J^n(M) \supseteq J^n(M) \supseteq J^n(M) \supset J^n(M) \supseteq J^n(M) J^n(M)$

 $(0 \subset S_1(M) \subset S_2(M) \subset \cdots \subset S_n(M))$ is a unique composition series of $M/J^n(M)$ $(S_n(M))$ and that $J^n(M)$ contains no maximal submodules $(M/S_n(M))$ contains no simple submodules).

If there exists a non-zero cyclic hollow and projective module, and every cyclic hollow projective module is an upper serial module, then we call R a right upper serial ring (cf. [15]). Such a projective module is isomorphic to eR, where e is a primitive idempotent by [3], Proposition 1. As the concept dual to the above, if every indecomposable injective module with non-zero socle is a lower serial module, we call R a right lower coserial ring (cf. [6]). Further, if R is a right artinian ring in the above, we say that R is a right serial (coserial) ring, following Nakayama [10]. If we say that R is artinian or serial, etc., then we mean that R is left and right artinian or serial, etc.

2. Upper serial rings

We shall give a characterization for a semi-perfect ring R to be upper serial (lower coserial).

Lemma 1. Let R be a perfect ring. Then every upper (lower) serial module has finite length and is a serial module.

Proof. Let $M \supset J(M) \supset J^2(M) \supset \cdots$ be the upper Loewy series, which is serial. Put $M' = \bigcap_n J^n(M)$. Since R is perfect, M/M' has a simple submodule T/M', and $|M/T| < \infty$ from the definition. Hence $T = J^m(M)$ for some m, and so $J^{m+1}(M) = J^{m+2}(M)$. Therefore $J^{m+1}(M) = 0$. Let $0 \subset S_1(M) \subset S_2(M) \subset \cdots$ be the lower Loewy series, which is serial and put $M' = \bigcup_n S_n(M)$. Then $M' \neq M'J$. Hence $|M'J| < \infty$, and so we know similarly that $S_m(M) = S_{m+1}(M)$ for some m. Since R is semi-artinian, $M = S_m(M)$.

We know from this lemma that a perfect and right upper serial ring is a right serial ring. We shall give some examples of non-artinian serial rings in the last part of this section.

Consider an exact sequence

(*)
$$0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} P_i \rightarrow B \rightarrow 0,$$

where the P_i are serial modules of finite length.

Theorem 2. (1) Every B in any exact sequence (*) is a direct sum of serial modules if and only if R is a right lower coserial ring.

(2) Assume that R is a semi-perfect ring. Every A in any exact sequence (*) is a direct sum of serial modules if and only if R is a right upper serial ring.

Proof. (1) Assume that R is a right lower coserial ring. Put $P = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} P_i$ and let S be a simple submodule of A. Since P has the extending property of simple modules by [6], Theorem 6, we have a direct decomposition $P = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} P'_i$ such that $S \subseteq P'_1$ and each P'_i is isomorphic to some P_i . Then $B \approx P/A \approx (P/S)/(A/S) \approx$ $(P'_1/S \oplus \bigoplus_{i\geq 2} P'_i)/(A/S)$. We note that P'_1/S is also serial. Hence, repeating this manner, we know that $B \approx \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} P''_i/A_i$ and P''_i/A_i are serial. Conversely, we assume that B is a direct sum of serial modules. let E be an indecomposable and injective module with simple socle. Assume that $0 \subseteq S_1(E) \subseteq S_2(E) \subseteq \cdots \subseteq S_n(E)$ is the lower Loewy series with $S_i(E)/S_{i-1}(E)$ simple. Let C_i be a submodule of $S_{n+1}(E)$ such that $C_i/S_n(E)$ is simple for i=1,2. Then C_i is serial. Put $D = C_1 \oplus C_2$. Then we have the natural epimorphism $: D \to C_1 + C_2 \subseteq E$. Since $C_1 + C_2$ is uniform, $C_1 + C_2$ is serial by assumption. Hence $C_1 = C_2$.

