Metal Complexes of Monocarbon Carboranes: A Neglected Area of Study?

THOMAS D. MCGRATH and F. GORDON A. STONE^{a,*}

^aDepartment of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Baylor University, Waco, TX 76798-7348, USA

I. Introduction	1
II. Synthesis	3
A. Triruthenium and Triosmium Complexes	3
B. Mononuclear Compounds of Iron, Molybdenum, Tungsten, Rhenium, Platinum,	
Nickel and Cobalt	5
III. Reactions	7
A. Ruthenium and Osmium Cluster Compounds	7
B. Mononuclear Metal Compounds	9
C. Zwitterionic Bimetallic Compounds	22
IV. Monocarbollide-Metal Complexes with Non-Icosahedral Core Frameworks	28
A. Chemistry of the 11-Vertex Dianions [1,1,1-(CO) ₃ -2-Ph- <i>closo</i> -1,2-MCB ₉ H ₉] ²⁻	
(M = Mn, Re)	29
B. Chemistry of the 11-Vertex Trianions [1,3,6-{M(CO) ₃ }-3,6-(μ-H) ₂ -1,1,1-(CO) ₃ -2-Ph-	
$closo-1,2-MCB_9H_7]^{3-}$ (M = Mo, W)	36
Acknowledgements	38
References	38
$Cioso-1,2-MCB_9H_{7}$ (M = MO, W) Acknowledgements . References .	3

I

INTRODUCTION

The first metallacarboranes were isolated in M. F. Hawthorne's laboratory and contained a metal ion, two carbon and nine boron atoms forming an icosahedral $\{closo-MC_{2}B_{9}\}$ cage structure.^{1,2} It was immediately recognized that these species may be viewed as having metal ions coordinated in a pentahapto manner by the open face of a $[nido-7,8-C_2B_9H_{11}]^{2-}$ dianion. This was a useful formalism since it emphasized an isolobal relationship between the carborane dianion and the ubiquitous $[C_5H_5]$ ligand. Following isolation of metal dicarbollides from reactions between metal salts and salts of $[nido-7,8-C_2B_9H_{11}]^{2-}$, it was logical that the monocarbon trianion $[nido-7-CB_{10}H_{11}]^{3-}$ would react in a similar way to afford monocarbon metallacarboranes also with icosahedral frameworks. Indeed, a few complexes of this kind were isolated³ soon after the first dicarbon analogues were discovered. Importantly in the early work, two types of monocarbollide metal compound were characterized. In the first a metal ion is sandwiched between $[nido-7-CB_{10}H_{11}]^{3-}$ ligands, as in the Fe^{III} complex [commo-2,2'-Fe-(closo-2,1-FeCB₁₀H₁₁)₂]³⁻ (1) (Chart 1). In the second the cage-carbon atom carries an NR₃ or an NR₂ group, with the metal ion sandwiched between $[7-NR_3-nido-7-CB_{10}H_{10}]^{2-}$ groups, as in the Fe^{III} complex $[commo-2,2'-Fe-(1-NH_3-closo-2,1-FeCB_{10}H_{10})_2]^{-1}$ (2), or $[7-NR_2-nido-7-CB_{10}H_{10}]^{3-1}$

^{*}Corresponding author.

E-mail: gordon_stone@baylor.edu (F.G.A. Stone).



groups as in $[commo-2,2'-Ni-(1-NH_2-closo-2,1-NiCB_{10}H_{10})_2]^{2-}$ (3). The zwitterionic ligands $[7-NR_3-nido-7-CB_{10}H_{10}]^{2-}$ are isolobal with the dicarbollide anion $[nido-7,8-C_2B_9H_{11}]^{2-}$, whereas the trianions $[7-NR_2-nido-7-CB_{10}H_{10}]^{3-}$ are isolobal with $[nido-7-CB_{10}H_{11}]^{3-}$.

In what has become a very large field of study during the past 40 years, metallacarborane chemistry has primarily focused on species with cages containing two or more carbon atoms,^{4,5} whereas monocarbollide metal complexes have received very little attention.^{4,6,7} The neglect of this area is somewhat surprising because monocarbollide metal complexes having $[nido-7-CB_{10}H_{11}]^{3-}$, $[7-NR_3-nido-7-CB_{10}H_{10}]^{2-}$, or $[7-NR_2-nido-7-CB_{10}H_{10}]^{3-}$ groups would be expected to display reactivity patterns different from those of the corresponding dicarbollide species. In particular, the higher formal negative charge associated with the trianions $[nido-7, CB_{10}H_{11}]^{3-}$ and $[7-NR_2-nido-7-CB_{10}H_{10}]^{3-}$, compared with the dianion $[nido-7, 8-C_2B_9H_{11}]^{2-}$, renders metal complexes of the trianions more reactive towards electrophiles. As will be described later this feature provides avenues for introducing functional groups into a carborane cage, a topic of growing interest.

In metallacarborane chemistry it can be argued that it is more profitable to study molecules having half-sandwich 'piano-stool' structures than those with 'full-sandwich' structures. This is because in the piano-stool complexes the metal is ligated on one side by the carborane cage systems [$\{7,8-R_2-nido-7,8-C_2B_9H_9\}$ (R = H or Me), $\{nido-7-CB_{10}H_{11}\}$, $\{7-NR_2-nido-7-CB_{10}H_{10}\}$, or $\{7-NR_3-nido-7-CB_{10}H_{10}\}$] and on the other side by the conventional ligands (CO, PR₃, CNR, alkynes, etc.) of coordination chemistry. With a combination of different coordinated groups within the coordination sphere of the metal there is the probability of reactions occurring between the ligands and other substrate molecules, and also with the carborane cage itself, with the latter thus adopting a non-spectator role in the chemistry derived.⁸ Both mono- and di-carbollide metal carbonyl half-sandwich complexes are especially desirable as synthons. They have isolobal relationships with cyclopentadie-nide metal carbonyls that are known to function as precursors to numerous other species through the lability of their carbonyl groups. Dicarbollide metal



carbonyls display a very extensive chemistry, as shown by $[3,3,3-(CO)_3$ -*closo*-3, 1,2-RuC₂B₉H₁₁] (4),⁹ thereby pointing to the desirability of obtaining related monocarbollide metal carbonyl species for use in synthesis (Chart 2).

In an attempt to redress the imbalance between studies on the dicarbollide and monocarbollide metal compounds we began a comprehensive study of the latter, concentrating our studies on the piano-stool-type complexes for the reasons given above. Our progress to date in this area will be the subject of this review. So far, most of the work has involved compounds in which the metal is one of the 12 vertexes in a {*closo*-2,1-MCB₁₀} cage system, thereby complementing the host of studies made on their {*closo*-3,1,2-MC₂B₉} counterparts. However, as will also be described, preliminary investigation of 11-vertex {*closo*-1,2-MCB₉} systems is revealing the existence of unprecedented molecular structures in the metallacarborane field. We do not review in this chapter recent developments in the chemistry of monocarbollide–metal complexes in which the cage-carbon carries an NR₃ or NR₂ group because we have recently given an account of such species.¹⁰

Ш

SYNTHESIS

A. Triruthenium and Triosmium Complexes

The methodologies used to prepare monocarbollide metal carbonyls resemble those used to obtain cyclopentadienide metal carbonyls. The latter are generally obtained by one of two methods: heating cyclopentadiene or a substituted cyclopentadiene with a metal carbonyl or a metal carbonyl anion, or treating the carbonyl or a halo derivative of it with a salt of the cyclopentadienide ion. Similarly, procedures involving either heating a *nido*-carborane with a metal carbonyl or treating a metal carbonyl or a carbonyl-metal halide with the salt obtained by deprotonating a *nido*-carborane have afforded monocarbollide metal carbonyl complexes.



Thus, in tetrahydrofuran (THF) at reflux temperatures $[Ru_3(CO)_{12}]$ and $[NHMe_3][nido-7-CB_{10}H_{13}]$ react to give an anionic trinuclear ruthenium complex $[PPh_4][2,2-(CO)_2-7,11-(\mu-H)_2-2,7,11-\{Ru_2(CO)_6\}-closo-2,1-RuCB_{10}H_9]$ (5)* (Chart 3) following the addition of $[PPh_4]Cl.^{11}$ Subsequent analysis of this system revealed evidence for traces of the mononuclear species $[NHMe_3][2,2,2-(CO)_3-closo-2,1-RuCB_{10}H_{11}]$ (6) in the initial product mixture, but its isolation in a pure form proved impossible.¹² In contrast, the corresponding reaction between $[Os_3(CO)_{12}]$ and $[N(PPh_3)_2][nido-7-CB_{10}H_{13}]$ in refluxing bromobenzene affords an approximately equimolar mixture of the analogous triosmium cluster $[N(PPh_3)_2][2,2-(CO)_2-7,11-(\mu-H)_2-2,7,11-\{Os_2(CO)_6\}-closo-2,1-OsCB_{10}H_9]$ (7) and the monosmium complex $[N(PPh_3)_2][2,2,2-(CO)_3-closo-2,1-OsCB_{10}H_{11}]$ (8).¹² The structures of the anions of 5 and 7 are similar to that of neutral $[3,3-(CO)_2-1,2-Me_2-4,8-(\mu-H)_2-3,4,8-\{Ru_2(CO)_6\}-closo-3,1,2-RuC_2B_9H_7]$ (9) obtained from the reaction between

^{*}The compounds described in Sections II and III are based upon *closo*-1-carba-2-metalladodecaborane fragments, with many bearing exo-polyhedral substituents. It should be noted that, although many contain chiral centers, the species are formed as racemates. Substituted boron atoms at positions 3, 7, 11, or 6 could equally be labeled 6, 11, 7, and 3, respectively. In each case the former is used, in accordance with IUPAC convention. Likewise, compounds in Section IV are based upon *closo*-1-metalla-2-carbaundecaborane fragments and several are chiral. Boron atoms bearing substituents at the 4, 5, 6, or 7 positions could equally be labeled 5, 4, 7, and 6, respectively, but again the former is used by convention.

 $[Ru_3(CO)_{12}]$ and 7,8-Me₂-*nido*-7,8-C₂B₉H₁₁.¹³ In all the trimetal species a {*nido*-CB₁₀} or {*nido*-C₂B₉} framework bridges a triangular arrangement of ruthenium or osmium atoms with the open CBBBB or CCBBB faces, respectively, coordinated in a pentahapto fashion to one metal atom while the carborane cage forms two exopolyhedral B–H \rightarrow M bonds with the other two metal atoms.

Surprisingly, instead of affording a mixture containing the anions of the cluster compound 7 and the mononuclear species 8, the complex [12-NMe₃-2,2,2-(CO)₃closo-2,1-OsCB₁₀H₁₀] (10) is obtained by heating [Os₃(CO)₁₂] with [NHMe₃][nido-7-CB₁₀H₁₃] in refluxing bromobenzene. The NMe₃ group is attached to a boron atom in the pentagonal belt lying above that of the CBBBB ring η^5 -coordinated to the metal.¹² The source of the trimethylamine group must be the cation [NHMe₃]⁺, but the pathway by which its NMe₃ fragment migrates to the cage is not clear.

B. Mononuclear Compounds of Iron, Molybdenum, Tungsten, Rhenium, Platinum, Nickel and Cobalt

Reactions between salts of $[nido-7-CB_{10}H_{13}]^-$ and $[Fe_3(CO)_{12}]$ afford the mononuclear anionic iron compound $[2,2,2-(CO)_3-closo-2,1-FeCB_{10}H_{11}]^-$, typically isolated as its $[N(PPh_3)_2]^+$ salt (11) (Chart 4).¹⁴ No anionic triiron complex analogous to 5 and 7 is formed in this reaction. The anionic mononuclear iron, ruthenium and osmium complexes and the previously mentioned neutral mononuclear ruthenium dicarbollide complex 4, obtained from $[Ru_3(CO)_{12}]$ and $nido-7,8-C_2B_9H_{13}$, are isolobal with the cyclopentadienide species $[Mn(CO)_3(\eta-C_5H_5)]$ and $[Fe(CO)_3(\eta-C_5H_5)]^+$.

In an attempt to prepare a molybdenum complex related to compound 11, $[Mo(NCMe)_3(CO)_3]$ was treated with $[NHMe_3][nido-7-CB_{10}H_{13}]$ followed by desired complex [N(PPh₃)₂][2,2,2,2-(CO)₄-closo-2,1- $[N(PPh_3)_2]Cl$ but the $MoCB_{10}H_{10}$] (12) was obtained only in poor yield.¹⁴ This complex was also formed in the reaction between Na₃[*nido*-7-CB₁₀H₁₁] and [Mo(NCMe)₃(CO)₃] presumably via an intermediate species $[2,2,2-(CO)_3$ -closo-2,1-MoCB₁₀H₁₁]³⁻, isolobal with the long-known [3,3,3-(CO)₃-closo-3,1,2-MoC₂B₉H₁₁]²⁻ dianion.² Evidently any salt of $[2,2,2-(CO)_3$ -closo-2,1-MoCB₁₀H₁₁]³⁻ is readily oxidized, scavenging a CO molecule to afford the final product. It was possible to prepare 12 by adding [Mo(NCMe)₃(CO)₃] in NCMe to a THF suspension of Na₃[nido-7-CB₁₀H₁₁] at low temperatures while bubbling CO through the mixture, followed by addition of $H[BF_4] \cdot OEt_2$ and $[N(PPh_3)_2]Cl.^{14}$ It seems likely that in this reaction sequence, 12 is formed via a pathway involving the unstable hydrido species [2,2,2-(CO)₃-2-H $closo-2,1-MoCB_{10}H_{11}]^{2-}$ and $[2,2,2-(CO)_3-2,2-(H)_2-closo-2,1-MoCB_{10}H_{11}]^-$ with the latter eliminating hydrogen and capturing a CO molecule to yield the observed product. The tungsten compound 13, an analogue of 12, can be prepared similarly using $[W(NCEt)_3(CO)_3]$ as the tungsten source.^{15a}

Salts of $[nido-7-CB_{10}H_{11}]^{3-}$ have not been extensively employed as yet to obtain half-sandwich compounds {2-(ligand)_n-closo-2,1-MCB_{10}H_{11}}, but their potential as precursors is demonstrated in the synthesis of rhenium and platinum compounds. Thus salts of the dianion [2,2,2-(CO)₃-closo-2,1-ReCB_{10}H_{11}]^{2-} have been prepared by





treating [ReBr(THF)₂(CO)₃] with Na₃[*nido*-7-CB₁₀H₁₁] followed by addition of the appropriate cation, with the bis-[N(PPh₃)₂]⁺ salt **14** typically isolated.¹⁶ The dianion of **14** is isolobal with the long-known species [3,3,3-(CO)₃-*closo*-3,1,2-ReC₂B₉H₁₁]⁻ and [Re(CO)₃(η -C₅H₅)], and displays an extensive chemistry discussed further below. Interestingly, mention was made of a species [2,2,2-(CO)₃-*closo*-2,1-MnCB₁₀H₁₁]²⁻, a manganese analogue of the dianion of **14**, in the original report of monocarbollide metal complexes, but without information on its properties.^{3a}

Monocarbollide platinum complexes have also been prepared from Na₃[*nido*-7-CB₁₀H₁₁]. The latter in THF with [PtCl₂(PR₃)₂] affords Na[2,2-(PR₃)₂-*closo*-2,1-PtCB₁₀H₁₁] [PR₃ = PEt₃ (**15**),¹⁷ PMe₂Ph (**16**)¹⁸], species which as described later provide entry into a range of other compounds with similar {*closo*-2,1-PtCB₁₀} frameworks.¹⁷⁻¹⁹ The [NMe₄]⁺ salt of the platinacarborane anion in **15** had been prepared much earlier by a 'polyhedral expansion' reaction involving treatment of [NMe₄][*closo*-2-CB₁₀H₁₁] with [Pt(*trans*-stilbene)(PEt₃)₂].^{7a} Several related complexes were similarly obtained by treating this carborane anion with Ni⁰, Pd⁰ or Pt⁰ reagents, and as such rank among the earliest of monocarbollide metal complexes to be described.

Contemporary studies with the nickel system have extended the series of known nickel-monocarbollide anions (Chart 5). The salt $[NMe_4][2,2-(CNBu^t)_2-closo-2,1-NiCB_{10}H_{11}]$ (17a) was prepared in the original study, using $[Ni(CNBu^t)_2(cod)]$



Chart 5.

(cod = 1,5-cyclooctadiene) as the Ni⁰ reagent.^{7a} Use of [Ni(CO)₄], [Ni(CNXyl)₂ (cod)] (Xyl = C₆H₃Me₂-2,6), or [Ni(cod)₂] as the nickel source afforded the corresponding {NiCB₁₀} species, isolated as the salts [N(PPh₃)₂][2,2-L₂-*closo*-2,1-NiCB₁₀H₁₁] [L = CO (**18**), CNXyl (**19**); L₂ = cod (**20**)] following addition of [N(PPh₃)₂]Cl.²⁰ Indeed, this polyhedral expansion route remains an attractive possibility for preparing other monocarbollide metal compounds, as demonstrated by the recent synthesis of the cobalt compound [N(PPh₃)₂][2-CO-2-NO-*closo*-2,1-CoCB₁₀H₁₁] (**21**), formally isoelectronic with **18**, by reacting [*closo*-2-CB₁₀H₁₁]⁻ with [Co(CO)₃(NO)] in THF, followed by addition of [N(PPh₃)₂]Cl.²¹ Complex **21** belongs to a rare class of nitrosyl substituted metallacarboranes and as far as we are aware is the first involving a monocarbollide metal species.²²

As has been described, the 'parent' monocarbollide-metal carbonyl piano-stool species $[2-(CO)_n$ -*closo*-2,1-MCB₁₀H₁₁]^{x-} are now known for all of the metals M = Mo (12), W (13), Re (14), Fe (11), Ru (6), Os (8), and Ni (18). Evidence also exists for a dicarbonyl-platinum analogue of compound 18,²⁰ and as mentioned earlier, the manganese analogue of 14 has also briefly been reported.^{3a} A notable absence from this list, however, is any representative of the Group 9 metals. The carbonyl nitrosyl-cobalt complex 21 is very closely related to the hitherto unknown dicarbonyl-cobalt dianion $[2,2-(CO)_2-closo-2,1-CoCB_{10}H_{11}]^{2-}$ and this species remains an attractive synthetic target.

III

REACTIONS

A. Ruthenium and Osmium Cluster Compounds

On protonation with $H[BF_4] \cdot OEt_2$ in THF the anionic trinuclear metal complexes **5** and **7** afford the neutral hydrido compounds [2,2-(CO)₂-7,11-(μ -H)₂-2,7,



11-{M₂(μ -H)(CO)₆}-*closo*-2,1-MCB₁₀H₉] [M = Ru (22) and Os (23)], respectively (Chart 6).^{11,12} An X-ray diffraction study upon 22 revealed that in these species the hydrido ligand bridges the M–M bonding unit that is attached to the cage *via* the two B–H \rightarrow M bonds. The bridging hydride reveals itself in the ¹H NMR spectrum with diagnostic peaks at δ –19.03 (22) and δ –18.65 (23). The B–H \rightarrow M linkages are also readily identified from the NMR spectra, in the ¹H spectra by quartet resonances at δ –10.31 [*J*(BH) = 56 Hz] (22) and δ –13.50 [*J*(BH) = 45 Hz] (23), and in their fully coupled ¹¹B spectra with doublets at δ 23.4 [*J*(BH) = 52 Hz] (22) and at δ 13.1 [*J*(BH) = 45 Hz] (23).

Both 5 and 7 undergo an interesting reaction in THF with $[CuCl(PPh_3)_3]$ or $[CuCl(PPh_3)]_4$, respectively, in the presence of Tl[PF₆], affording the neutral cluster compounds 24 and 25, respectively. In the formation of these species one of the hydrides of a B–H–M bond (M = Ru, Os) has migrated to a bridging M(µ-H)M site, being replaced by an isolobal $\{Cu(PPh_3)\}^+$ fragment. A B–H–Cu bond, involving a BH unit in the B₅ pentagonal belt lying above the CBBBB ring pentahapto coordinated to the metal vertex, further attaches the copper to the cage. In the ruthenium compound a PPh₃ molecule derived from the copper reagent substitutes for one of the CO ligands on the Ru₃ triangle. Salts of the anion 5 react with [AuCl(PPh₃)] to yield 26 which has structural features similar to 24, except that the gold atom has only a single B–Au σ -bonding attachment to the cage. The difference

in the bonding of Cu and Au atoms to the cage in **24** and **26** no doubt relates to the tendency of copper to seek a higher coordination number than gold, as discussed further below.

B. Mononuclear Metal Compounds

- 1. Formation of Charge-Compensated Complexes with Donor Ligands
- a. Complexes of Iron



1-FeCB₁₀H₁₀] [L = O(CH₂)₄ (**30**), OEt₂ (**31**), SMe₂ (**32**)], in which a donor molecule L is bonded to a boron atom that is in a β site with respect to the carbon in the CBBBB ring coordinated to the iron atom.¹⁴ Apart from the use of X-ray crystallography to confirm the structures, NMR spectra leave no doubt about the presence of the {B-L⁺} groups in these charge-compensated products. Thus, for example, in the ¹¹B{¹H} NMR spectrum of **30** there is a resonance at δ 20.4 which remains a singlet in a fully coupled ¹¹B spectrum while the other peaks, corresponding in total intensity to nine boron nuclei, display ¹¹B-¹H coupling (ca. 130 Hz).

If compound **29** is treated with SMe₂ and H₂SO₄ the zwitterionic complex [2,2-(CO)₂-2,7-(SMe₂)₂-*closo*-2,1-FeCB₁₀H₁₀] (**33**) is obtained in which an SMe₂ group is attached both to the metal vertex and to a boron atom situated in one of the β sites in the CB*BB*B ring coordinated to the iron, a result confirmed by X-ray diffraction.²³ In reactions of this kind the β rather than the α sites are favored for substitution by a donor molecule because the H atoms of their BH groups are the more hydridic and therefore are more susceptible to attack by electrophiles.

When complex 11 in NCMe is treated with CF₃SO₃Me, the product obtained is $[2,2,2-(CO)_3-7-\{(E)-N(Me)=C(H)Me\}$ -closo-2,1-FeCB₁₀H₁₀] (34) in which an iminium group $\{E-N(Me)=C(H)Me\}$ is attached to a β -boron in the pentagonal CBBBB ring ligating the iron.²³ The mode of formation of the iminium group present in 34, and related complexes derived from other nitriles, involves CF₃SO₃Me reacting with NCMe to give an *N*-methylnitrilium cation [MeN=CMe]⁺ which itself then abstracts H⁻ from the cage BH vertex to give an imine molecule. This then coordinates via the nitrogen atom to the naked site created at the boron vertex. The *E*-forms of imines are more stable than the *Z*-configurations and this must favor a facile rearrangement of initially formed *Z*-N(Me)=C(H)Me into *E*-N(Me)=C(H)Me in the reaction.²³ It is interesting that if a similar reaction is carried out with compound 27 as substrate, and employing the more bulky NCBu^t instead of NCMe, a typical charge-compensated product [2,2-(CO)₂-2-PPh₃-7-NCBu^t-closo-2,1-FeCB₁₀H₁₀] (35) is formed.

Introducing a functional imine group into the cage system in this manner opens the possibility of further syntheses with the substituent group. Several reactions have been studied.²³ Formally, the β -boron appended {N(Me)=C(H)Me}⁺ group in **34** carries a positive charge, with two canonical forms for the fragment, one with the charge residing on the N atom and the other with the charge residing on the C atom of the *C*(H)Me terminus. The former is favored and thus it would be anticipated that the imine group would react with nucleophiles such as OH⁻ or H⁻. Accordingly, treatment of **34** in THF with water yields the complex [2,2,2-(CO)₃-7-NH₂Me-*closo*-2,1-FeCB₁₀H₁₀] (**36**), a process catalyzed by PMe₃. The {N(Me) = C(H)Me}⁺ group may also be reduced by Na[BH₃CN] to give [2,2,2-(CO)₃-7-NH(Me)Et-*closo*-2,1-FeCB₁₀H₁₀] (**37**).

Treatment of **11** in THF with Bu^tC=CH in the presence of Me₃NO, to facilitate removal of CO, yielded a single isomer of a species $[N(PPh_3)_2][2,2-(CO)_2-2,3-\eta^2:\sigma-{(E)-CH=C(H)Bu^t}-closo-2,1-FeCB_{10}H_{10}]$ (**38**), resulting from insertion of an al-kyne molecule into a cage B–H bond (Chart 8). While the gross features of the molecular structure could be deduced from NMR data, the site of attachment of the



E-C(H) = C(H)Bu^t moiety to the cage could not be resolved with certainty. Crystals suitable for an X-ray diffraction study could not be obtained so **38** was reacted in NCMe with CF₃SO₃Me to give the imine derivative [2,2-(CO)₂-2,3- η^2 : σ -{(*E*)-CH=C(H)Bu^t}-11-{(*E*)-N(Me)=C(H)Me}-*closo*-2,1-FeCB₁₀H₉] **(39**). The latter did provide good quality crystals and its structure was fully resolved by X-ray diffraction. The {(*E*)-C(H)=C(H)Bu^t} group is attached to a boron atom α to the carbon in the CBBBB ring bonded to the iron while the C(H)=C(H) double bond is η^2 coordinated to the metal atom. The {N(Me)=C(H)Me} group occupies the site on the CBBBB ring which is β with respect to the carbon atom and is more remote from the α -bound vinyl group.