(2) Assume that R is a right upper serial and semi-perfect ring. Then $R = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{r} e_i R$, where the e_i are primitive idempotents. Let P be a serial module of finite length. Since $P = \sum_{p \in P} pe_i R$ and P is hollow, $P \approx e_i R/e_i J^{s_i}$ for some integer s_i . Hence we may assume that $P = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} e_i R/e_i J^{s_i} \supset A$. Let N be a maximal submodule containing A. Since P has the lifting property of simple modules modulo the radical by [6], Theorem 5, there exists a direct decomposition $P = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} P_i'$ such that $N = J(P_1') \oplus \bigoplus_{i\geq 2} P_i'$ by [7], Theorem 1, where $P_i' \approx e_i' R/e_i' J^{s_i'}$. Since P_1' is serial, $J(P_1')$ is serial. Hence we know by induction that $A \approx \bigoplus e_i R/e_i J^{n_i}$. Conversely, we assume that $eR \supset eJ \supset eJ^2 \supset \cdots \supset eJ^n$ is the upper Loewy series with eJ^i/eJ^{i+1} simple. Let C_i be a submodule of eJ^n such that eJ^n/C_i is simple and $C_i \supseteq eJ^{n+1}$ for i=1,2. Then we have the natural monomorphism : $eR/(C_1 \cap C_2) \rightarrow eR/C_1 \oplus eR/C_2$. Hence $eR/(C_1 \cap C_2)$ is serial by assumption, and so $C_1 = C_2$. Therefore eJ^n/eJ^{n+1} is either simple or zero.

Let R be a semi-perfect ring. If eR contains the simple socle essential in eR for every primitive idempotent e, R is called a right QF-2 ring [14]. If every indecomposable injective module is a cyclic hollow module, R is called a right QF-2* ring [4].

Corollary 3. Let R be a right artinian ring.

(1) R is a right coserial ring if and only if every B in (*) is a direct sum of uniform modules, provided that the P_i in (*) are always uniform modules, and R is right QF-2*.

(2) R is a right serial ring if and only if A in (*) is a direct sum of hollow modules, provided that the P_i are always hollow modules, and R is a right QF-2 ring.

Proof. (1) Assume that R is a right QF-2* ring and that B is a direct sum of uniform modules. Let E be an indecomposable injective module. Then J(E) is a unique maximal submodule of E. Let N be a proper submodule of E. Then E/N is indecomposable and uniform by assumption. Hence E is a (lower) serial module. The converse is clear from lemma 1 and Theorem 2.

(2) This is dual to (1).

Theorem 4. Let R be a semi-perfect ring. Then the following condition are equivalent:

- (1) Every module of finite length is a direct sum of serial modules.
- (2) R is a right lower coserial and right upper serial ring.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2). This is an immediate consequence of Theorem 2.

(2) \Rightarrow (1). Let *M* be an *R*-module of finite length. Then *M* is a sum of cyclic hollow submodules isomorphic to some $e_i R/e_i A_i$ for *R* is semi-perfect, where the e_i are primitive idempotents. Since the $e_i R/e_i A$ are serial by (2), *M* is a direct sum of serial modules by Theorem 2.

Corollary 5. Let R be a commutative and semi-perfect ring. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) R is an upper serial ring.
- (2) R is a lower coserial ring.
- (3) Every module of finite length is a direct sum of serial modules.

Proof. (1) \Leftrightarrow (2). *R* is a direct sum of local rings. Hence we may assume that *R* is a local ring with *J* maximal ideal. Let E = E(R/J) the injective hull of *R/J*. Then

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(J^{n}/J^{n+1}, E) = \operatorname{Hom}_{R}(J^{n}/J^{n+1}, R/J) \approx S_{n+1}(E)/S_{n}(E)$$

by [13], Lemma 1. Hence, if R is an upper serial ring, R is a lower coserial ring. Furthermore, E is a cogenerator. Hence $r_R(l_E(A)) = A$ for every ideal A of R, where

$$l_E(A) = \{x \in E \mid xA = 0\}$$
 and $r_R(X) = \{r \in R \mid Xr = 0\}$

for a subset X of E. Let $S = \text{End}_R(E)$. Then S contains the naturally homomorphic image of R and the $S_n(E)$ are S-modules. If $S_{n+1}(E)/S_n(E)$ is a simple R-module (and hence simple S-module), J^n/J^{n+1} is simple, since $J^n = r_R(l_E(J^n)) = r_R(S_n(E))$, and so if R is a lower coserial ring, then R is an upper serial ring.