Treatment of complex 11 in NCMe with H_2SO_4 and dihalogen equivalents results in hydride abstraction and formation of *B*-halogenated anions (Chart 9).²⁴ Thus, with *N*-chlorosuccinimide (2 equiv) or iodine (1 equiv), the species [N(PPh_3)_2][7-X-2,2,2-(CO)_3-closo-2,1-FeCB_{10}H_{10}] [X = Cl (40), I (41)] are formed. Use of 4 equiv of *N*-chlorosuccinimide yields dichloro-substituted $[N(PPh_3)_2][7,11-Cl_2-2,2,2-(CO)_3$ $closo-2,1-FeCB_{10}H_9]$ (**42**). In each of these products, hydride replacement again occurs at boron atoms that are β with respect to the carbon atom in the iron-bound CBBBB face, a feature confirmed by an X-ray diffraction study upon complex **42**. This site of substitution was also verified by treatment of **41** with Ag[BF₄] in the presence of THF, which afforded compound **30** *via* AgI elimination.

The potential of complexes **40–42** as precursors to further iron-monocarbollide species is also demonstrated²⁴ by the conversion of **41** to $[N(PPh_3)_2][7-I-2,2-(CO)_2-2-CNBu^t-closo-2,1-FeCB_{10}H_{10}]$ (**43**), parallel to the synthesis of **28** from **11**. Moreover, the utility of species such as **41** for the introduction of cluster organyl substituents was shown by its reaction with $[MgBr(C_6H_4Me-4)]$ in THF, which gave $[N(PPh_3)_2][7-(C_6H_4Me-4')-2,2,2-(CO)_3-closo-2,1-FeCB_{10}H_{10}]$ (**44**) via a cross-coupling reaction. Derivatives of the manganese dicarbollide anion $[3,3,3-(CO)_3-closo-3,1,2-MnC_2B_9H_{11}]^-$, isolobal with the anion of **11**, have recently also been demonstrated to possess an extensive cage substitution chemistry,^{22e} with many parallels evident between the two systems. Compounds such as **40–44** would be expected to undergo many of the reactions observed for the corresponding {MnC_2B_9} species, leading to complexes bearing multiple cage-boron substituents.

b. Complexes of Molybdenum and Tungsten

The monocarbollide-molybdenum and -tungsten carbonyl complexes 12 and 13 in many ways show a similar chemistry to that of 11 (Chart 10). Because the earlier mentioned molybdenum salt 12 in solution is somewhat unstable, the derivatives $[N(PPh_3)_2][2,2,-(CO)_3-2-PPh_3-closo-2,1-MCB_{10}H_{11}]$ [M = Mo (45), W (46)] were usually employed in further synthesis.^{14,15a} These reagents, along with other Msubstituted species $[N(PPh_3)_2][2,2,2-(CO)_3-2-CNBu^t-closo-2,1-MCB_{10}H_{11}]$ [M = Mo (47), W (48)], were prepared by reaction of 12 or 13 with the donor ligand in the presence of Me₃NO. When Bu^tC \equiv CH is the added ligand in the latter reaction, two carbonyl molecules are substituted and the alkyne behaves as a four-electron donor in the products $[N(PPh_3)_2][2,2-(CO)_2-2-(Bu^{\dagger}C \equiv CH)-closo-2,1-MCB_{10}H_{11}]$ [M = Mo (49), W (50)].^{14,15a} A series of charge-compensated complexes [2,2,2-(CO)₃-2-PPh₃-7-L-closo-2,1-MCB₁₀ H_{10}] has been prepared by treating mixtures of either the molybdenum 45 or the tungsten 46 precursors with a donor molecule L and either CF_3SO_3Me or concentrated H_2SO_4 [L = OEt₂, M = Mo (51), M = W (52); $L = O(CH_2)_4$, M = Mo (53), M = W (54); $L = SMe_2$, M = Mo (55); $L = S(CH_2)_4$, M = Mo (56), M = W (57); $L = CNBu^{t}$, M = Mo (58), M = W (59); L = CNXyl, M = Mo (60), M = W (61)].^{14,15a}

As noted earlier, reactivity at the exo-polyhedral groups L without degradation of the cage system is of interest because functionalization of cage boron atoms opens the way to further new chemistry. If the donor atom is the oxygen of an ether molecule it carries a formal positive charge rendering an adjacent atom susceptible to attack by nucleophiles. Thus, treatment of **52** in THF with Me₃NO, followed by addition of [PPh₄]Br, affords [PPh₄][2,2,2-(CO)₃-2-PPh₃-7-OEt-*closo*-WCB₁₀H₁₀] (**62**), resulting from cleavage of an Et group from the precursor. The potential of



this methodology to introduce functional groups on the cage is well illustrated by a similar reaction with **54**. In the latter, the CH_2 group to be displaced is anchored to the boron-bound oxygen by the CH_2 chain. Treatment with Me₃NO followed by [PPh₄]Br allowed the isolation of the compound [PPh₄][2,2,2-(CO)₃-2-PPh₃-7-{O(CH₂)₃C(H)O}-*closo*-2,1-WCB₁₀H₁₀] (**63**) with a pendant aldehyde group attached to the cage.^{15a}

The high anionic charge of the ligand $[nido-7-CB_{10}H_{11}]^{3-}$ is capable of stabilizing metals in higher oxidation states than the formal + II state of the molybdenum and



tungsten found in the complexes discussed above. Since these two metals are capable of having oxidation states above M^{II} , oxidation rather than cage substitution can occur when the species **12** and **13** are treated with some reagents.^{15b} However, there was a perceived need in such reactions for donors other than CO to be present, since in the higher oxidation state stabilization by the π bonding of CO molecules to the metal would be less effective. Thus when **12** in CH₂Cl₂ is treated with iodine in the presence of excess CNBu^t the neutral Mo^{IV} compound [2,2,2,2-(CNBu^t)₄-2-I-*closo*-2,1-MoCB₁₀H₁₁] (**64**) is produced in high yield (Chart 11). A similar reaction with **13** affords a mixture of the tungsten compounds [2,2,2-(CNBu^t)₃-2-CO-2-I-*closo*-2,1-WCB₁₀H₁₁] (**65**) and [2,2-(CNBu^t)₂-2,2-(CO)₂-2-I-*closo*-2,1-WCB₁₀H₁₁] (**66**).

Compound 12 displays an altogether different reactivity pattern upon treatment with iodine in the presence of an ether or a thioether.^{15b} Thus, the sole product of the reaction of 12 in THF with iodine was the anionic Mo^{II} complex $[N(PPh_3)_2][2,2,2-(CO)_3-2-I-7-O(CH_2)_4-closo-2,1-MoCB_{10}H_{10}]$ (67), with the carborane cage having undergone a substitution at a boron vertex. The substitution occurs at a β boron atom in the CBBBB face that ligates the molybdenum atom. When 67 is treated with further iodine in THF no reaction occurs. However, treatment with iodine using



S(CH₂)₄ as solvent results in a second cage substitution to give $[2,2,2-(CO)_3-2-I-3-S(CH_2)_4-11-O(CH_2)_4-closo-2,1-MoCB_{10}H_9]$ (68), suggesting that iodine is more reactive in this medium. Notably, this second boron-bound substituent becomes attached to a boron atom in an α site in the molybdenum-coordinated CBBBB face.

With thioether ligands and iodine, compound 12 also reacts to yield cage-substituted species, but the situation is more complicated than that with THF.^{15b} With equimolar amounts of iodine and thioethers L $[L = S(CH_2)_4, cvclo-1,4-S_2(CH_2)_4, cvclo-1,4.7-$ S₃(CH₂)₆, cyclo-1,4,7,10-S₄(CH₂)₈] two distinct product types are formed (Chart 12). The members of the first series have the general formulation $[2,2,2-(CO)_3-2,3-(\mu-I)-n-L$ $closo-2,1-MoCB_{10}H_9$ and for each L they are formed as a mixture of two isomers (n = 7 or 11). An X-ray crystallographic study on one isomer of the species [2,2,2- $(CO)_3-2,3-(\mu-I)-n-\{cyclo-1,4,7-S_3(CH_2)_6\}-closo-2,1-MoCB_{10}H_9$ established that the iodide ligand bridges between the metal atom and an α boron atom in the coordinating \overline{CBBBB} face of the carborane cage. The trithiacyclononane ligand is bonded to a boron atom in a site that is β with respect to the cage-carbon atom in the open CBBBB face of the cage. This isomer is thus formulated as $[2,2,2-(CO)_3-2,3-(\mu-I)-7-\{cyclo-1,4,7 S_3(CH_2)_6$ -closo-2,1-MoCB₁₀H₉] (69) with the iodine atom and the thioether attached to adjacent sites, α and β respectively, in the pentahapto CBBBB face. The other isomer [2,2,2-(CO)₃-2,3-(µ-I)-11-{*cyclo*-1,4,7-S₃(CH₂)₆}-*closo*-2,1of formulation is MoCB₁₀H₉ (70) with the two substituents located at non-adjacent α and β' boron atoms of the CBBBB belt. The iodine-promoted replacement of boron-bound hydrides



by the two electron donor thioether molecules may formally be regarded as an oxidative-substitution reaction, as discussed in more detail elsewhere.^{15b}

In the second series of compounds formed in the reaction between **12** and I₂ and thioethers, two thiane molecules are attached to the cage in non-adjacent α and β' sites with respect to the carbon in the CBBBB ring. These products are formed as single isomers and the structure of [2,2,2-(CO)₃-2-I-3,11-{ $cyclo-1,4-S_2(CH_2)_4$ }₂closo-2,1-MoCB₁₀H₉] (**71**) was confirmed by X-ray diffraction.

In contrast to the above reactions of **12** with I₂, compound **45** in CH₂Cl₂ with the interhalogen ICl (1 equiv) gave a mixture of $[2,2,2-(CO)_3-2,3-(\mu-I)-6-OPPh_3-closo-2,1-MoCB_{10}H_9]$ (**72**) and $[2,2,2-(CO)_3-2-I-3,6-(OPPh_3)_2-closo-2,1-MoCB_{10}H_9]$ (**73**), both identified with the aid of X-ray diffraction studies (Chart 13).²⁵ The bridging iodide in **72** is similar to that found in compounds **69** and **70** and related species, and is bonded to both the molybdenum vertex and an α boron atom in the Mobound CBBBB belt; the second boron-bound substituent, an OPPh₃ molecule, is bonded to the other α boron atom. In **73** there are two OPPh₃ substituents, both of which are bound to α boron atoms in the CBBBB ring; the iodide is terminal to the molybdenum. Arguably, complexes **72** and **73** could both be formed from a common intermediate, similarly to the scheme proposed for the formation of compounds **69**–**71**.^{15b} However, the reaction here must be considerably more complex: although the OPPh₃ molecules are believed to originate from the Mo-bound PPh₃ ligand of the precursor **45**, it is not clear how they are oxidized and then become attached to the cluster.

c. Complexes of Nickel and Cobalt

In common with the molybdenum (12) and tungsten (13) species discussed earlier, the nickel-bound CO ligands in 18 are somewhat labile and hence phosphine-substituted derivatives $[N(PPh_3)_2][2-CO-2-PR_3-closo-2,1-NiCB_{10}H_{11}]$ [R = Et (74), Ph (75)] were again prepared and used in further studies (Chart 14).²⁰ Protonation of 74 or 75 with H[BF₄] OEt₂ in CH₂Cl₂–OEt₂ then afforded zwitterionic species, with 74 giving as expected [7-OEt₂-2-CO-2-PEt₃-closo-2,1-NiCB_{10}H_{10}] (76).²⁰ However,



the analogous product was not obtained from **75**, which instead yielded [7-OEt₂-2-CO-2,11-PPh₂(C₆H₄-2'-*B*)-*closo*-2,1-NiCB₁₀H₉] (**77**) in which an additional *ortho*cycloboronation of a phosphine phenyl ring has occurred. Compound **75**, like complexes **11** and **38** (and **45** and **46**^{15a}), also reacts with NCMe and CF₃SO₃Me to afford a product, [7-{N(Me) = C(H)Me}-2-CO-2-PPh₃-*closo*-2,1-NiCB₁₀H₁₀] (**78**), with a boron-bound iminium group. However, **78** is formed as a mixture of both *E* and *Z* isomers, a feature confirmed by NMR spectroscopy and X-ray diffraction analyses.²⁰

Treatment of the cobalt complex **21** with PEt₃ or CNBu^t, or with PPh₃ in the presence of Me₃NO, affords $[N(PPh_3)_2][2-NO-2-L-closo-2,1-CoCB_{10}H_{11}]$ [L = PEt₃ (**79**), CNBu^t (**80**), PPh₃ (**81**)] (Chart 15).²¹ Surprisingly, with an excess of CNBu^t compound **21** reacts to give the Co^{III} species $[2,2,2-(CNBu^t)_3-2,1-closo-CoCB_{10}H_{11}]$ (**82**).

Compound **79** reacts with $O(CH_2)_4$ and NCMe in the presence of CF_3SO_3Me , like the iron complex **11** and the molybdenum and tungsten complexes **45** and **46**, to give zwitterionic compounds [2-NO-2-PEt₃-7-L-*closo*-2,1-CoCB₁₀H₁₀] [L = $O(CH_2)_4$ (**83**), NCMe (**84**)].²¹ Interestingly, the acetonitrile reaction gave in addition to **84** small amounts of the imine complex [7-{N(Me) = C(H)Me}-2-NO-2-PEt₃-*closo*-2,1-CoCB₁₀H₁₀] (**85**), a product related to the iron and nickel compounds **34** and **78**, respectively. The latter pair were the only products when **11** and **75**, respectively, were



treated with NCMe in the presence of CF₃SO₃Me. It was thought that in the reaction with the iron and nickel compounds the acetonitrile was preferentially methylated to give $[MeC\equiv NMe]^+$, and that this species then reacts with hydridic hydride from a cage B–H^{δ -} to yield the B–N(Me)=C(H)Me group. That the same reaction with the cobalt complex **74** proceeds to give only small amounts of **85**, with **84** as the major product, is probably due to the β -B–H^{δ -} group in the Co^I compound having enhanced hydridic character due to the presence of the PEt₃ ligand contributing electron density to the cage system. Hence, the electrophile Me⁺ preferentially reacts with the β -B–H group, rather than the nitrogen of the :NCMe reagent.

2. Protonation and Related Reactions of Platinum Complexes

It is apparent from the reactions described above that in the anionic monocarbollide metal carbonyls the negative charge is delocalized over the icosahedral framework and not localized on the metal center. Thus protonation of **11** in THF



affords the zwitterionic complex **30** rather than an Fe^{IV} hydrido complex [2,2,2-(CO)₃-2-H-*closo*-2,1-FeCB₁₀H₁₁]. Several attempts to protonate the rhenium complex **14** were also unsuccessful, and ZINDO (Zerner's Intermediate Neglect of Differential Overlap) and EHMO (Extended Hückel Molecular Orbital) calculations indicated that the negative charge in the rhenium dianion was very diffuse and highly delocalized about the *closo*-icosahedral framework.¹⁶ The net result is that the exo-polyhedral cage B–H units have enhanced hydridic character and thus have a propensity to be removed on treatment with electrophiles such as H⁺, Me⁺, Ph₃C⁺, or NO⁺.

The platinum complex 15 is an exception to the behavior of the anionic mononuclear monocarbollide complexes 11–14, 45, 46, 74, 75, and 79, in that on protonation with H[BF₄] · OEt₂ in THF it forms a neutral stable hydrido compound [2,2-(PEt₃)₂-2-H-*closo*-2,1-PtCB₁₀H₁₁] (86) (Chart 16) rather than a zwitterionic species [7-O(CH₂)₄-2,2-(PEt₃)₂-*closo*-2,1-PtCB₁₀H₁₀].¹⁷ Probably in 15 the nucleophilicity of the metal center is sufficiently enhanced by the two strongly donating PEt₃ groups ligating the platinum that the proton attacks the metal rather than a hydride of a B_β-H^{δ-} group in the metal coordinating CBBBB ring system. However, the products obtained by protonating 15 and similar platinum complexes depend on the acid used and the nature of the phosphine ligands.

Protonation of compound 16 with HCl in Et_2O afforded three products. The anticipated hydrido species $[2,2-(PMe_2Ph)_2-2-H-closo-2,1-PtCB_{10}H_{11}]$ (87) was formed in about 15% yield, along with the chloride complex [2,2-(PMe₂Ph)₂-2-Cl-closo-2,1-PtCB₁₀H₁₁ (88) in yields of about 10%.¹⁸ Formation of the latter is understandable because it is a characteristic of transition metal hydrides such as 87 to react with Cl⁻ to give chloro complexes. The reaction product formed in highest yield (ca. 20%), however, was the diplatinum species 89. An X-ray diffraction study showed the molecule to consist of two $\{closo-2, 1-PtCB_{10}\}$ cage frameworks, conjoined by a B–B connectivity [1.726(8) Å] and by two relatively long Pt–B connectivities [2.660(6) and 2.632(6) Å]. The B–B link is formed between a boron atom that is in a β site in the platinum-bound CBBBB ring of one sub-cluster and one in an α site in the other CBBBB ring. Each of these two boron atoms is also involved in a B–Pt linkage to the adjacent $\{PtCB_{10}\}$ moiety. Thus the PtBPtB unit forms a 'butterfly' arrangement, with the platinum atoms at the wingtips, similar to the four boron atoms which join together two $\{nido-7, 8-C_2B_9H_{11}\}$ cage systems in the 'macropolyhedral' carborane $C_4B_{18}H_{22}$.²⁶

The pathway by which **89** is formed is not known but appears to involve oxidation of the anion in **16** followed by a combination of two $\{2,2-(PMe_2Ph)_2-closo-2,1-PtCB_{10}H_{11}\}$ fragments with loss of molecular hydrogen. Interestingly, $C_4B_{18}H_{22}$ is also formed by loss of hydrogen upon oxidation of the anion [*nido*-7,8- $C_2B_9H_{12}$]⁻.

The results obtained by protonating 16 with HCl in Et₂O prompted an investigation of products formed by treating 15 with the same acid. The reaction afforded a complex mixture among which was the hydrido complex 86 formed in only ca. 10–15% yield. The carborane anion $[nido-7-CB_{10}H_{13}]^-$ was detected by ¹¹B{¹H} NMR spectroscopy among the products and this led to the addition of [NEt₄]I to the mixture in an attempt to obtain anions of any other carborane species present. This permitted the isolation of the very unusual green crystalline compound $[7,7'-\mu$ - $\{I(H)\}-\{2,2-(PEt_3)_2-closo-2,1-PtCB_{10}H_{10}\}_2\}$ (90). An X-ray diffraction study revealed that the molecule has two $\{2,2-(PEt_3)_2-closo-2,1-PtCB_{10}H_{10}\}$ units bridged by an iodine atom. Although the H atom of the HI group was not unambiguously located, a weak residual peak was found in the final electron density map. Thus formally the compound results from replacement of a cage hydride in each of two anions of 15 by iodine. Moreover, in both halves of the molecule the boron atoms linked to the iodine are in a β site with respect to the carbons in the CBBBB rings ligating the metal atoms. There is precedent for a structure in which two carborane cages are joined by a halide bridge. Thus in the compound $[9,9'-(\mu-Br)-(closo-1,7 C_2B_{10}H_{11}$ [BF₄], two {*closo*-1,7- $C_2B_{10}H_{11}$ } cages are linked by a bridging Br ligand.²⁷ Moreover, the B-Br-B angle therein (111.7°) is remarkably similar to the B–I–B angle $[112.1(3)^{\circ}]$ in 90. The attachment of the H atom to the iodine in the latter allows a satisfactory explanation for the valence electron count in 90 that would not be possible if it were absent. The HI group via its iodine atom can be regarded as formally donating an electron pair to each $\{closo-2, 1-PtCB_{10}H_{10}\}$ unit. In this manner, the icosahedral $\{PtCB_{10}H_{10}I\}$ moieties each attain the necessary 13 skeletal electron pairs for a filled orbital description, it being noted that a $\{Pt(PEt_3)_2\}$ group is isolobal with a BH vertex contributing two electrons for

cluster bonding, and an $\{I: \rightarrow B\}$ vertex would contribute three electrons behaving like a CH unit.

During the course of studies with compound **15** reactions with PhSeCl and PhTeI were investigated to determine if simple metathetical reactions would occur to give species $[2,2-(PEt_3)_2-2-XPh-closo-2,1-PtCB_{10}H_{11}]$ (X = Se, Te). It had previously been shown that a mercury compound $[2,2-(PEt_3)_2-2-HgPh-closo-2,1-PtCB_{10}H_{11}]$ (91) can be prepared by treating **15** with PhHgCl.¹⁷ With the selenium and tellurium reagents in THF, however, complex mixtures of products were obtained *via* pathways not established.¹⁹ From PhSeCl the compounds $[2-PEt_3-2,n-(SePh)_2-closo-2,1-PtCB_{10}H_{10}]$ [n = 3 (92) or 7 (93)] and $[2-PEt_3-2-SePh-3-{O(CH_2)_4Cl}-closo-2,1-PtCB_{10}H_{10}]$ (94) were characterized (Chart 17). From reactions described earlier in this chapter, it seems very likely that 94 results from nucleophilic attack of Cl⁻ on an intermediate having an $O(CH_2)_4$ molecule coordinated to a boron located in an α site in the metal-ligating \overline{CBBBB} ring. With PhTeI, a product of formulation [2,2-(PEt_3)_2-7-{Te(Ph)CH_2Cl}-closo-2,1-PtCB_{10}H_{10}] (95) was obtained, along with the poly-tellurium species 96. The {CH₂Cl} molecules.



C. Zwitterionic Bimetallic Compounds[†]

Although the monocarbollide metal carbonyl complexes do not yield neutral hydrido compounds when they are protonated they do, in common with the platinum (15), cobalt (81) and nickel (17, 19, 20, 75) monocarbollide compounds, often afford stable bimetal complexes on reaction with sources of the fragments ${M(PPh_3)}^+$ (M = Cu, Ag, Au) which are isolobal with the proton. Thus, the platinum compound 15 reacts with the reagents [CuCl(PPh₃)]₄ and [AuCl(PPh₃)] to give $[2,7,11-{Cu(PPh_3)}-7,11-(\mu-H)_2-2,2-(PEt_3)_2-closo-2,1-PtCB_{10}H_9]$ (97) and [2-2,2] ${Au(PPh_3)}-2,2-(PEt_3)_2-closo-2,1-PtCB_{10}H_{11}$ (98), respectively (Chart 18).¹⁷ The cobalt compound 81 also reacts with [CuCl(PPh₃)]₄ and [AuCl(PPh₃)], in the presence of Tl[PF₆], to form [2,7,11-{Cu(PPh₃)}-7,11-(µ-H)₂-2-NO-2-PPh₃-closo-2,1- $CoB_{10}H_9$ (99) and $[2-{Au(PPh_3)}-2-NO-2-PPh_3-closo-2,1-CoCB_{10}H_{11}]$ (100), and with Ag[BF₄] and PPh₃ to yield [2,7-{Ag(PPh₃)}-7-(µ-H)-2-NO-2-PPh₃-closo-2,1- $CoCB_{10}H_{10}$] (101).²¹ It should be noted that in the copper and silver complexes the direct metal-metal bond is supported by agostic B-H-M (M=Cu or Ag) interactions whereas in the gold species it is believed that only a direct metal-metal bond is present.

Similarly to the platinum and cobalt systems, treatment of the nickel complexes 17b, 19, and 75 with $[CuCl(PPh_3)]_4$ and $Tl[PF_6]$ affords the respective bimetallic species²⁸ $[2,7,11-{Cu(PPh_3)}-7,11-(\mu-H)_2-2-L-2-L'-closo-2,1-NiCB_{10}H_9]$ $[L = L' = 10^{-2}$ CNBu^t (102); L = L' = CNXyl (103); L = CO, $L' = PPh_3$ (104)]. In addition, a species with $L = CNBu^{t}$ and $L' = PPh_{3}$ (105) was surprisingly formed along with 102. With Ag[BF₄] and PPh₃, complex 75 gave [2,7-{Ag(PPh₃)}-7-(µ-H)-2-CO-2-PPh₃-closo-2,1-NiCB₁₀H₁₀] (106), directly analogous to 101. However, when 75 was treated with Ag[BF₄] alone, the same Ni-Ag species 106 was also obtained in modest yields via PPh₃ scavenging, and was accompanied by small quantities of the full-sandwich species $[Ag(PPh_3)_3][commo-2,2'-Ni-\{closo-2,1-NiCB_{10}H_{11}\}\{7' O(CH_2)_4$ -closo-2',1'-NiCB₁₀H₁₀] (107).²⁸ Formation of the latter may be rationalized in terms of a coming together of $\{closo-2, 1-NiCB_{10}\}$ and $\{nido-7-CB_{10}\}$ fragments that are formed when PPh₃ is scavenged from the precursor. An Ag⁺promoted oxidative substitution^{15b} of a boron-bound hydride by a THF molecule has also occurred during the reaction. Treatment of compounds 19 and 75 with $[AuCl(PPh_3)]$ in the presence of $Tl[PF_6]$ also gave bimetallic species [2,7- $\{Au(PPh_3)\}$ -7-(μ -H)-2-L-2-L'-closo-2,1-NiCB₁₀H₁₀] [L = L' = CNXyl (108); L = CO, $L' = PPh_3$ (109)]. Of these, an X-ray diffraction study upon 108 showed the presence of an Ni–Au bond that was supplemented by a B–H–Au agostic-type interaction, so that the structure is similar to 106, rather than 98.