(1) \Leftrightarrow (3). This is clear by Theorem 4.

Examples. (1) Let Z be the ring of integers and p a prime. Then Z is a lower coserial ring, but not an upper serial ring, for there exist no cyclic hollow projectives. Put $R_1 = Z_p \oplus E(Z/p)$ and $R_2 = Z_{(p)} \oplus E(Z/p)$ trivial extensions, where $Z_{(p)} = \operatorname{End}_Z(E(Z/p))$ [11]. Then every ideal of R_i is of the form $(p^n) \oplus E(Z/p)$ or $0 \oplus (a/p^n)$. Hence R_1 and R_2 are upper serial and lower coserial rings by Corollary 5. Since R_2 is a self-injective ring and is an essential extension of R_1 as an R_1 -module, R_2 is an R_1 -injective. We note that

$$\bigcup_{n} S_{n}(R_{i}) \neq R_{i} \quad \text{and} \quad \bigcap_{n} \left((p^{n}) \oplus E(Z/p) \right) \neq 0.$$

 $\prod_{p} Z_{p}$ is an upper serial ring which is not semi-perfect ring.

Every local ring R with $J^2 = J$ is an upper serial and lower coserial ring. In this

case the modules with finite length are semi-simple.

(2) Let R be a maximal (hereditary) order over a local Dedekind domain K in a simple algebra over the quotient field of K. Then R is an upper serial and lower coserial ring by Theorem 4 and [15], Theorem 3.1.

3. Serial rings

In this section we shall study some characterizations of (artinian) serial rings. First we shall give an alternative proof for the essential part (d) \Rightarrow (a) of [2], Theorem 5.4.

Theorem 6 (Fuller). Let R be a perfect ring. If R is a right lower coserial and right QF-2 ring (if R is a right upper serial and right QF-2* ring), then every finitely generated module is a direct sum of serial modules. Hence R is a serial ring (cf. [1]).

Proof. If R is a right upper serial ring and a right QF-2* ring, then R is a right artinian ring by Lemma 1 and every indecomposable injective module is of the form eR/eA. Hence R is a right lower coserial and right QF-2 ring. Therefore every finitely generated module is a sum of serial submodules and so is a direct sum of serial modules by Theorem 2. Accordingly, R is a left serial ring by [6], Theorem 6 and [12], Corollary 4.4.

Theorem 7. Let R be a perfect ring. Then the following conditions are equivalent: (1) R is an artinian serial ring.

(2) Every module (generated by two elements) has the lifting property of simple modules modulo the radical.

(3) Every module (generated by two elements) has the extending property of simple modules.

Proof. (2) \Rightarrow (1). Assume that every module generated by two elements has the lifting property of simple modules modulo the radical. Then R is a right artinian and right serial ring by [5], Theorem 2 and [6], Theorem 5. Further every indecomposable module generated by two elements is hollow by (2). Let e and f be primitive idempotents. Consider the module $A = eR/eJ^{t} \oplus fR/fJ^{t'}$ and A/S, where

 $S = \{(x, g(x)) \mid x \in eR/eJ^t, g \in \operatorname{Hom}_R(S(eR/eJ^t), S(fR/fJ^t)))\}.$

If neither eR/eJ' nor fR/fJ' is simple, A/S is not indecomposable by the above remark. Hence R is left serial by [12], Lemmas 2.1 and 4.3.