In contrast with the bimetallic nickel-monocarbollide derivatives **102–106**, **108**, and **109**, the {Cu(PPh₃)} ⁺ adduct [7,8,12-{Cu(PPh₃)}-7,8,12-(μ -H)₃-2,2-(cod)-*closo*-2,1-NiCB₁₀H₈] (**110**) formed from **20** lacks an Ni–Cu bond and the exo-polyhedral

[†]In structural formulae, metal-metal bonds are drawn for simplicity as a single line, but this need not imply a conventional single bond. This depiction is only used to indicate the presence of a connectivity between the two atoms, and does not necessarily denote a particular distribution of electrons in these zwitterionic compounds.



metal fragment is supported only by three B–H \rightarrow Cu interactions (Chart 19).²⁸ The reasons for this preference are not clear, but might be related to the differing donor properties of the nickel-bound ligands and the consequent effect on charge distribution within the cluster.

Several other species are also known where there are no metal-metal bonds and $\{M(PPh_3)\}^+$ (M = Cu or Ag) fragments are attached to the cage in an exo-polyhedral manner, namely [7,8,12-{Cu(PPh_3)}-7,8,12-(μ -H)_3-2,2,2-(CO)_3-*closo*-2,1-FeCB₁₀H₈] (111), [7,12-{Ag(PPh_3)}-7,12-(μ -H)_2-2,2,2-(CO)_3-*closo*-2,1-FeCB₁₀H₉] (112), [7,8,12-{Cu(PPh_3)}-7,8,12-(μ -H)_3-2,2,2-(CO)_3-2-PPh_3-*closo*-2,1-MoCB₁₀H₈] (113) and [7,12-{Ag(PPh_3)}-7,12-(μ -H)_2-2,2,2-(CO)_3-2-PPh_3-*closo*-2,1-MoCB₁₀H₉] (114).²⁹ These charge-compensated products are formed in reactions between 11 and 45, respectively, with {M(PPh_3)}^+. It is noteworthy that the {Au(PPh_3)}^+ moiety does not bond with either 11 or 45 perhaps because it is less electrophilic



than the copper and silver fragments and is not stabilized by a single B–H—Au bond. Moreover, the negative charges associated with the anions of 11 and 45 are evidently insufficiently metal centered for direct Fe–Au and Mo–Au bonds to be formed as occurs in the corresponding reactions with the reagents 15, 19, 75, and 81. An interesting feature of the complexes formed by {Cu(PPh₃)}⁺ is the propensity for copper to use four valence orbitals in bonding so that there are three attachments to the cage system, as shown by the X-ray diffraction studies upon 97, 99, 104, 110, and 113. The difference in geometries in bonding of the {M(PPh₃)}⁺ (M = Cu or Au) group may be the consequence of the valence hybrid sp_z and the degenerate pair of p_x and p_y orbitals for copper being sufficiently close to make all three orbitals valence orbitals, whereas with gold the p_x and p_y orbitals are of much higher energy and therefore less accessible for bonding.³⁰

The mode of attachment of the $\{M(PPh_3)\}^+$ fragments (M = Cu, Ag) to the cage via only B–H–M bonds is not limited to the complexes **110–114**, as evidenced by the structures of $[12-\{Fe(CO)_2(\eta-C_5Me_5)\}-12-(\mu-H)-2,2,2-(CO)_3-2-PPh_3-closo-2,1-MoCB_{10}H_{10}]$ (**115**) and $[7,8,12-\{RuCl(PPh_3)_2\}-7,8,12-(\mu-H)_3-2,2,2-(CO)_3-2-PPh_3-closo-2,1-MoCB_{10}H_{10}]$ (**115**)



closo-2,1-MoCB₁₀H₈] (116) in which the electrophilic metal–ligand groups are bonded to the cage frameworks *via* one and three agostic B–H \rightarrow ML_n bonds, respectively (Chart 20).²⁹ The various bimetal compounds formed from 11 and 45 illustrate the ability of the mononuclear iron and molybdenum anions to function as mono-, bi-, or tri-dentate ligands to the transition metal–ligand fragments. It is noteworthy that in the adduct 115 formed with the iron moiety {Fe(CO)₂(η -C₅Me₅)}⁺ the latter group is coordinated by a single agostic-type B–H \rightarrow Fe bond involving a boron atom that is situated in the B₅ belt above the metal ligating CBBBB ring and which is antipodal to the cage carbon atom. There is a striking similarity between the structure of compound 115 and that of [12-{Fe(CO)₂(η -C₅H₅)}-12-(μ -H)-*closo*-1-CB₁₁H₁₁] (117). The latter complex was prepared as part of a study using carborane anions as weakly coordinating anions.³¹ The {Mo(CO)₃(PPh₃)} vertex in 115 is notionally replaced by the isolobal BH vertex in 117.

It has been determined for the anion $[closo-CB_{11}H_{12}]^-$ that the B–H vertex antipodal to the cage carbon atom is the most electron-rich site, thus rationalizing the location of the exo-{Fe(CO)₂(η -C₅H₅)}⁺ fragment in compound **117**. For metallacarboranes there is an accumulation of extra electron density at sites adjacent to the metal. Indeed, for dicarbollide complexes {3-L_n-1,2-R₂-closo-3,1,2-MC₂B₉H₉} (R = H or Me) activation of vertexes not in the coordinating CCBBB ring is quite rare. It might seem plausible that in **115**, with a bulky PPh₃ ligand and an {Fe(CO)₂(η -C₅Me₅)} group in play, the CBBBB sites in the metallacarborane fragment are not as readily accessible. However, the species [*n*-{Fe(CO)₂(η -C₅Me₅)}-*n*-(μ -H)-3,3,3-(CO)₃-closo-3,1,2-ReC₂B₉H₁₀], derived from [3,3,3-(CO)₃-closo-3,1,2-ReC₂B₉H₁₁]⁻ and {Fe(CO)₂(η -C₅Me₅)}⁺, is formed as an equimolar mixture of two isomers (n = 4 and 8), with the *exo* group attached to the α or β boron atoms in the coordinating CCBBB ring.³² This suggests that steric effects are not dominant in **115** and that the antipodal B–H vertex is the most electronically favorable site for coordinating an *exo*-ML_n moiety.

The rhenium reagent 14 reacts with the complexes $[PtCl_2L_2]$ in the presence of $Tl[PF_6]$ to give the compounds $[2,7-{PtL_2}-7-(\mu-H)-2,2,2-(CO)_3-closo-2,1-ReCB_{10}H_{10}]$ $[L = PPh_3$ (118), PEt₃ (119); $L_2 =$ dppe (120; dppe = Ph_2PCH_2CH_2PPh_2)] (Chart 21).¹⁶ An X-ray diffraction study established that the B–H—Pt bond involves a BH group in a β position in the CBBBB ring pentahapto coordinated to the rhenium. There was no evidence for isomers in which there was a B_{\alpha}–H—Pt bridge bond, in accord with the β -BH groups being the more hydridic in character than the α -BH groups in the CBBBB ring. An analogous palladium compound 121 has been prepared in a similar manner from $[PdCl_2(dppe)]$. This product displays dynamic behavior on the NMR time scale in accord with an exchange between the two equivalent B_β–H bonds in the CBBBB coordinating face which form the B–H—Pd linkage, thus generating a pseudo plane of symmetry within the molecule.

Compound 14 also reacts with the group 9 transition metal complexes $[M(NCMe)_3(\eta-C_5Me_5)][BF_4]_2$ to form the binuclear metal compounds [2,3,7- $\{M(\eta-C_5Me_5)\}$ -3,7- $(\mu-H)_2$ -2,2,2- $(CO)_3$ -closo-2,1-ReCB₁₀H₉] [M = Rh (122), Ir (123)].³³ An X-ray diffraction study revealed that in 122 the $\{Rh(\eta-C_5Me_5)\}$



fragment is attached to the $\{closo-2, 1-ReCB_{10}\}$ framework by an Re–Rh bond and two B–H– \rightarrow Rh linkages. The latter involve boron atoms in α and β sites with respect to the carbon atom of the CBBBB face of the cage ligating the rhenium atom. The complex is highly dynamic in solution with different processes in play. One involves heterolytic fission of the Re–Rh bond and migration of the {Rh(η -C₅Me₅)} fragment about the polyhedral $\{closo-2, 1-\text{ReCB}_{10}\}$ framework. This occurs via multiple exchanges of B-H bonds, employing a maximum of three B-H \rightarrow Rh bonds and involving BH vertexes in both the Re-coordinating lower CB₄ and the upper B₅ pentagonal belts. A very similar dynamic exchange process, in which a $\{Rh(PPh_3)_2\}$ fragment traverses the B-H vertexes in an exo-polyhedral manner, occurs for the complexes [exo-5,10-{Rh(PPh_3)_2-5,10-(µ-H)_2-endo-10-X-7,8-Me_2-nido-7,8-C_2B_9H_7] $[X = H (124),^{34} Au(PPh_3) (125)^{35}]$ and $[8,9-\{Rh(PPh_3)_2\}-8,9-(\mu-H)_2-3,3,3-(CO)_3$ closo-3,1,2-ReC₂B₉H₉]. The latter forms by treating Cs[3,3,3-(CO)₃-closo-3,1,2- $\text{ReC}_{2}\text{B}_{9}\text{H}_{11}$ with $[\text{RhCl}(\text{PPh}_{3})_{3}]$, and indeed the dicarbollide anion $[3,3,3-(\text{CO})_{3}-(\text{PPh}_{3})_{3}]$ *closo*-3,1,2-ReC₂B₉H₁₁, like 14, affords a range of zwitterionic complexes on treatment with electrophilic metal-ligand fragments.32

Compounds **122** and **123** react with phosphines PR₃ to give species in which one of the B–H—M interactions in the precursors (M = Rh, Ir) is replaced by an M–PR₃ bond. These species are often formed as mixtures of isomers, of which the structural types are exemplified by the complexes $[2,3-anti-{Rh(PMe_2Ph)(\eta-C_5Me_5)}-3-(\mu-H)-2,2,2-(CO)_3-closo-2,1-ReCB_{10}H_{10}]$ (**126**) and $[2,7-syn-{Ir(P-Me_2Ph)(\eta-C_5Me_5)}-7-(\mu-H)-2,2,2-(CO)_3-closo-2,1-ReCB_{10}H_{10}]$ (**127**) which were characterized by X-ray diffraction (Chart 22).³³ The nature of the isomerism derives from whether the B–H—M bridge involves an α -BH (as in **126**) or a β -BH (as in **127**) in the rhenium-bonded CBBBB face, in combination with the relative disposition (*anti* or *syn*) of the phosphine with respect to this hydride. A more detailed discussion of this system is presented elsewhere.³³

The formation of the bimetallic complexes **118–123** involves combination of dicationic transition metal fragments with the dianion of compound **14**. A further possibility exists, scarcely studied for 12-vertex { $closo-2,1-ReCB_{10}$ } species but more extensively exploited in the analogous 11-vertex { $closo-1,2-MCB_9$ } system (M = Mn, Re) discussed in Section IV. Treatment of the dianion of **14** with two





equivalents of suitable monocationic fragments should give access to trimetallic species. Thus, **14** with [CuCl(PPh₃)]₄ and Tl[PF₆] gave [2,3,7-{Cu(PPh₃)}-2,6,11-{Cu(PPh₃)}-3,6,7,11-(μ -H)₄-2,2,2-(CO)₃-*closo*-2,1-ReCB₁₀H₇] (**128**) (Chart 23).³⁶ An X-ray diffraction experiment upon **128** revealed a V-shaped Cu–Re–Cu unit [Cu–Re = 2.6500(11), 2.6658(12) Å; Cu–Re–Cu = 124.65(4)°], with each {Cu(PPh₃)} fragment attached *via* an Re–Cu bond and two B–H–Cu agostic-type linkages. Each pair of B–H–Cu interactions uses one α-B–H and one β-B–H in the Re-bound CBBBB face.

IV

MONOCARBOLLIDE-METAL COMPLEXES WITH NON-ICOSAHEDRAL CORE FRAMEWORKS

The material reviewed in this Chapter hitherto has focused on metallacarboranes in which the metal atom is a vertex in an icosahedral cage framework. Until recently, monocarbollide metal compounds with core structures other than 12 vertexes were very rare since suitable carborane precursors were not readily available.⁴ However, Brellochs' recent development of the reaction of decaborane with aldehydes to give 10-vertex monocarboranes³⁷ permits a considerable expansion in this area of boron cluster chemistry. As a consequence, several intermediate-sized monocarboranes are now easily accessible and we have recently begun to exploit the opportunities that these present. In particular, we have focused thus far on complexes derived from the *C*-phenyl-substituted species [6-Ph-*nido*-6-CB₉H₁₁]^{-,38} It is clear from these initial studies that a wealth of new chemistry remains to be discovered in this area, not only from among the metal derivatives of {PhCB₉} carboranes such as those discussed in this section, but also in the metal complexes of other newly available carboranes.

A. Chemistry of the 11-Vertex Dianions $[1,1,1-(CO)_3-2-Ph-closo-1,2-MCB_9H_9]^{2-}$ (M = Mn, Re)

Treatment of $[NEt_4][6-Ph-nido-6-CB_9H_{11}]$ in THF with BuⁿLi (2 equiv) followed by $[Mn(NCMe)_3(CO)_3][PF_6]$ or $[ReBr(THF)_2(CO)_3]$ gives the respective metallacarborane dianions, isolated as the mixed salts $[NEt_4][N(PPh_3)_2][1,1,1-(CO)_3-2-Ph-closo-1,2-MCB_9H_9]$ [M = Mn (129),³⁹ Re (130)⁴⁰], after addition of $[N(PPh_3)_2]Cl$ (Chart 24). The actual existence during the synthesis of the trianion $[6-Ph-nido-6-CB_9H_9]^{3-}$ can only be inferred. X-ray diffraction studies of both salts confirmed that in their dianions the $\{M(CO)_3\}$ group is η^6 -coordinated by the CBBBBB face of the $\{6-Ph-nido-6-CB_9H_9\}$ ligand, the metal vertex being closer to the two 'prow' atoms of the boat-shaped ligating face [Mn-C 2.125(7) and Mn-B2.118(8) Å in 129; Re–C 2.226(8) and Re–B 2.210(12) Å in 130] than to the other four coordinating boron atoms [2.354(8)-2.424(9) Å in 129; 2.477(11)–2.525(10) Å in 130], a feature typical of such *closo*-11-vertex 1-metalla(hetero)boranes.^{4,41}

The dianions of **129** and **130** show contrasting behavior upon treatment with oxidizing agents. Thus, complex **129** in CH₂Cl₂ with HgCl₂ gives $[N(PPh_3)_2][1,1,1-(CO)_3-2-Ph-$ *closo* $-1,2-MnCB_9H_9]$ (**131**) by a one-electron oxidation process.³⁹ Conversely, solutions of **130** in CH₂Cl₂ with HgCl₂ or I₂ give monoanionic complexes $[N(PPh_3)_2][1,1-(CO)_2-1-X-2-Ph-$ *hypercloso* $-1,2-ReCB_9H_9]$ [X = Cl (**132**), I (**133**)], respectively,[‡] that formally are products of two-electron oxidation reactions. The latter oxidations appear reversible, as treatment of **132** with Na[C₁₀H₈] (2 equiv) and CO at low temperatures regenerated the dianion of **130**.⁴²

The anion of **131** is paramagnetic, formally Mn^{II}, and is surprisingly stable. A room temperature EPR (Electron Paramagnetic Resonance) study showed a six line pattern characteristic for a paramagnetic Mn^{II} complex with coupling to the ⁵⁵Mn nucleus, indicating significant localization of the unpaired electron onto the manganese. Additional coupling to the cage hydrogen and boron atoms observed at low temperature provided evidence that the radical center is also distributed over the whole cluster, a conclusion supported by DFT (Density Functional Theory) calculations. This delocalization is likely a contributor to the stability of **131**.

Unlike those in **130**, the CO groups in **132** and **133** are readily substituted by donor ligands and treatment with, for example, PEt₃ gave near-quantitative conversion to $[N(PPh_3)_2][1-CO-1-PEt_3-1-X-2-Ph-$ *hypercloso* $-1,2-ReCB_9H_9]$ [X = Cl (**134**), I (**135**)]. The rhenium-bound halide in these products may also be replaced upon reaction with donors L (1 equiv) in the presence of Tl[PF₆]. Thus, compound **134** with L = PEt₃ gave [1-CO-1,1-(PEt_3)_2-2-Ph-*hypercloso*-1,2-ReCB_9H_9] (**136**); while with L = CNBu^t it gave a mixture containing the species [1-L-1-L'-1-PEt_3-2-Ph-*hypercloso*-1,2-ReCB_9H_9] [L = CO, L' = CNBu^t (**137**); L = L' = CNBu^t (**138**)], along with small quantities of **136**, and with **138** being the major product.⁴²

As with the icosahedral monocarbollide-metal species reviewed in the preceding sections, the boron-bound hydrides of 129 and 130 may be abstracted by Me⁺ and

[‡]The descriptor *hypercloso* is used here for clusters whose shapes are closed triangulated polyhedra, but which have one less electron pair available for skeletal bonding than do conventional closo species, according to traditional electron counting methods.



replaced by donor ligands L.⁴² Thus, treatment of **129** or **130** with CF₃SO₃Me in the presence of OEt₂ or NCMe gave, respectively, $[6,7-L_2-1,1,1-(CO)_3-2-Ph-closo-1,2-MCB_9H_7]$ [M = Mn, L = OEt₂ (**139**); M = Mn, L = NCMe (**140**); M = Re, L = OEt₂ (**141**); M = Re, L = NCMe (**142**)] (Chart 25). The dianionic nature of the metallacarboranes in the precursors **129** and **130** allows for double hydride replacement to give neutral species, with both ligands L becoming bound to β boron atoms in the metal-bound CBBBBB face. It is notable that in the formation of **140** and **142** boron-bound hydrides are replaced by NCMe molecules, similar to that in compound **84**, rather than imine moieties as was generally observed in other monoanionic icosahedral metallacarboranes such as **38** and **78**.

The neutral double zwitterions **139–142** are also susceptible to oxidation and treatment of **141** with I₂ (1 equiv) gave a surprising result.⁴² In the product, [3,4- $(OEt)_2$ -1,1,1- $(CO)_3$ -2-Ph-*hypercloso*-1,2-ReCB₈H₆] (**143**), the rhenium center may be considered to be in the + III oxidation state, as in **132–138**, but the cluster in **143** has also lost one {BH} vertex. It is known⁴³ that oxidation of [6-Ph-*nido*-6-CB₉H₁₁]⁻, the 10-vertex carborane parent of **129** and **130**, with FeCl₃ affords 9-vertex [4-Ph-*arachno*-4-CB₈H₁₃] and indeed the carborane subunit of **143** also has the {*arachno*-4-CB₈H₁₃] multiplication, two Γ ions would be liberated following the oxidation reaction and nucleophilic attack of these upon the positively



charged B-OEt₂ moieties would remove one Et group from each – akin to the conversion of 52 to 62 – and yield the observed B-OEt substituents.

As does the dianion of 14, the closo-11-vertex manganese- and rhenium-carborane dianions 129 and 130 react readily with cationic transition metal-ligand fragments, affording bimetallic products with novel structures in which the electrophilic metal-ligand groups $\{M'L_n\}$ are attached exo-polyhedrally to the $\{closo-1,2-MCB_9\}$ cage framework by rhenium-metal bonds supported by three-center two-electron B-H \rightarrow M' linkages.^{40,44} Thus treatment of **129** or **130** with [M'Cl₂(dppe)] (M' = Ni, Pd or Pt), in the presence of $Tl[PF_6]$, gives the neutral complexes $[1,3-\{M'(dppe)\}-3 \mu$ -H-1,1,1-(CO)₃-2-Ph-*closo*-1,2-MCB₉H₈] [M = Mn, M' = Ni (144); M = Mn, M' = Pd (145); M = Mn, M' = Pt (146); M = Re, M' = Ni (147); M = Re, M' = Pd (148); M = Re, M' = Pt (149)] (Chart 26).^{40,44} An X-ray diffraction study for 149 established that a $\{Pt(dppe)\}$ fragment is located at a site exo-polyhedral to the rhenacarborane cluster, and is bonded via a Re-Pt linkage and a three-center two-electron B–H– \rightarrow Pt bond. The latter involves the boron at the γ -BH vertex in the six-membered ring ligating the rhenium.⁴⁰ In contrast, in the solid-state structure determined for 146 by X-ray diffraction there was no Mn-Pt bond present and the exo-polyhedral {Pt(dppe)} fragment was instead anchored only by two B-H—Pt linkages (structure 146a).⁴⁴ In solution, however, it is thought that these species are fluxional between the two structural types observed crystallographically.

More remarkably, the reaction forming the Mn–Pt species is unique among the compounds **144–149** in that three other metallacarborane products were also isolated from this system alone. These are two 12-vertex species, [1-Ph-2,2,2-(CO)₃-7-X-8,8-dppe-*hypercloso*-8,2,1-PtMnCB₉H₈] [X = H (**150**), OEt (**151**)], and the complex [3,6,7-{Mn(CO)₃}-3,7-(μ -H)₂-1-Ph-6,6-dppe-*closo*-6,1-PtCB₈H₆] (**152**) formed by cluster contraction. Subsequent studies confirmed that the ethoxylated compound **151** is formed by reaction of **146** with adventitious EtOH present in the precursor **129**. Indeed, treatment of **146** with other alcohols ROH afforded similar species [1-Ph-2,2,2-(CO)₃-7-OR-8,8-dppe-*hypercloso*-8,2,1-PtMnCB₉H₈] [R = Me (**153**), (CH₂)₂OH (**154**), (CH₂)₄OH (**155**)] with, surprisingly, only mono-cage products observed when diols were used as substrates. All of the alkoxy-substituted compounds **151** and **153–155** are relatively stable and do not react further, whereas



unsubstituted **150** appears to slowly decompose to form **152** *via* extrusion of the manganese vertex that becomes anchored in an exo-polyhedral site, and of a boron vertex, which is lost. The mechanisms by which all these transformations occur remain unclear.

Although stable and neutral bimetallic complexes were obtained from the dicationic fragments and **129** or **130** there was the possibility that reactions with two molar equivalents of a metal–ligand monocation should give rise to trimetallic species. However, reactions of **130** with sources of the cations $\{M'(CO)_3\}^+$ (M' = Mn, Re), namely $[Mn(NCMe)_3(CO)_3][PF_6]$ and $[ReBr(THF)_2(CO)_3]$, yielded as products $[N(PPh_3)_2][1,3,6-\{M'(CO)_3\}-3,6-(\mu-H)_2-1,1,1-(CO)_3-2-Ph-$ *closo* $-1,2-ReCB_9H_7] [M' = Mn ($ **156**), Re (**157** $)], respectively (Chart 27).⁴⁰ In these molecules, the exo-polyhedral <math>\{M'(CO)_3\}$ moiety is almost certainly bonded to the cluster *via* two non-equivalent B–H \rightarrow M' interactions with an Re–M' bond completing



the coordination sphere. Although an X-ray diffraction study was not possible to establish unambiguously the molecular structures, the ¹H NMR spectra revealed signals for the B–H \rightarrow M' groups, for **156** at δ –5.2 and –9.6, and for **157** at δ –3.5 and –7.9. The molecular asymmetry is evidenced by signals for nine non-equivalent boron atoms in each of the ¹¹B{¹H} NMR spectra and six separate resonances for the six CO ligands in their respective ¹³C{¹H} NMR spectra.