(3) \Rightarrow (1). Since eR/eA is indecomposable for any primitive idempotent e and any right ideal eA, eR/eA is uniform by (3). Hence eR is a right artinian and serial module by Lemma 1. Let E be and indecomposable and injective module. Assume $S_i(E)/S_{i-1}(E)$ is simple for $i \le t$. Let A_1 and A_2 be submodules of $S_{t+1}(E)$ such that $A_i \supset S_t(E)$ and $A_i/S_t(E)$ is simple for i = 1, 2. Then it is clear from the construction

of $S_i(E)$ that $J(A_i) = S_i(E)$ and hence A_i is hollow. Put $B = A_1 \oplus A_2$. Since B is generated by two elements, B has the extending property of simple modules by (3). Hence the identity mapping of $S_1(E)$ is extendable to an element g in $\operatorname{Hom}_R(A_1, A_2)$ by [9], Corollary 8. g is also extendable to an element in $\operatorname{End}_R(E)$. Hence $A_1 = A_2$ by the proof of [6], Theorem 6. Therefore R is a right lower serial ring, and so R is serial by Theorem 6.

 $(1) \Rightarrow (2), (3)$. This is clear by [5], Theorem 4, [9], Theorem 36 and [10].

If R is a right serial and local ring, every cyclic module has the lifting property and the extending property of simple modules. However, R is not a serial ring in general. In the above proof, we have utilized the lifting and extending property of simple modules for modules of the form $eR/eA \oplus fR/fB$, where e and f are primitive idempotents. If $R/J \approx \bigoplus_i (\Delta_i)_{n_i}$ and $n_i \ge 2$ for all i, $eR \oplus fR$ is always a cyclic module, where the Δ_i are division rings. Thus we have immediately the following theorems.

Theorem 8. Let R be a perfect ring. Assume that $R/J = \bigoplus_i (\Delta_i)_{n_i}$ and $n_i \ge 2$ for all *i*. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

(1) R is a serial ring.

(2) Every cyclic module has the lifting property of simple modules modulo the radical.

(3) Every cyclic module has the extending property of simple modules.

Theorem 9. Let R be a perfect ring. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

(1) R is a serial ring.

(2) Every cyclic R_n -module has the lifting property of simple modules modulo the radical $(n \ge 2)$.

(3) Every cyclic R_2 -module has the above property.

We have a similar statement for the extending property of simple modules.

Let A be an algebra over a field K of finite dimension. Then A is right coserial if and only if A is left serial. However, the example in [13] shows that this fact is not true for a left artinian ring. Assume that A is a local and right serial algebra. Then A is a quasi-Frobenius algebra by [8], Theorem 2. Hence A is a right coserial algebra and so A is serial. Dually, if A is a right serial and local algebra, then A is a serial algebra.

References

- [1] D. Eisenbund and P. Griffith, The structure of serial rings, Pacific J. Math. 36 (1971) 109-121.
- [2] K.R. Fuller, On indecomposable injective over artinian rings, Pacific J. Math. 29 (1969) 115-135.
- [3] M. Harada, Perfect categories I, Osaka J. Math. 10 (1973) 329-341.

- [4] M. Harada, On one-sided QF-2 rings I, Osaka J. Math. 17 (1980) 421-431.
- [5] M. Harada, On lifting property on direct sums of hollow modules, Osaka J. Math. 17 (1980) 783-791.
- [6] M. Harada, Uniserial rings and lifting properties, Osaka J. Math. 19 (1982) 217-229.
- [7] M. Harada, On modules with lifting properties, Osaka J. Math. 19 (1982) 189-201.
- [8] M. Harada, A characterization of QF-algebras, Osaka J. Math. 20 (1983) 1-4.
- [9] M. Harada and K. Oshiro, On extending property on direct sums of uniform modules, Osaka J. Math. 18 (1981) 767-785.
- [10] T. Nakayama, On Frobeniusean algebra II, Ann. of Math. 42 (1941) 1-21.
- [11] B. Osofsky, A generalization of quasi-Frobenius rings, J. Algebra 3 (1966) 373-386.
- [12] T. Sumioka, On Tachikawa's theorems on algebras of left colocal type, to appear.
- [13] A. Rosenberg and D. Zelinsky, Finiteness of the injective hull, Math. Z. 70 (1959) 372-380.
- [14] R.M. Thrall, Some generalizations of quasi-Frobenius algebras, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 64 (1948) 173-183.
- [15] R.B. Warfield, Jr., Serial rings and finitely presented modules, J. Algebra 37 (1975) 187-222.