Interestingly, treatment of 130 with an excess of the manganese and rhenium reagents did not afford neutral trimetallic species and only 156 and 157 were isolated.⁴⁰ Moreover, neither 156 or 157 reacted with the cations $\{M'(PPh_3)\}^+$ (M' = Cu, Au). However, these same cations did react with **129** and **130**. When the two latter species are treated with 1 equivalent of an $\{M'(PPh_3)\}^+$ cation {from $[CuCl(PPh_3)]_4$ or $[AuCl(PPh_3)]$, respectively, in the presence of $Tl[PF_6]$, the sole products isolated were the neutral trimetal compounds $[1,6-{M'(PPh_3)}-1,7 \{M'(PPh_3)\}$ -6,7-(µ-H)₂-1,1,1-(CO)₃-2-Ph-*closo*-1,2-MCB₉H₇] [M = Mn, M' = Cu (158); M = Re, M' = Cu (159); M = Re, M' = Au (160)], respectively. The corresponding MnAu₂ derivative has hitherto proved elusive. These products were obtained in higher yield when two equivalents of the copper or gold fragments were supplied. An X-ray diffraction study of 159 showed the molecule to consist of a central rhenacarborane moiety, with two $\{Cu(PPh_3)\}$ groups each attached to the cluster surface via an Re-Cu bond and a B-H-Cu interaction, the latter involving a β -boron atom in the rhenium-ligating CBBBBB face. Importantly, the two copper atoms are too far apart (~ 4 Å) to form a Cu–Cu bond so the trimetallic unit is Vshaped $[Cu-Re-Cu = 93.89(2)^{\circ}]$. The structure of complex 159 resembles that of compound 128, although in the latter species each Re-Cu bond is augmented by two B-H-Cu linkages. Moreover, the different geometric demands of the rhenacarborane in 128 force the Cu-Re-Cu angle to be some 30° larger than in 159. Whereas the trimetal unit in 158–160 is not a closed triangle in the solid state, such an arrangement may occur in solution during the fluxional behavior observed in the NMR data for these complexes.⁴⁰

In seeking further to add two different monocationic metal fragments to the dianion of 130 in a stepwise fashion, the latter was treated with [IrCl $(CO)_2(NH_2C_6H_4Me-1,4)$ and $Tl[PF_6]$, in the hope of appending an $\{Ir(CO)_2\}^+$ fragment to the cluster. An anionic rhenium-iridium complex was indeed formed with its anion initially formulated⁴⁵ as an $[exo-{Ir(CO)_3}-endo-{Re(CO)_2} \{CB_9H_9Ph\}^{-}$ species on the basis of spectroscopic data and X-ray structural analysis of several derivatives. Subsequent studies, however, revealed the true identity of the product to be $[N(PPh_3)_2][1,3,6-{Re(CO)_3}-3,6-(\mu-H)_2-1,1-(CO)_2-2-Ph-closo-1,2-(Ph-closo-1)_2-1,1-(CO)_2-2-Ph-closo-1,2-(Ph-closo-1)_2-1,1-(CO)_2-2-Ph-closo-1,2-(Ph-closo-1)_2-1,1-(CO)_2-2-Ph-closo-1,2-(Ph-closo-1)_2-1,1-(CO)_2-2-Ph-closo-1,2-(Ph-closo-1)_2-1,1-(CO)_2-2-Ph-closo-1,2-(Ph-closo-1)_2-1,1-(CO)_2-2-Ph-closo-1,2-(Ph-closo-1)_2-1,1-(CO)_2-2-Ph-closo-1,2-(Ph-closo-1)_2-1,1-(CO)_2-2-Ph-closo-1,2-(Ph-closo-1)_2-1,1-(CO)_2-2-Ph-closo-1,2-(Ph-closo-1)_2-1,1-(CO)_2-2-Ph-closo-1,2-(Ph-closo-1)_2-1,1-(CO)_2-2-Ph-closo-1,2-(Ph-closo-1)_2-1,1-(CO)_2-2-Ph-closo-1,2-(Ph-closo-1)_2-1,1-(CO)_2-2-Ph-closo-1,2-(Ph-closo-1)_2-1,1-(CO)_2-2-Ph-closo-1,2-(Ph-closo-1)_2-Ph-closo-1,2-(Ph-closo-1)_2-Ph-closo-1,2-(Ph-closo-1)_2-Ph-closo-1,2-(Ph-closo-1)_2-Ph-closo-1,2-(Ph-closo-1)_2-Ph-closo-1,2-(Ph-closo-1)_2-Ph-closo-1,2-(Ph-closo-1)_2-Ph-closo-1,2-(Ph-closo-1)_2-Ph-closo-1,2-(Ph-closo-1)_2-Ph-closo-1,2-(Ph-closo-1)_2-Ph-closo-1)_2-Ph-closo-1,2-(Ph-closo-1)_2-Ph-closo-1)_2-Ph-closo-1,2-(Ph-closo-1)_2-Ph-closo-1,2-(Ph-closo-1)_2-Ph-closo-1)_2-Ph-closo-1,Ph-closo-1)_2-Ph-closo-1,Ph-closo-1,Ph-closo-1)_2 IrCB_9H_7$ (161) (Chart 28).^{46,§} The original incorrect formulation arose from the difficulty of distinguishing between Re and Ir in X-ray diffraction studies of derivatives of 161, and it was thought that one CO ligand had simply been transferred from the supposed endo-polyhedral Re vertex to the exo-polyhedral Ir center. No such ambiguity arises, however, when the two metal centers are from different rows of the Periodic Table. Thus, treatment of 130 with $[Rh(\mu-Cl)(CO)_2]_2$ and $Tl[PF_6]$ afforded the Re-Rh analogue (162) of complex 161, of which an X-ray diffraction analysis confirmed the anion to contain a rhodacarborane cluster with an exopolyhedral rhenium fragment.⁴⁶ The Mn-Ir (163) and Mn-Rh (164) analogues were prepared similarly from 129.

It is not clear how the anions of **161–164** are formed, although it seems reasonable that the { $M'(CO)_2$ }⁺ fragments (M' = Rh, Ir) do initially become attached in exo-polyhedral sites prior to internal reorganization. In this connection the conversion of **146** to **152** might be relevant, as that process also involves assimilation of an { $M'L_2$ } fragment as a cluster vertex and extrusion of { $Mn(CO)_3$ }, albeit with concomitant loss of a boron vertex. That the formation of **152** appears to proceed *via* a 12-vertex { $PtMnCB_9$ } intermediate might point to similar { $MM'CB_9$ } intermediates (M = Mn, Re; M' = Rh, Ir) being involved in the pathway leading to **161–164**. All of compounds **161–164** are somewhat unstable in solution, and the manganese species particularly so. They undergo mutual CO scavenging to give, respectively, the complexes [$N(PPh_3)_2$][1,3-{ $M(CO)_4$ }-3- μ -H-1,1-($CO)_2$ -2-Ph-*closo*-1,2-M'CB_9H_8] [M = Re, M' = Ir (**165**); M = Re, M' = Rh (**166**); M = Mn, M' = Ir (**167**); M = Mn, M' = Rh (**168**)], with no other metallacarborane products formed.⁴⁶

The single negative charge retained by 161–164 makes them attractive substrates to which a further different cationic metal fragment could be added. In the case of the manganese species 163 and 164, their rather facile conversion to 167 and 168, respectively, appeared to compromise their ability to accommodate a second exopolyhedral moiety. However, this was not the case for the rhenium species 161 and 162 which, upon treatment with [CuCl(PPh₃)]₄ or [AuCl(PPh₃)] in the presence of Tl[PF₆], each unexpectedly give rise to two products.^{45,46}

In the copper system, trimetallic Re–M'–Cu species $[1,4,7-{Cu(PPh_3)}-1,5,6-{Re(CO)_3}-4,5,6,7-(\mu-H)_4-1,1-(CO)_2-2-Ph-closo-1,2-M'CB_9H_5]$ [M' = Ir (169), Rh

[§]Note that in all of the compounds (161, 169, 171, 173, 175) reported in the initial communication in this area,⁴⁵ the endo-polyhedral iridium vertex was erroneously assigned as being rhenium whilst the exopolyhedral { $Re(CO)_3$ } fragment in complexes 161, 169, and 175 was wrongly identified as { $Ir(CO)_3$ }. The assignments herein are correct.



(170)] were indeed obtained, as anticipated. The exo-polyhedral {Cu(PPh₃)} and {Re(CO)₃} units therein are bonded to the cluster surface by Cu–M' and Re–M' bonds, respectively, each of which is supplemented by two agostic-type interactions that employ α - and β -B–H units in the CBBBBB face ligating the cluster vertex M'. The major products in this system, however, were M'Cu₂ species [1,3-{Cu(PPh₃)}-1,6-{Cu(PPh₃)}(Cu–Cu)-3,6-(µ-H)₂-1,1-(CO)₂-2-Ph-*closo*-1,2-M'CB₉H₇] [M' = Ir (171), Rh (172)]. These species merit comparison to the rhenium- and manganese-dicopper species 158 and 159, which have molecular mirror symmetry and a V-shaped trimetal unit that lacks a Cu–Cu bond. Although 171 and 172 appear symmetric in solution on the NMR time scale due to fluxional processes, in the solid state the two copper centers are clearly inequivalent and a Cu–Cu bond is present. The metal triangle is supported by two B–H–Cu linkages, one to each Cu center, involving β - and γ -B–H vertexes in the M'-bound CBBBBB belt.

With a source of $\{Au(PPh_3)\}^+$ the complexes **161** and **162** also give two products, but no Re–M'–Au analogues of compounds **169** and **170** were observed. The major



products are the M'Au₂ derivatives $[1,3-{Au(PPh_3)}-1,6-{Au(PPh_3)}(Au-Au)-3,6-(\mu-H)_2-1,1-(CO)_2-2-Ph-$ *closo* $-1,2-M'CB_9H_7] [M' = Ir (173), Rh (174)], analogous to 171 and 172 described above.$

The second product type in the gold system, although isolated in lesser amounts, is of great interest. In these species, compounds **175** and **176**, {Re(CO)₃} and {Au(PPh₃)} moieties are bonded to the cluster {M'(CO)₂} vertex (M' = Ir, Rh) and to each other (Chart 29). The Au–Re vector is bridged by a second {Au(PPh₃)} fragment, so that overall {M'ReAu₂} 'butterflies' have been assembled starting from the rhenacarborane **130**. The rhenium center further interacts with the carborane *via* an additional B–H—Re linkage and one boron vertex lacks a terminal hydrogen atom. It is notable that this naked boron vertex [B(3)] is in contact with all four metal centers, so that the M₄B core resembles that in transition-metal boride clusters. Despite this, this boron atom resonates at δ 37.9 in its ¹¹B{¹H} NMR spectrum, substantially to higher field than in genuine borides. The mechanism by which the butterfly species **175** and **176** are formed is not clear, although it may reasonably be speculated that they are formed from Re–M'–Au species akin to **169** and **170**.

B. Chemistry of the 11-Vertex Trianions [1,3,6-{M(CO)₃}-3,6-(μ-H)₂-1,1,1-(CO)₃-2-Ph-closo-1,2-MCB₉H₇]³⁻ (M = Mo, W)

Treatment of [NEt₄][6-Ph-*nido*-6-CB₉H₁₁] in THF with Bu^{*n*}Li (2 equiv), followed by 2 equivalents of [Mo(NCMe)₃(CO)₃] or [W(NCEt)₃(CO)₃], and then [NEt₄]I gives the salts [NEt₄]₃[1,3,6-{M(CO)₃}-3,6-(μ -H)₂-1,1,1-(CO)₃-2-Ph-*closo*-1,2-MCB₉H₇] [M = Mo (177), W (178)] (Chart 30).⁴⁷ An X-ray diffraction study of the dimolybdenum species showed that in these trianions one {M(CO)₃} group is bonded exo-polyhedrally to a {*closo*-1,2-MCB₉} cage system by a dative M \rightarrow M bond supported by two three-center two-electron B–H \rightarrow M linkages. These two species can be compared with the rhenium–manganese and dirhenium species 156 and 157 discussed earlier.



Salts of the dimolybdenum trianion react^{47a} readily with several cationic transition metal–ligand fragments with substitution of the exo-polyhedrally bonded {Mo(CO)₃} group by a cationic fragment. Species prepared include [NEt₄][1,3-{Pt(dppe)}-3- μ -H-1,1,1-(CO)₃-2-Ph-*closo*-1,2-MoCB₉H₈] (**179**) by the reaction of **177** with [PtCl₂(dppe)] and Tl[PF₆], and [NEt₄]₂[1,3,6-{Mn(CO)₃}-3,6-(μ -H)₂-1,1,1-(CO)₃-2-Ph-*closo*-1,2-MoCB₉H₇] (**180**) by reaction with [Mn(NCMe)₃(CO)₃][PF₆], respectively. Structural studies reveal the anion of **179** to be essentially isostructural with the corresponding {ReCB₉} cluster **149**. The structure of complex **180** may also be compared with that of **177** and **178**, and with the ReCB₉ species **156** and **157**. However, unlike the two latter compounds, it was shown that a further metal fragment could be added to compound **180**. Thus, treatment with [PtCl₂(dppe)] and Tl[PF₆] gave a species that was characterized as [1,3,7-{Mn(CO)₃}-1,6-{Pt(dppe)}-3,6,7-(μ -H)₃-1,1,1-(CO)₃-2-Ph-*closo*-1,2-MoCB₉H₆] (**181**) by a preliminary X-ray diffraction study.

Compound **177** reacts with $H[BF_4] \cdot OEt_2$ (2 equiv) in the presence of CO and [NEt₄]I with oxidation of the metal center and formation of an unusual cage expansion species, [NEt₄][2,2,2-(CO)₃-2-I-1-Ph-8-OH-*closo*-2,1,8-MoC₂B₉H₉] (**182**) (Chart 31).^{47b} With Ph₂S₂ as oxidizing agent, the dimolybdenum species [N(PPh₃)₂][1-{Mo(μ -SPh)₂(CO)₄}-2-Ph-*hypercloso*-1,2-MoCB₉H₉(*Mo*-*Mo*)] (**183**) was isolated following addition of [N(PPh₃)₂]Cl. Reaction of **177** with excess



CNBu^t and Ag[PF₆] (4 equiv) in MeCN gives the zwitterionic monomeric Mo^{II} complex $[1,1,1,1,3-(CNBu^t)_5-2-Ph-closo-1,2-MoCB_9H_8]$ (184), along with another cage expansion product, $[2,2-(CNBu^t)_2-2-(=O)-1-Ph-8-NHBu^t-closo-2,1,8-MoC_2B_9H_9]$ (185). It is notable that in both 182 and 185 the 'additional' {CX} vertex (X = OH, NHBu^t) has inserted at the same site with respect to the {CPh} vertex from the precursor 177 and, moreover, that this site is the same as that occupied by the platinum vertex in the 12-vertex cluster-expanded species 150, 151 and 153–155 derived from 146. These {CX} vertexes may formally be considered as being formed by cage-promoted hydroboration of CO and CNBu^t groups.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The dedication and expertise of the coworkers cited in the references is gratefully acknowledged. We thank the Robert A. Welch Foundation for support of the research and Dr. Bruce E. Hodson for helpful comments during the preparation of this Chapter.

REFERENCES

- (1) Hawthorne, M. F.; Young, D. C.; Wegner, P. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1965, 87, 1818.
- (2) Hawthorne, M. F.; Young, D. C.; Andrews, T. D.; Howe, D. V.; Pilling, R. L.; Pitts, A. D.; Reintjes, M.; Warren, L. F.; Wegner, P. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1968, 90, 879.
- (3) (a) Hyatt, D. E.; Little, J. L.; Moran, J. T.; Scholer, F. R.; Todd, L. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1967, 89, 3342. (b) Knoth, W. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1967, 89, 3342. (c) Knoth, W. H. Inorg. Chem. 1971, 10, 598. (d) Hyatt, D. E.; Scholer, F. R.; Todd, L. J.; Warner, J. L. Inorg. Chem. 1967, 6, 2229. (e) Knoth, W. H.; Little, J. L.; Todd, L. J. Inorg. Synth. 1968, 11, 41.

- (4) (a) Grimes, R. N. (G. Wilkinson; E. W. Abel; F. G. A. Stone, Eds.), *Comprehensive Organometallic Chemistry*, **1982**, Vol. 1, Pergamon Press, Oxford, Section 5.5. (b) Grimes, R. N. (E. W. Abel; F. G. A. Stone; G. Wilkinson, Eds.), *Comprehensive Organometallic Chemistry II*, **1995**, Vol. 1, Pergamon Press, Oxford, (C. E. Housecroft, Ed.), Chapter 9. (c) Grimes, R. N. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **2000**, 200–202, 773.
- (5) Saxena, A. K.; Hosmane, N. S. Chem. Rev. 1993, 93, 1081.
- (6) (a) Wegner, P. A.; Guggenberger, L. J.; Muetterties, E. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1970, 92, 3473. (b) Rietz, R. R.; Dustin, D. F.; Hawthorne, M. F. Inorg. Chem. 1974, 13, 1580. (c) Salentine, C. G.; Hawthorne, M. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1975, 97, 6382. (d) Alcock, N. W.; Taylor, J. G.; Wallbridge, M. G. H. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1983, 1168. (e) Stibr, B.; Janousek, Z.; Base, K.; Plesek, J.; Solntsev, K. A.; Butman, L. A.; Kuznetsov, I. I.; Kuznetsov, N. T. Collect. Czech. Chem. Commun. 1984, 49, 1660. (f) Fontaine, X. L. R.; Greenwood, N. N.; Kennedy, J. D.; MacKinnon, P. I.; Macpherson, I. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1987, 2385. (g) Alcock, N. W.; Jasztal, M. J.; Wallbridge, M. G. H. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1987, 2793. (h) Fontaine, X. L. R.; Kennedy, J. D.; Thornton-Pett, M.; Nestor, K.; Stíbr, B.; Jelínek, T.; Base, K. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1990, 2887. (i) Stibr, B.; Jelinek, T.; Kennedy, J. D.; Fontaine, X. L. R.; Thornton-Pett, M. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1993, 1261. (j) Jones, J. H.; Stibr, B.; Kennedy, J. D.; Lawrence, A. D.; Thornton-Pett, M. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1993, 1269. (k) Pisareva, I. V.; Chizhevsky, I. T.; Petrovskii, P. V.; Bregadze, V. I.; Dolgushin, F. M.; Yanovsky, A. I. Organometallics 1997, 16, 5598. (1) Pisareva, I. V.; Dolgushin, F. M.; Yanovsky, A. I.; Balagurova, E. V.; Petrovskii, P. V.; Chizhevsky, I. T. Inorg. Chem. 2001, 40, 5318. (m) Konoplev, V. E.; Pisareva, I. V.; Vorontsov, E. V.; Dolgushin, F. M.; Franken, A.; Kennedy, J. D.; Chizhevsky, I. T. Inorg. Chem. Commun. 2003, 6, 1454.
- (7) (a) Carroll, W. E.; Green, M.; Stone, F. G. A.; Welch, A. J. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1975, 2263. (b) Stone, F. G. A. J. Organomet. Chem. 1975, 100, 257.
- (8) Jelliss, P. A.; Stone, F. G. A. J. Organomet. Chem. 1995, 500, 307.
- (9) (a) Anderson, S.; Mullica, D. F.; Sappenfield, E. L.; Stone, F. G. A. Organometallics 1995, 14, 3516.
 (b) Anderson, S.; Mullica, D. F.; Sappenfield, E. L.; Stone, F. G. A. Organometallics 1996, 15, 1676. (c) Anderson, S.; Jeffery, J. C.; Liao, Y.-H.; Mullica, D. F.; Sappenfield, E. L.; Stone, F. G. A. Organometallics 1997, 16, 958. (d) Jeffery, J. C.; Jelliss, P. A.; Rudd, G. E. A.; Sakanishi, S.; Stone, F. G. A.; Whitehead, J. J. Organomet. Chem. 1999, 582, 90.
- (10) McGrath, T. D.; Stone, F. G. A. J. Organomet. Chem. 2004, 689, 3891.
- (11) Ellis, D. D.; Franken, A.; Stone, F. G. A. Organometallics 1999, 18, 2362.
- (12) Ellis, D. D.; Franken, A.; McGrath, T. D.; Stone, F. G. A. J. Organomet. Chem. 2000, 614–615, 208.
- (13) Liao, Y.-H.; Mullica, D. F.; Sappenfield, E. L.; Stone, F. G. A. Organometallics 1996, 15, 5103.
- (14) Ellis, D. D.; Franken, A.; Jelliss, P. A.; Stone, F. G. A.; Yu, P.-Y. Organometallics 2000, 19, 1993.
- (15) (a) Du, S.; Franken, A.; Jelliss, P. A.; Kautz, J. A.; Stone, F. G. A.; Yu, P.-Y. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 2001, 1846. (b) Du, S.; Kautz, J. A.; McGrath, T. D.; Stone, F. G. A. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 2001, 2791.
- (16) Blandford, I.; Jeffery, J. C.; Jelliss, P. A.; Stone, F. G. A. Organometallics 1998, 17, 1402.
- (17) Batten, S. A.; Jeffery, J. C.; Jones, P. L.; Mullica, D. F.; Rudd, M. D.; Sappenfield, E. L.; Stone, F. G. A.; Wolf, A. *Inorg. Chem.* **1997**, *36*, 2570.
- (18) Blandford, I.; Jeffery, J. C.; Redfearn, H.; Rees, L. H.; Rudd, M. D.; Stone, F. G. A. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1998, 1669.
- (19) Batten, S. A.; Jeffery, J. C.; Rees, L. H.; Rudd, M. D.; Stone, F. G. A. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1998, 2839.
- (20) Franken, A.; Kautz, J. A.; McGrath, T. D.; Stone, F. G. A. Unpublished results.
- (21) Kautz, J. A.; McGrath, T. D.; Stone, F. G. A. Polyhedron 2003, 22, 109.
- (22) (a) Jeffery, J. C.; Stone, F. G. A.; Topaloglu, I. J. Organomet. Chem. 1993, 451, 205. (b) Dossett, S. J.; Li, S.; Stone, F. G. A. Polyhedron 1994, 13, 1773. (c) Ellis, D. D.; Jelliss, P. A.; Stone, F. G. A. Chem. Commun. 1999, 2385. (d) Bitterwolf, T. E.; Scallorn, W. B.; Weiss, C. A.; Jelliss, P. A. Organometallics 2002, 21, 1856. (e) Hata, M.; Kautz, J. A.; Lu, X. L.; McGrath, T. D.; Stone, F. G. A. Organometallics 2004, 23, 3590.
- (23) Franken, A.; Du, S.; Jelliss, P. A.; Kautz, J. A.; Stone, F. G. A. Organometallics 2001, 20, 1597.
- (24) Ellis, D. D.; Franken, A.; Stone, F. G. A. Unpublished results.

- (25) Kautz, J. A.; Stone, F. G. A.; Yu, P.-Y. Unpublished results.
- (26) Janousek, Z.; Stibr, B.; Fontaine, X. L. R.; Kennedy, J. D.; Thornton-Pett, M. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1996, 3813.
- (27) Yanovskii, A. I.; Struchkov, Yu. T.; Grushin, V. V.; Tolstaya, T. P.; Demkina, I. I. Zh. Strukt. Khim. 1988, 29, 89.
- (28) Kautz, J. A.; McGrath, T. D.; Stone, F. G. A. Unpublished results.
- (29) Ellis, D. D.; Franken, A.; Jelliss, P. A.; Kautz, J. A.; Stone, F. G. A.; Yu, P.-Y. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 2000, 2509.
- (30) Hamilton, E. J. M.; Welch, A. J. Polyhedron 1990, 9, 2407.
- (31) Liston, D. J.; Lee, Y. J.; Scheidt, W. R.; Reed, C. A. Inorg. Chem. 1987, 26, 2739.
- (32) Ellis, D. D.; Jelliss, P. A.; Stone, F. G. A. Organometallics 1999, 18, 4982.
- (33) Jeffery, J. C.; Jelliss, P. A.; Rees, L. H.; Stone, F. G. A. Organometallics 1998, 17, 2258.
- (34) Long, J. A.; Marder, T. B.; Behnken, P. E.; Hawthorne, M. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1984, 106, 2979.
- (35) Jeffery, J. C.; Jelliss, P. A.; Stone, F. G. A. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1993, 1073.
- (36) Hodson, B. E.; Kautz, J. A.; McGrath, T. D.; Stone, F. G. A. Unpublished work.
- (37) Brellochs, B. (M. G. Davidson, A. K. Hughes, T. B. Marder, K. Wade, Eds.), Contemporary Boron Chemistry, Royal Society of Chemistry, Cambridge, UK, 2000, pp. 212–214.
- (38) (a) Jelínek, T.; Kilner, C. A.; Thornton-Pett, M.; Kennedy, J. D. Chem. Commun. 2001, 1790.
 (b) Jelínek, T.; Thornton-Pett, M.; Kennedy, J. D. Collect. Czech. Chem. Commun. 2002, 67, 1035.
- (39) Du, S.; Farley, R. D.; Harvey, J. N.; Jeffery, J. C.; Kautz, J. A.; Maher, J. P.; McGrath, T. D.; Murphy, D. M.; Riis-Johannessen, T.; Stone, F. G. A. *Chem. Commun.* 2003, 1846.
- (40) Du, S.; Kautz, J. A.; McGrath, T. D.; Stone, F. G. A. Organometallics 2003, 22, 2842.
- (41) (a) Kennedy, J. D. Prog. Inorg. Chem. 1986, 34, 211. (b) Barton, L.; Srivastava, D. K. (E. W. Abel;
 F. G. A. Stone; G. Wilkinson, Eds.), Comprehensive Organometallic Chemistry II, 1995, Vol. 1, Pergamon Press, Oxford, UK, (C. E. Housecroft, Ed.), Chapter 8.
- (42) Du, S.; Kautz, J. A.; McGrath, T. D.; Stone, F. G. A. Unpublished work.
- (43) Franken, A.; Kilner, C. A.; Thornton-Pett, M.; Kennedy, J. D. Collect. Czech. Chem. Commun. 2002, 67, 869.
- (44) Du, S.; Jeffery, J. C.; Kautz, J. A.; Lu, X. L.; McGrath, T. D.; Miller T.A.; Riis-Johannessen, T.; Stone, F. G. A. *Inorg. Chem.* **2005**, *44*, 2815.
- (45) Du, S.; Kautz, J. A.; McGrath, T. D.; Stone, F. G. A. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2003, 42, 5728.
- (46) Du, S.; Hodson, B. E.; Kautz, J. A.; Lu, X. L.; McGrath, T. D.; Stone, F. G. A. Unpublished work.
- (47) (a) Lei, P.; McGrath, T. D.; Stone, F. G. A. Chem. Commun. 2005, 3706. (b) Lei, P.; McGrath, T.D.; Stone, F.G.A. unpublished work.